

The Prudential Group's and the AIA Group's principal insurance and investment operations are in Asia, Australasia, the United Kingdom and the United States. Accordingly, they are, and the Enlarged Group will be, subject to applicable Asian, Australasian, United Kingdom and United States insurance and other financial services regulation which is discussed below.

ASIAN AND AUSTRALASIAN SUPERVISION AND REGULATION

Regulation of insurance business

The Prudential Group's and the AIA Group's businesses in Asia and Australasia are subject to all relevant local regulatory and supervisory schemes. These laws and regulations vary from country to country, but the regulators typically grant (or revoke) licences and therefore control the ability to operate a business.

The industry regulations are usually widely drawn and will include provisions governing both financial matters and the way business is conducted in general. Examples include the registration of agents, the approval of products, asset allocation, minimum capital and the basis for calculating the company's solvency and reserves and the valuation of policyholder liabilities. Regulatory authorities may also regulate affiliations with other financial institutions, shareholder structures and the injection of capital and payment of dividends. Financial statements and other returns are filed with the regulators. The regulators may also conduct physical inspections of the operations from time to time.

A number of jurisdictions across Asia and Australasia require insurance companies to participate in policyholder protection schemes (i.e. contribute to a fund to support policyholders in the event of an insurance company failing).

In addition to the local regulatory regimes in each of the geographical markets in which the Enlarged Group operates, the Hong Kong Office of the Commissioner of Insurance (the "OCI") exercises extra-territorial regulatory oversight over branches of AIA Co (located in Singapore, Brunei, Thailand and China) and AIA-B (located in Macau, Korea, Taiwan and New Zealand) in respect of certain risk management aspects. As a result, the regulatory framework in Hong Kong is relevant not only to AIA Co's and AIA-B's Hong Kong operations but also applies to a substantial number of the AIA Group's local operating units that are branches of AIA Co or AIA-B. Please see "Hong Kong — The Prudential Assurance Company Limited, AIA Co and AIA-B's Hong Kong branch" in this section for further details of regulation at the AIA Group level.

The Bermuda Monetary Authority (the "BMA") regulates AIA-B's business in Bermuda, as well as that of its branches in Asia (in addition to any relevant local law and regulation). Please see "Bermuda regulation" in this section for further details of regulation in Bermuda.

Subsidiaries of AIA Co and AIA-B, including those in Australia, Vietnam, Indonesia, Malaysia and the Philippines, are not subject to supervision and regulation by the OCI or the BMA (but are subject to applicable local regulatory requirements).

For Prudential Corporation Asia's and the AIA Group's insurance operations the details of the regulatory regimes are as follows:

Hong Kong — The Prudential Assurance Company Limited, AIA Co and AIA-B's Hong Kong branch ("AIA-B HK")

Overview

The Hong Kong branch of PAC is authorised to carry on both long-term business and general business in Hong Kong under a composite licence. The AIA Group is authorised to carry on insurance business in Hong Kong through AIA Co and AIA-B HK. AIA Co is authorised to carry on both long-term business and general business under a composite licence. AIA-B HK is licensed in respect of all classes of long-term business and in respect of health and protection classes of general business.

The OCI is the regulatory body set up for the administration of the Insurance Companies Ordinance (the “ICO”). The Office is headed by the Commissioner of Insurance who has been appointed as the Insurance Authority (“IA”) for administering the ICO. The principal functions of the IA are to ensure that the interests of policyholders or potential policyholders are protected and to promote the general stability of the insurance industry. The IA has the following major duties and powers: (i) authorisation of insurers to carry on insurance business in Hong Kong; (ii) regulation of insurers’ financial condition primarily through the examination of the annual audited financial statements and business returns submitted by the insurers; and (iii) development of legislation and guidelines on insurance supervision.

The Hong Kong operations of the Enlarged Group will also be subject to the codes and guidance stipulated by a self-regulatory body — the Hong Kong Federation of Insurers (the “HKFI”). HKFI actively promotes its self-regulatory regime with respect to areas like conduct of insurers and insurance intermediaries, cooling off initiatives, policy replacement and initiative on needs analysis, etc. The Insurance Agents Registration Board of the HKFI is responsible for administering the registration and approval of insurance intermediaries of insurance agents, their responsible officers and technical representatives; and handling complaints against them and providing enquiry services to and handling complaints from the public relating to insurance agents. Please see “The Code of Conduct for Insurers”, “The Insurance Claims Complaints Bureau” and “Regulation of Insurance Intermediaries” in this section.

Currently, the Hong Kong government is researching into the possibility of making the IA an independent governing body as this would give the OCI more flexibility in its operations and prepare Hong Kong for the risk-based capital regulatory regime. On the other hand, OCI is also exploring with the HKFI the possibility of setting up a policyholder protection fund in the event of an insurer’s insolvency.

Authorisation under the ICO

Companies carrying on insurance business in or from Hong Kong must obtain authorisation from the OCI. Authorisation will be granted only to insurers meeting certain requirements set out in section 8 of the ICO, which focuses on, among other things, the following items:

- paid-up capital;
- solvency margin;
- fitness and properness of directors and controllers; and
- adequacy of reinsurance arrangements.

In addition, an insurer must meet certain other criteria contained in the authorisation guidelines issued by the OCI which are intended to ensure that the insurer is financially sound and competent to provide an adequate level of services to the insured public. These conditions continue to apply to an insurer after its authorisation.

Types of insurance business under the ICO

The ICO requirements vary depending on the type of insurance business being undertaken by an insurer. The ICO defines two main types of business as follows:

- long-term business covers those types of insurance business in which policies are typically in place for long periods and includes life and annuity, linked long-term, permanent health and retirement scheme management policies; and
- general business covers all business other than long-term business, including accident and sickness, fire, property, motor vehicle, general liability, financial loss and legal expenses insurance.

SUPERVISION AND REGULATION

Both types of business defined in the ICO include reinsurance as well as direct insurance business. With the exception of certain requirements in the case of pure reinsurers, the authorisation criteria are the same for both direct insurers and reinsurers.

An insurer that undertakes both long-term and general business is referred to by the OCI as a composite business insurer.

In addition to these main types of business, the ICO imposes further requirements on insurers conducting insurance business relating to liabilities or risks in respect of which persons are required by law to be insured (“Statutory Business”), including employees’ compensation insurance and third party insurance in respect of motor vehicles.

Minimum paid-up capital requirement

Section 8(3)(b) of the ICO sets the following minimum paid up capital requirements for insurers depending on the type of business they intend to undertake:

- general business without Statutory Business: HK\$10 million;
- general business including Statutory Business: HK\$20 million;
- long-term business: HK\$10 million; and
- composite business: with or without statutory businesses HK\$20 million.

Solvency margin

Pursuant to sections 8(3)(a) and 35AA of the ICO, an insurer is required to maintain at all times an excess of assets over liabilities of not less than a required solvency margin. The objective is to provide a reasonable safeguard against the risk that the insurer’s assets may be inadequate to meet its liabilities arising from unpredictable events, such as adverse fluctuations in its operating results or the value of its assets and liabilities.

For general business insurers, the ICO stipulates an absolute minimum solvency margin of HK\$10 million, or HK\$20 million in the case of insurers carrying on Statutory Business. Above these minimum levels, solvency margins are calculated on the basis of the greater of an insurer’s relevant premium income (defined as the greater of gross premium income after deduction of reinsurance premium payments or 50% of gross premium income) or relevant outstanding claims (defined as the sum of unexpired risks plus the greater of 50% of claims outstanding before deduction of sums recoverable from reinsurers or the amount of claims outstanding after deduction of sums recoverable from reinsurers) as follows:

- 20% of premium income/outstanding claims up to HK\$200 million; and
- 10% of premium income/outstanding claims in excess of HK\$200 million.

To determine whether a general business insurer meets the solvency margin requirement, its assets are valued in accordance with the Insurance Companies (General Business) (Valuation) Regulation (Chapter 41 G of the Laws of Hong Kong) (the “Valuation Regulation”). The Valuation Regulation prescribes the valuation methods for different types of assets commonly found on an insurer’s balance sheet. To ensure a prudent diversification of investments, the Valuation Regulation also stipulates admissibility limits for different categories of assets. The admissibility limits, however, do not apply to assets maintained in Hong Kong pursuant to section 25A of the ICO as described below.

For long-term business insurers, the ICO stipulates an absolute minimum solvency margin of HK\$2 million. Above this minimum level, solvency margins are determined in accordance with the Insurance Companies (Margin of Solvency) Regulation (Chapter 41 F of the Laws of Hong Kong),

which sets out a series of calculations to be used depending on the particular class of long-term business involved.

To determine whether a long-term business insurer meets the solvency margin requirements, its assets and liabilities are valued in accordance with the Insurance Companies (Determination of Long-Term Liabilities) Regulation (Chapter 41 E of the Laws of Hong Kong), which sets out the bases for the determination of the amount of long-term business liabilities. An insurer is required to adopt prudent provisions and assumptions, particularly on interest rates, when valuing the amount of long-term business liabilities. Among others, valuation methods are specified for calculating the yields on assets and the amount of future premiums payable under an insurance contract.

For composite insurers, the ICO stipulates a minimum solvency margin based on the aggregate of the solvency margin required in respect of an insurer's general business and its long-term business, both calculated as described above.

Fit and proper directors and controllers

Section 8(2) of the ICO requires that all directors and controllers of an insurer must be "fit and proper" persons to hold such positions. Section 9 of the ICO defines an insurer's controllers as including, among others, a managing director of the insurer or its corporate parent, a chief executive officer of the insurer or its corporate parent (only if the parent is also an insurer), a person in accordance with whose directions or instructions the directors of the insurer or its corporate parent are accustomed to act or who, alone or with any associate or through a nominee, is entitled to exercise, or control the exercise of, 15% or more of the voting power at any general meeting of the insurer or its corporate parent. Sections 13A, 13B and 14 of the ICO also require notification to the OCI of any change in the directors or controllers of an authorised insurer and the prior approval of the OCI for the appointment of certain controllers, including the chief executive of an insurer.

Although the term "fit and proper" is not defined further in the ICO, the OCI has issued a guidance note which sets out those factors that the OCI will take into account in applying the "fit and proper" test to the directors or controllers of an insurer. These factors include a director's or controller's financial status, character, reputation, integrity, reliability, qualifications and experience regarding the functions to be performed by such director or controller and ability to perform such functions efficiently, honestly and fairly.

Adequate reinsurance arrangements

Section 8(3)(c) of the ICO requires all insurers to have adequate reinsurance arrangements in force in respect of the risks of those classes of insurance business they carry out, or to justify why such arrangements are not necessary. In considering the adequacy of reinsurance arrangements of an insurer, the OCI will take into account the following factors:

- the type of reinsurance treaties entered into by the insurer;
- the maximum retention of risks by the insurer;
- the security of the reinsurers; and
- the spread of risks among participating reinsurers.

With regard to the spread of risks among reinsurers, the OCI considers that additional risks arise where a reinsurer is a related company of the insurer. To address this concern, the OCI has issued a guidance note on reinsurance with related companies, which sets out the criteria to be used in determining the adequacy of such arrangements. The OCI will consider a related reinsurer to provide adequate security if any of the following requirements are met:

- the reinsurer is itself authorised under the ICO;

SUPERVISION AND REGULATION

- the reinsurer or any one of its direct or indirect holding companies has received an adequate rating from a credit rating agency (currently the OCI specifies an Insurer Financial Strength Rating of AA- or above by S&P, Aa3 or above by Moody's or A+ or above by A.M. Best, or equivalent rating); or
- the reinsurer or any one of its direct or indirect holding companies is otherwise considered by the OCI as having a status comparable to the above.

In the event that none of these requirements is met by a related reinsurer, the OCI will restrict the amount of net reinsurance it deems recoverable from that reinsurer when assessing the cedent's financial position, unless it determines that acceptable collateral security, such as an irrevocable and permanently renewable letter of credit, is in place in respect of the arrangement with that reinsurer.

Maintenance of assets

Section 25A of the ICO requires insurers carrying on general business to maintain assets in Hong Kong in respect of the liabilities arising from their Hong Kong business. The minimum amount of assets to be maintained is calculated on the basis of an insurer's net liabilities and the proportion of its solvency margin requirement attributable to its general business in Hong Kong, taking into account the level of reinsurance that has been entered into by the insurer in respect of its liabilities.

Sections 22 to 23 of the ICO require insurers carrying on long-term business to keep separate accounts for different classes of long-term business and to maintain certain levels of assets calculated on the basis of their solvency margins in respect of each class of business in funds that are applicable only to that particular business.

The OCI has also issued a guidance note on reserve provisioning for Class G of long-term business (defined in the ICO as long-term business involving retirement scheme contracts which provide for a guaranteed capital or return) to reinforce and enhance the required standard of provision for Class G business. Policies classified under Class G of long-term business are mainly offered as retirement scheme contracts under retirement schemes regulated pursuant to the MPFSO and the ORSO. An insurer authorised to carry on long-term business is required, among other things, to maintain a separate long-term business fund for its Class G business carried on in or from Hong Kong. In respect of the Class G business fund, the OCI requires that the value of the assets contained in the fund are in the aggregate not less than the amount of the liabilities attributable to such business.

Accounting and reporting requirements

The ICO requires insurers to maintain proper books of accounts which must exhibit and explain all transactions entered into by them in the course of their business. Insurers must submit information including audited financial statements, a directors' report and statistics relating to the valuation of their insurance business and outstanding claims to the OCI on an annual basis.

Insurers carrying on long-term business are also required to appoint an actuary to conduct an annual actuarial investigation and submit a report to the OCI on their financial condition in respect of the long-term business. The appointed actuary is responsible for advising on all actuarial aspects of the financial management of an insurer's long-term business including proper premium setting, a prudent reserving policy, a suitable investment allocation, appropriate reinsurance arrangements and due reporting of irregularities to the OCI.

Corporate governance of authorised insurers

The OCI has issued a guidance note on the corporate governance of authorised insurers, which aims to enhance the integrity and general well-being of the insurance industry by providing assistance to authorised insurers for the evaluation and formulation of their internal practices and procedures. This guidance note sets out the minimum standard of corporate governance

expected of authorised insurers and applies to both authorised insurers incorporated in Hong Kong and authorised insurers incorporated outside Hong Kong where 75% or more of their annual gross premium income pertains to their Hong Kong insurance business, unless written consent for exemption has been obtained from the OCI. This guidance note covers the following key items:

- structure of senior management;
- roles and responsibilities of the board of directors;
- board matters;
- board committees;
- internal controls;
- compliance with laws and regulations; and
- servicing of clients.

Irrespective of the proportion of an overseas insurer's Hong Kong insurance business, the OCI expects such an insurer to observe strictly any applicable guidelines on corporate governance promulgated by its home regulatory authority.

Asset management

In order to ensure that an insurer will meet its contractual liabilities to policyholders, its assets must be managed in a sound and prudent manner, taking into account the profile of liabilities and risks of the insurer. The OCI has issued a guidance note on asset management by authorised insurers, which is adopted from the paper "Supervisory Standard on Asset Management By Insurance Companies" as approved by the International Association of Insurance Supervisors in 1999. This guidance note applies to both an insurer incorporated in Hong Kong and the Hong Kong branch of an insurer incorporated outside Hong Kong whose investment in financial assets exceeds HK\$100 million. This guidance note provides a checklist for assessing how insurers should control the risks associated with their investment activities and includes guidance and commentary on the following key items:

- investment process, policy and procedures;
- overall asset management strategies;
- investment mandate given by the board of directors to senior management;
- audit in respect of the insurer's asset management functions;
- risk management functions; and
- internal controls.

In order to assess how insurers control the risks associated with their investment activities, the OCI may periodically request information from insurers, including accessing information through on-site inspections and discussion with insurers.

Power of intervention

The IA is empowered under Part V of the ICO to intervene in an insurer's business and take appropriate actions in the following circumstances:

- where the IA considers that the exercise of this power is desirable for protecting the interests of existing and potential policyholders against the risk that the insurer may be unable to meet its liabilities or to fulfil the reasonable expectations of existing or potential policyholders;

SUPERVISION AND REGULATION

- where it appears to the IA that the insurer or its corporate parent has failed to satisfy any of its obligations under the ICO;
- where it appears to the IA that the insurer has provided misleading or inaccurate information to it for the purposes of the ICO;
- where the IA is not satisfied as to the adequacy of the insurer's reinsurance arrangements;
- where the IA is not satisfied with the financial condition of the insurer or its compliance position with the prescribed regulatory benchmark or requirements in respect of, amongst others, its assets and liabilities matching position, reserving level or financial projections; or
- where the insurer fails to comply with the on-going authorisation conditions and requirements imposed by the IA and any financial undertakings provided thereof by its corporate controller and "fitness and prudence" of its directors and controllers.

The IA may also intervene in an insurer's business, whether or not any of the above circumstances exist, at any time during the 5 year period following authorisation of the insurer or a person becoming a controller of an insurer.

The actions that the IA may take in intervening in an insurer's business include:

- restrictions on the insurer effecting new business;
- limits on the amount of premium income an insurer may receive during a specified period in respect of certain classes of business;
- restrictions on types of investments an insurer may make, or requirements that the insurer realise certain types of investments within a specified period;
- requirements that an insurer maintain assets in Hong Kong equal to the whole or a specified portion of the liabilities arising from its Hong Kong business, and that these assets be held in the custody of a trustee approved by the IA;
- the appointment of a manager to assume control of an insurer; and
- requirements that the insurer has to conduct a special actuarial investigation on its long term business, to produce information and documents and to accelerate submission of returns by the insurer.

Section 35 Orders

Following the AIG Events, letters dated 17 September 2008 were issued from the IA to each of AIA Co and AIA-B HK ("Section 35 Orders") requiring each of AIA Co or AIA-B, including all of their branches, as appropriate:

- 1) to ensure that all insurance business and all transactions with any "specified person" are on normal commercial terms;
- 2) to ensure that AIA Co or AIA-B does not place any deposit with or transfer assets (except for normal insurance transactions) or provide financial assistance to any "specified person" without first obtaining written consent from the IA; and
- 3) to inform the IA as soon as practicable of any circumstances which may put the interest of policyholders or potential policyholders at risk.

For the purpose of the Section 35 Orders, "specified person" includes but is not limited to an insurer's branches, directors, controllers, shareholders and associates or group companies.

Among other consequences, the Section 35 Orders place restrictions on the ability of AIA Co and AIA-B to engage in capital related transactions with specified persons. Accordingly, the Section 35 Orders restrict the ability of AIA Co and AIA-B to pay dividends to their parent companies, and limit

their ability to engage in intercompany transactions with specified persons, such as payment of intercompany service fees, without first obtaining written consent from the IA.

By further letters dated 18 September 2008 to AIA Co and AIA-B, the IA required that AIA Co and AIA-B not acquire a new controller without first obtaining written consent from the IA.

In connection with the Section 35 Orders, Prudential has given to the IA an undertaking that it will:

- (i) ensure that (a) AIA Co and AIA-B will at all times maintain a solvency ratio of not less than 150%, both on an individual insurer basis and on an AIA Co/AIA-B consolidated basis; (b) it will not withdraw any capital or transfer any funds or assets out of either company that will cause AIA Co or AIA-B's solvency ratio to fall below 150%, except with, in the case of either (a) or (b), the prior written consent of the IA; (c) should the solvency ratio of AIA Co and/or AIA-B fall below 150% it will take steps as soon as possible to restore it to at least 150% in a manner acceptable to the IA; and (d) any proposed transfer of capital, funds or assets out of AIA Co or AIA-B will require certification by both the Appointed Actuary of the relevant company and an independent actuary or independent auditor acceptable to the IA;
- (ii) comply with the matters set out in the undertaking relating to legal, regulatory and supervisory compliance; group structure, corporate governance, the senior management team; and the plan for the integration of the Enlarged Group's businesses in Asia; and
- (iii) use its best endeavours to comply with all enhancements or improvements to those matters referred to in (ii) above that may be prescribed from time to time by the IA.

In consideration of Prudential providing the aforesaid undertaking, the IA has agreed to vary the Section 35 Orders, with effect from completion of the acquisition of AIA by New Prudential by deleting the words "or transfer assets (except for normal insurance transactions)" from subparagraph (2) above.

The Code of Conduct for Insurers

As part of the self-regulatory initiatives taken by the industry, the HKFI has published The Code of Conduct for Insurers. This code seeks to: describe the expected standard of good insurance practice in the establishment of insurance contracts and claims settling; promote the disclosure of relevant and useful information to customers; facilitate the education of customers about their rights and obligations under insurance contracts; foster a high professional standard in the transaction of insurance business; and encourage insurers to promote and enhance the industry's public image and standing. This code applies to all general insurance members and life insurance members of the HKFI and applies to insurance effected in Hong Kong by individual policyholders resident in Hong Kong and insured in their private capacity only. As a condition of membership of the HKFI, all general insurance members and life insurance members undertake to abide by this code and use their best endeavours to ensure that their staff and insurance agents observe its provisions.

The Insurance Claims Complaints Bureau

The Insurance Claims Complaints Bureau was established to implement self-regulation in the interpretation and handling of insurance claims complaints arising from all types of personal insurance policies taken out by residents of Hong Kong. The Insurance Claims Complaints Panel was established by the Insurance Claims Complaints Bureau with the objective of providing independent and impartial adjudication of complaints between insurers and their policyholders. The Insurance Claims Complaints Panel is in charge of handling claims complaints from both policyholders themselves and their beneficiaries and rightful claimants. The Insurance Claims Complaints Panel, in making its rulings, is required to act in conformity with the terms of the relevant policy, general principles of good insurance practice, any applicable rule of law or judicial authority, and any codes and guidelines issued from time to time by the HKFI.

Regulation of insurance intermediaries

Insurance intermediaries are defined under the ICO as either insurance agents or insurance brokers. The key difference between the two types of insurance intermediaries is that insurance agents act as agents or subagents of insurers, while insurance brokers act as agents of policyholders and potential policyholders. Both are subject to a self-regulatory system supported by provisions contained in Part X of the ICO.

Under the ICO, a person is prohibited from holding itself out as an insurance agent or insurance broker unless such person is properly appointed or authorised. A person is also prohibited from holding itself out as an appointed insurance agent and an authorised insurance broker at the same time. It is also an offence under the ICO for an insurer to effect a contract of insurance through, or accept insurance business referred to it by, an insurance intermediary who has not been properly appointed or authorised.

To act as an insurance agent, a person is required to be appointed by an insurer and registered with the Insurance Agents Registration Board established by the HKFI. Under Section 66 of the ICO, an insurer is required to keep a register of appointed insurance agents and to make such register available for public inspection at its registered office (or principal place of business) in Hong Kong, or the registered office of the HKFI. An insurer is required to give the OCI and/or the Insurance Agents Registration Board details of the registration or removal of its appointed insurance agents within seven days of such registration or removal.

An insurer is required to comply with the Code of Practice for the Administration of Insurance Agents issued by the HKFI and endorsed by the OCI pursuant to section 67 of the ICO. The Code of Practice for the Administration of Insurance Agent specifies the rules and procedures governing the registration and de-registration of insurance agents, the power of the Insurance Agents Registration Board to handle complaints and to require insurers to take disciplinary actions against their insurance agents, the "fit and proper" criteria for insurance agents and the minimum requirements of agency agreements. An insurer is responsible for the actions of its appointed insurance agents in their dealings with clients in respect of the issue of insurance contracts and related insurance business. The OCI has the power to direct the de-registration of an appointed insurance agent.

Taiwan — PCA Life Assurance Company Limited, AIA-B's Taiwan branch ("AIA Taiwan")

Overview

Currently, composite licences to sell both life and non-life insurance are not granted and PCA Life Assurance Company Limited and AIA Taiwan are both licensed for life insurance business only.

The Financial Supervisory Commission (the "FSC") is responsible for regulating the entire financial services sector. The FSC's responsibilities include supervision, examination and investigation. The Insurance Bureau (the "IB") of the FSC is responsible for the insurance sector.

Taiwanese laws are based on a civil code model and each competent authority is given powers to develop and issue regulation on specific topics or issues. Many of the current insurance regulations were revised and promulgated in 2007 in response to the significant amendment of the Insurance Act in 2007. Similar to many Asian countries, the provisions of insurance regulations tend to be prescriptive.

In 2007, the FSC promulgated the guidance notes related to foreign currency denominated traditional life business. Insurers must satisfy several requirements related to disciplinary performance, risk-based capital, risk management controls, and complaints efficiency in order to qualify. At this stage, only life insurance and annuity products denominated in US dollars are permitted. Prior approval from the Central Bank of Taiwan and IB is required and the underlying foreign portfolio is also subject to the 45% foreign investment limit as per the Insurance Act.

In 2007, the FSC promulgated a regulation governing the engagement by insurers in insurance trust business. Before engaging in insurance trust business, an insurer is required to meet certain qualifying requirements and to apply to the IB for approval. The insurer may invest the entrusted money into cash, bank deposits, bonds, financial debentures, short-term bills, and other instruments otherwise approved by the IB. Further, it is required not only to segregate the trust assets from its own assets by establishing a separate account for the trust assets, but also to build a risk management and internal control system to ensure the proper management of the business.

Generally, the insurance law and regulations focus mainly on administrative supervision of insurance operations rather than conduct of business. An exception is the Regulation, Guideline and Self-Discipline Regulation related to the sale of investment-linked insurance products, where specific requirements, such as the obligations on insurers to disclose to prospective customers the costs of the product and the risks involved, to set up "Know Your Customers" operating principles and to perform needs analysis in identifying risk appetite and financial objectives of policy applicants are established. Other requirements include establishing risk classes for structured notes products, sample testing of new business to determine appropriateness of sales process and policy suitability, and conducting regular inspection to avoid the use of inappropriate sale materials.

Since September 2008, and following the AIG Events, AIA Taiwan has been required by the FSC to observe additional reporting requirements in respect of any significant decisions or resolutions relating to policyholders' rights and in relation to AIA Taiwan's parent company's financial situation.

Korea — PCA Life Insurance Company Limited, AIA Korea

Overview

Both PCA Life Insurance Company Limited and AIA Korea are authorised to carry on life insurance business in Korea including but not limited to casualty insurance, illness insurance, nursing insurance and incidental business and services related thereto.

Korea's financial supervision structure underwent major change on 29 February 2008, when the Financial Supervisory Commission was integrated with the Financial Policy Bureau of the former Ministry of Finance and Economy to become the Financial Services Commission (the "FSC"). As Korea's principal supervisory authority, the new FSC is given broad statutory mandate to carry out three key functions, financial policy formulation, financial institution and market oversight, and anti-money laundering. The FSC thus has the statutory authority to draft and amend financial laws and regulations and issue regulatory licences to financial institutions. The Financial Supervisory Service (the "FSS") acts as the executive supervisory authority for the FSC and principally carries out examination of financial institutions along with enforcement and other oversight activities as directed or charged by the FSC. Therefore, detailed rules under the supervisory regulation are prepared by the FSS.

In respect of anti-money laundering, the Korea Financial Intelligence Unit (KoFIU), which was also integrated into the FSC as part of the reorganisation, leads the government's anti-money laundering and counter-terrorism financing efforts.

Currently, the country does not permit a company to operate both life and non-life insurance at the same time. The Republic of Korea operates a civil law system, with the FSC prescribing many detailed regulations for insurers to comply with. In the past, the FSC has also been very interventionist in setting and enforcing rules on the insurance industry. However, the style of regulation has been gradually changing along with the trend of liberalisation of financial services. This is most pronounced with the regulator focusing on the deregulation in asset management and product design activities. Furthermore, the FSS is setting an aggressive insurance supervisory agenda that would strengthen supervision while promoting deregulation. The regulator is moving towards risk-based supervision focusing on various risks of insurers' operations. As part of the shift to risk-based supervision, the FSS has introduced the Risk Assessment and Application System ("RAAS") to

assess insurers' various risks and relevant internal control and Risk-Based Capital ("RBC") solvency requirement.

Both the Insurance Business Act and Insurance Business Supervisory Regulations have not been very specific on the entity's responsibilities for the suitability of products. There is no explicit requirement for an insurer to consider the suitability of the product for the potential customer. However, on 18 December 2008, the FSC introduced a revised bill for an Insurance Business Act to the National Assembly (Korea's legislative body) which will become effective provided it is passed in the National Assembly. It establishes the definition of an insurance product and also strengthens consumer protection measures. It overhauls the examination procedure for an insurance product and also establishes a basis for fair competition among businesses in various financial sectors. The revised bill is still pending in the National Assembly.

The FSS also operates a Consumer Protection Department and a Dispute Settlement Department in the Customer Protection Division to resolve and prevent customer complaints and disputes relating to insurance companies.

Korea currently allows many different forms of channels for distributing life insurance products including brokers, agents, telemarketing, direct mailing, bancassurance and mass media television selling.

Capital requirements

In respect of an insurer's capital adequacy requirement, Korea previously had a solvency margin requirement. Effective from 1 April 2009, Korea adopted a risk-based capital requirement to replace the solvency margin requirement. A two-year transition period between the two requirements is currently in place, which means that both requirements will be enforced in parallel until 31 March 2011. During this transition period, insurers have the discretion to elect which of the two requirements to comply with. From 1 April 2011, it will be mandatory for all insurers to comply with the risk-based capital requirement.

The solvency margin requirement under the Korean Insurance Business Act is intended to ensure that insurers maintain a solvency surplus against future liabilities, and the requirement is based on the European Union solvency ratio model. In particular, all insurers, including branches of foreign insurers, must maintain net assets of equal or greater value to an amount calculated on the basis of the liabilities that they insure such that they maintain a solvency margin ratio of at least 100%.

The risk-based capital requirement was introduced pursuant to amendments to the Insurance Business Supervisory Regulation and its relevant enforcement regulations issued pursuant to the Insurance Business Act. Under this requirement, the ratio of an insurer's available capital to required capital is calculated, and the analysis of equity capital used to determine capital adequacy is expanded, to take into account market, credit, operational, insurance and interest rate risks, which are not currently taken into consideration under the solvency margin requirement.

In the event an insurer fails to satisfy the applicable capital adequacy requirement and this poses a threat to the financial soundness of that insurer in Korea, the FSC may take prompt corrective action which ranges from issuing a recommendation to an insurer to increase its capital reserves or restrict its investments in high-risk securities and other assets to issuing an order to an insurer to suspend its business or transfer it to a third party.

Reserve requirements

In order to satisfy the payment obligations of an insurer's policies, which include claims, reimbursements and dividends payable to policyholders, an insurer must establish and maintain a separate liability reserve in respect of each of the following: insurance premiums reserve, unexpired insurance premiums reserve, insurance claim payments reserve, dividends reserve, profit

dividends reserve, dividend insurance loss maintenance reserve and reinsurance premiums reserve. However, if an insurer acquires reinsurance of its liability under an insurance contract and such reinsurance satisfies stipulated regulatory conditions, the liability reserve in respect of that insurance contract need not be maintained by the insurer.

Statutory fund

Under the Depositor Protection Act of Korea, the Korea Deposit Insurance Corporation insures certain liabilities (the “KDIC Insured Liabilities”) owed to the public in Korea by financial institutions. The KDIC Insured Liabilities of an insurer under the Depositor Protection Act of Korea include insurance premiums, surrender values and insurance claims payable by an insurer to an individual policyholder. Under the Depositor Protection Act of Korea, all insurers are required to contribute an annual insurance premium to the Korea Deposit Insurance Corporation at a rate determined pursuant to the Depositor Protection Act, up to a maximum of 0.5% of an insurer’s KDIC Insured Liabilities in that year. The annual insurance premium payable by an insurer is currently approximately 0.16% of the average of the insurer’s annual premium income and liability reserve. Under the current rules, the Korea Deposit Insurance Corporation insures only up to a total of Korean Won 50 million per individual against each insurer.

Asset management

Subject to certain exceptions, the Insurance Business Act provides for caps on the proportion of an insurer’s total investments that can be held in particular classes of assets or exposure to particular counterparts. Such caps may be revised by the FSC from time to time as it deems necessary.

The Insurance Business Act restricts, among other things, certain asset management transactions such as the making of speculative loans, loans for the acquisition of the insurer’s own securities, loans for political funds and foreign exchange and financial derivative transactions that fail to meet the requirements of the FSC.

Use of insurance funds by an insurer is subject to certain restrictions, including a general prohibition on an insurer offering its assets as security or guaranteeing debts of any other person (unless permitted under the Insurance Business Act), and a general prohibition on an insurer owning more than 15% of the voting shares of another corporation unless that corporation is a subsidiary of the insurer and the approval of the FSC has been obtained.

Reinsurance

Pursuant to the Insurance Business Supervisory Regulation issued pursuant to the Insurance Business Act, an insurer will be given credit for the purposes of its solvency margin and risk-based capital calculations only for ceded reinsurance covering a maximum of 50% of its total insurance liabilities. Any reinsurance ceded by an insurer in excess of 50% will be disregarded in calculating its capital requirements. In addition, insurers are required to give notice to the FSS within one month after execution of (or change of) every reinsurance treaty where the reinsurance treaty determines reinsurance premiums with reference to an expected rate of investment return, or the reinsurance treaty provides for a limitation of the reinsurer’s liability.

Regulation of products

Any new product (including its terms, introduction to the market and premium rates and their calculation methods) is subject to *ex ante* or *ex post* review by the FSS (“Process for File & Use” or “Use & File”, depending on the product). In addition, an insurer must disclose on its internet website certain information, including a summary of its products, insurance terms, applicable interest rates and, in relation to the premium rates of variable insurance products, the calculation method for such rates and information on any designated accounts for such variable insurance products.

Restrictions on foreign insurers

In the event that the head office of a Korean branch of a foreign insurer is closed due to a merger or transfer of business in its home jurisdiction, is subject to suspension or revocation of its insurance licence by any foreign financial supervisory agency on grounds of illegal conduct or unfair business practices, or suspends its insurance business or ceases to operate, the insurance licence of that insurer's Korean branch may be revoked.

A branch of a foreign insurer must hold assets located in Korea equivalent to the liability reserve sufficient to fulfil all insurance contracts written in Korea. If the amount of assets located in Korea held by the branch of a foreign insurer is determined to be insufficient based on the annual audited accounts, the assets must be supplemented through an injection of capital within 60 calendar days. In the event an insurer is instructed to remedy any deficiency following a decision of the FSS in consultation with the FSC, remedial action must be taken within 30 calendar days.

Financial reporting requirement

An insurer is required to close its books on 31 March of each year and submit its financial statements, including supplementary statements, audit reports, a statement of repaid funds and a statement of interest on funds, to the FSC within three months.

Singapore — Prudential Assurance Company Singapore (Pte) Limited, AIA Singapore and Pru Life Assurance Limited

Overview

Prudential Assurance Company Singapore (Pte) Limited and AIA Singapore are registered by the Monetary Authority of Singapore (the "MAS") to design and sell both life and general insurance business pursuant to the Insurance Act, Chapter 142 of Singapore (the "Singapore Insurance Act").

Under the Singapore Insurance Act, the MAS is responsible for insurance company regulation and supervision. In order to sell insurance in Singapore, companies need to be registered with the MAS. The MAS also has responsibility for supervising compliance with anti-money laundering ("AML") provisions, though suspicious transactions must be notified to the Commercial Affairs Department, an enforcement agency of the Singapore Police Force. In 2007, new regulations were introduced to strengthen further the AML requirements. They were revised in 2009.

Another relevant regulatory authority for the business is the Central Provident Fund (the "CPF") Board. The CPF is a social security savings scheme jointly supported by employees, employers and the government. CPF members are employees and self-employed persons in Singapore with the CPF Board acting as the trustee. The CPF Board regulates insurers in the operation of various CPF schemes including the CPF Investment Scheme where CPF monies are used by policyholders to purchase insurance policies such as annuities and investment-linked policies.

The MAS is empowered under the Singapore Insurance Act to make regulations for the sector and it also issues, *inter alia*, Notices, Circulars and Guidelines. In practice, the MAS and CPF Board have very detailed legislation frameworks to govern insurance companies and the distribution of insurance products in Singapore.

Registered insurers in Singapore are subject to a risk-based capital ("RBC") framework. The framework sets out the valuation methodology for assets and liabilities, rules relating to the operations of life insurance funds, capital requirement rules, the role of actuaries, and a set of statutory reporting standards. An insurer has to notify the MAS when it has failed or is likely to fail to comply with the mandated RBC indicators or when a financial resources warning event has occurred or is likely to occur.

The MAS has also issued Notices that cover the market conduct standards for life insurers including such areas as appointing and training representatives, maximum tier structure, loans and

advances, disciplinary action, disclosure, sales process and replacement of life policies. In addition, the MAS has recently issued a set of guidelines entitled “Guidelines on Fair Dealing — Board and Senior Management Responsibilities for Delivering Fair Dealing Outcomes to Customers”.

Capital requirements

A registered insurer is required at all times to maintain a minimum level of paid-up ordinary share capital and to ensure that its financial resources are not less than the greater of:

- (a) the sum of:
 - (i) the aggregate of the total risk requirement of all insurance funds established and maintained by the insurer under the Singapore Insurance Act; and
 - (ii) where the insurer is incorporated in Singapore, the total risk requirement arising from the assets and liabilities of the insurer that do not belong to any insurance fund established and maintained under the Singapore Insurance Act (including assets and liabilities of any of the insurer’s branches located outside Singapore); or
- (b) a minimum amount of 5 million Singapore Dollars.

The MAS has the authority to direct that the insurer satisfy capital adequacy requirements other than those that the insurer is required to maintain under the Singapore Insurance Act if the MAS considers it appropriate.

Statutory deposit

A person carrying on insurance business in Singapore as an insurer, while registered in respect of any class of insurance business, is required to have at all times in respect of that class of business a deposit (in assets of such nature as the MAS may specify) with the MAS of a value of not less than 500,000 Singapore Dollars. Subject to the approval of the MAS, a bank covenant for an equivalent amount may be provided to the MAS in lieu of the deposit.

Statutory fund

The MAS maintains a Policy Owners’ Protection Fund for the purposes of indemnifying, assisting or protecting policy owners and others who may be prejudiced by the inability of registered insurers to meet their liabilities under life policies and compulsory insurance policies issued by such insurers. For the purposes of funding the Policy Owners’ Protection Fund, the MAS may impose a levy on registered insurers. Among other things, in order to secure continuity of insurance for every policy owner of a registered insurer in liquidation or in financial difficulties who is a policy owner in respect of a life policy which was a Singapore policy or an offshore policy and not being a contract of reinsurance, the MAS may take measures to secure or facilitate the transfer of the life business of the insurer, or part of that business, to another registered insurer or to secure the issue by another registered insurer to the policy owners of life policies in substitution of their existing policies.

Asset management

The MAS Notice 104 “Use of Derivatives for Investment of Insurance Fund Assets” provides that insurers are only permitted to enter into or effect derivative transactions for the purposes of hedging and efficient portfolio management. In addition, insurers are prohibited from taking uncovered positions in derivatives.

The MAS Notice 105 “Appointment of Custodian and Fund Manager” requires a registered insurer to file with the MAS a list of all assets of all insurance funds established and maintained under the Singapore Insurance Act by the insurer where documents evidencing title are kept by custodians for the insurer as at the end of that accounting period, to apply best practice standards in

appointing overseas custodians, and to notify the MAS prior to the appointment of a fund manager or revocation of such appointment.

The MAS Notice 317 “Asset Management of Life Insurance Funds” sets out the basic principles that govern the oversight of the asset management process of life insurance funds. It requires insurers to establish an investment committee and prescribes the main elements that must be incorporated in the investment policy of an insurer carrying on life insurance business.

The MAS Notice 320 “Management of Participating Life Insurance Business” requires an insurer which has established or will be establishing a participating fund to put in place an internal governance policy which shall include information on, among other things, the investment of participating fund assets.

Separate accounts requirement

Every registered insurer is required to establish and maintain a separate insurance fund (a) for each class of insurance business carried on by the insurer that (i) relates to Singaporean policies and (ii) relates to offshore policies; (b) for its investment-linked policies and for its non-investment-linked policies; and (c) if no part of the surplus of assets over liabilities from an insurer’s non-participating policies is allocated by the insurer by way of bonus to its participating policies, in respect of non-investment-linked policies (i) for participating policies and (ii) for non-participating policies.

The MAS Notice 101 “Maintenance of Insurance Funds”, the MAS Notice 313 “Basis for Establishing Separate Funds for Participating and Non-participating Policies” and the MAS Guidelines on Implementation of Insurance Fund Concept provide further guidance and requirements on the establishment and maintenance of insurance funds and the segregation of the assets of registered insurers in Singapore as required under the Singapore Insurance Act.

The solvency requirement in respect of an insurance fund must at all times be such that the “financial resources” of the fund are not less than the “total risk requirement” of the fund. Each of the “financial resources” of an insurance fund and its “total risk requirement” is determined, and assets are valued, in accordance with the requirements of the Insurance (Valuation and Capital) Regulations 2004. The MAS has the authority to direct that the insurer satisfy fund solvency requirements other than those that the insurer is required to maintain under the Singapore Insurance Act if the MAS considers it appropriate.

The assets in the insurance fund shall only be applicable to meet such part of the insurer’s liabilities and expenses as is properly attributable (excluding certain levies).

Reinsurance

The MAS Notice 114 “Reinsurance Management Strategy” sets forth the guiding principles relating to the oversight of the reinsurance management process of insurers and includes the principle that the board of directors and senior management of an insurer are required to develop, implement and maintain a reinsurance management strategy appropriate to the operations of the insurer to ensure that the insurer has sufficient capacity to meet obligations as they fall due.

The MAS Notices 208 and 316 “Financial Reinsurance” impose certain requirements in respect of financial reinsurance for insurers registered to carry on general business and life business respectively. These include guidelines and mandatory requirements on, among other things, prudent management oversight, disclosure and reporting obligations and transfers of insurance risk.

Regulation of products

A direct insurer registered to carry on life business may only issue a life policy or a long-term accident and health policy if the premium chargeable under the policy is in accordance with rates fixed with the approval of an appointed actuary or, where no rates have been so fixed, is a premium approved by the actuary.

Before offering certain new products, an insurer is required under the MAS Notice 302 "Product Development and Pricing" to obtain approval from the MAS. For products that do not require the MAS's approval, an insurer should notify the MAS of any such product launched within a prescribed period. Such request or notification shall include information on, among other things, the tables of premium rates.

Appointment and duties of actuaries

Insurers carrying on life and general business are required to appoint an actuary approved by the MAS, and are required to (a) in respect of their life business, have an investigation made by an actuary approved by the MAS into the financial condition of that business; and (b) in respect of their general business, have an investigation made by an actuary approved by the MAS into their liabilities in respect of insurance policies. Reports of such investigations must be lodged with the MAS.

The appointed actuary is responsible for, among other things, reporting to the principal officer of a life insurer on various matters including matters which in the actuary's opinion have a material adverse effect on the financial condition of a life insurer. In the event a life insurer fails to take steps to rectify any matter reported by the actuary to its principal officer, the actuary is required to report directly to the MAS.

Financial reporting requirements

The Insurance (Accounts and Statements) Regulations 2004 sets forth various reporting requirements and prescribes the forms in which the relevant returns and statements of a registered insurer are to be made. The regulatory framework also prescribes the valuation of assets and liabilities of an insurance fund, as well as the valuation of life and general insurance policy liabilities at a policy-by-policy level.

A registered insurer is required to file with the MAS, among other things, (a) for each quarter and each accounting period, statements for each insurance fund established and maintained under the Singapore Insurance Act; (b) a report by an actuary on its investigation into the valuation of policy liabilities and the financial soundness in respect of the insurer's life insurance business; (c) a report by an actuary on its investigation into the valuation of policy liabilities in respect of the insurer's general insurance business; (d) statements on the fund solvency requirement and capital adequacy requirement; (e) an auditor's report and supplementary report (if any); and (f) any other information the MAS may require for the discharge of its functions under the Singapore Insurance Act.

In the case of a company incorporated or established outside Singapore, the financial audit need not extend beyond the business for which an insurance fund is maintained under the Singapore Insurance Act. Such a registered insurer incorporated outside Singapore is required to file with the MAS for each fiscal year, in respect of the insurer's global business operations, a statement of the financial position of the insurer as of the end of that fiscal year. A registered insurer incorporated in Singapore is required to file with the MAS statements in respect of its global business operations for each quarter and each accounting period.

In addition, the MAS Notice 306 "Market Conduct Standards for Life Insurers Providing Financial Advisory Services" as defined under the Financial Advisers Act (Cap. 110) and the MAS Notice 318

“Market Conduct Standards for Direct Life Insurer as a Product Provider” require insurers to submit information on their businesses to the MAS annually.

MAS directions

Since October 2008, the Monetary Authority of Singapore (“MAS”) has issued certain directions to AIA Singapore specifying that it comply with increased capital adequacy requirements. In addition, the directions provide that prior MAS consent be sought in respect of certain transactions including transfers or disposals of certain assets (including land or buildings) and financing and guarantee arrangements. The directions also impose certain additional reporting requirements on AIA Singapore.

Malaysia — Prudential Assurance Malaysia Berhad, AIA Malaysia

Overview

Prudential Assurance Malaysia Berhad and AIA Malaysia have composite licences to carry on both life and general insurance business in Malaysia pursuant to the Insurance Act 1996. In addition, both companies are members of the Life Insurance Association of Malaysia and the General Insurance Association of Malaysia.

In Malaysia, Bank Negara Malaysia (“BNM”) is the regulatory body responsible for supervising and regulating the conduct of insurance business. All insurance companies must be licensed by the Minister of Finance. Licensed insurers must comply with the provisions of the Insurance Act 1996, the Insurance Regulations 1996, the Companies Act 1965 and guidelines and circulars issued by BNM. The Life Insurance Association of Malaysia and the General Insurance Association of Malaysia are self-regulated bodies. Resolutions and circulars issued by these associations are binding on the member insurance companies.

At the end of 2006, BNM carried out an exercise of realignment of its regulatory and supervisory functions which resulted in the establishment of a Consumer and Market Conduct Department. More emphasis has been placed on fair market conduct by the insurance industry and protection of the consumers’ interests.

With the objective of promoting greater transparency in the sale of insurance products, BNM has issued guidelines on the minimum disclosure requirements to be observed by insurers and their intermediaries in their interaction with prospective policy owners. The guidelines specify the timing and minimum information that must be disclosed to a prospective policy owner at the pre-contract stage and at the point of entering into a contract to enable them to make informed decisions and during the term of the contract. This information, which should be timely, clear, concise, accurate, relevant, consistent and comparable and with important information highlighted, includes details of types of cover offered, product features, benefits, restrictions, premium payments and exclusions of the policy as well as any significant conditions and obligations which the policy owner must meet. There are additional product-specific disclosure requirements to be met. The board of directors of a Malaysian insurer or a committee of its board of directors is expected to ensure that proper systems and processes are in place to implement the guidelines.

In an effort to further reform the regulation of insurers and reflect better the risks being faced by each entity, BNM has implemented an RBC framework for insurers, which took effect on 1 January 2009. Compliance with the RBC framework is a condition of licence for all insurers, and any failure to comply with the RBC framework could result in the revocation of an insurer’s licence. The RBC framework sets out the capital adequacy ratio calculations, capital requirements to mitigate major risks, the valuation bases for assets and liabilities, and supervisory expectations relating to the investment of insurance funds. Additionally in early 2008, BNM released a consultation paper on risk governance framework for insurers. The consultation paper outlines the risk governance function of an insurer, including the roles and responsibilities of the board of directors of a Malaysian insurer

and its management in relation to risk governance. The paper further outlines the function of risk management, compliance and internal audit and their respective oversight responsibilities relating to risk management.

In its risk-based approach in regulating and supervising the insurance industry, more specific responsibilities have been placed on the board of directors of a Malaysian insurer and its senior management in managing the risks of the business. BNM continues to assess the performance of an insurer by analysing its statutory returns and reports submitted and regular communication with the insurer concerned.

BNM does not permit a person to hold, either directly or indirectly, shares in more than one licensee carrying on the same class of insurance business. Prudential Assurance Malaysia Berhad and AIA Malaysia carry on the same classes of insurance business and as such, the Enlarged Group's operations in Malaysia would have to be restructured. Any restructuring would require the prior approval of BNM who have indicated a willingness to provide a grace period for restructuring to take place.

Capital requirements

As noted above, BNM has recently introduced an RBC framework aimed at improving the risk management practices of insurers. Under the RBC framework, insurers are required to maintain a capital adequacy level that is commensurate with their risk profiles. Each insurer is required to determine the adequacy of the capital available in its insurance and shareholders' fund to support the total capital required by the Insurer. This will serve as a key indicator of the insurer's financial resilience and will be used to determine any supervisory interventions by BNM.

Under the RBC framework guidelines, the board of directors and senior management of a Malaysian insurer are also expected to identify, monitor and control risks which are not adequately addressed under the framework. An insurer is also expected to manage actively its capital adequacy by taking into account the potential impact of its business strategies on its risk profile and overall financial resilience.

Reserve requirements

The RBC framework requires the appointed or signing actuary of a life insurer to determine the required amount of policy reserves for the life insurance liabilities and the general insurance signing actuary to determine the level of reserves for general insurance business. The basis used should be no less stringent than that prescribed in the RBC framework.

Separate accounts requirements

An insurer is required to establish and maintain separate insurance funds for its Malaysian policies and for its foreign policies and, where directed by BNM, for different categories or description of its insurance business or classes of policies. An insurer is required to pay into the applicable insurance fund all money received in respect of policies of a class to which the insurance fund relates, keep the assets of its insurance fund separate from its other assets and maintain assets of equivalent or higher value than the liabilities of that insurance fund. An insurer may apply the assets of an insurance fund only to meet such of its liabilities and expenses as are properly attributable to that insurance fund.

An insurer may withdraw the surplus from a general insurance fund where there is a surplus of assets over liabilities at the end of a fiscal year, subject to any instrument or contract binding the licensed insurer or its constituent documents. For a life insurance fund, upon the actuarial valuation and recommendation by the appointed actuary, the life insurer may allocate a part of the surplus attributable to participating and non-participating policies by way of a bonus paid to participating

policies and for transfer out of that life insurance fund to the shareholders' fund, subject to such limits and such proportions as may be prescribed.

Asset management

The investment limits on individual asset classes and exposure limits to counterparties are provided in the RBC framework. The RBC framework also sets out the supervisory expectations in respect of the investment of an insurer's assets.

Reinsurance

A licensed insurer's reinsurance arrangements must be consistent with sound insurance principles. The general principles to be observed in a reinsurance arrangement are the appropriateness of retention level, security of reinsurers, spread of reinsurers and appropriateness of reinsurance treaties. An insurer is required both to design its reinsurance programme in line with its exposure and portfolio of business, taking into account, among other things, its insurance risk profile and the concentration of its business and to ensure that its reinsurance arrangements provide adequate protection for all classes of business underwritten to enable it to pay its liabilities as they come due. In placing reinsurance in respect of general insurance, an insurer must accord priority to local reinsurers up to such local reinsurers' full retention capacity before securing reinsurance support from foreign insurers.

Financial reporting requirements

In general, insurers are required to submit each of the following to BNM within a specified timeframe: (a) audited annual accounts; (b) an auditor's report and certificate; (c) an appointed actuary's report and certificate; (d) a report on the action taken by the board of directors of the Malaysian insurer on the auditor's report; (e) the Malaysian insurer's board of directors' report on its operations; and (f) quarterly returns of each fiscal year. BNM has also issued guidelines which require an insurer to submit additional reports which, among other things, relate to such insurer's investments, claims, reinsurance, solvency and capital adequacy.

BNM has announced that it is undertaking a comprehensive review of the Insurance Act 1996. Detailed proposals of the legislative changes are expected to be finalised by the end of 2010.

BNM requirements

Under a letter dated 16 September 2008, and following the AIG Events, BNM requested AIA Malaysia to obtain prior written approval of BNM in relation to the following:

- 1) payment of dividends (interim and/or final) to its shareholders;
- 2) extension of credit facilities to related-parties within the AIG Group (which for these purposes is understood also to include members of the AIA Group);
- 3) guarantees or undertakings given to/on behalf of related-parties within the AIG Group (which for these purposes is understood also to include members of the AIA Group); and
- 4) any other related-party transactions, excluding any transaction in the ordinary course of AIA Malaysia's business relating to insurance policies, reinsurance cessions and claims.

Malaysia (Takaful business) — Prudential BSN Takaful Berhad, AIA Takaful International Berhad

Overview

Prudential BSN Takaful Berhad ("Prudential Takaful") (a Prudential joint venture with Bank Simpanan Nasional) was the first overseas insurer to be granted a domestic Takaful Licence in Malaysia. In addition, AIA Takaful International Berhad ("AIA Takaful") was granted Malaysia's first

“International Takaful” operator licence to carry out family solidarity and general Takaful businesses in currencies other than ringgit. An International Takaful operator licence means AIA Takaful may engage in Takaful business in other countries, provided that such other countries’ local requirements are complied with.

The Takaful business in Malaysia is also governed by BNM similarly to the insurance companies. In addition, the business is required to be a member of the Malaysian Takaful Association (“MTA”), which is an association for Takaful operators to improve industry self-regulation through uniformity in market practice and to promote a higher level of co-operation among operators. Resolutions and circulars issued by the MTA are binding on the member insurance companies.

Takaful in Malaysia is considered to be part of mainstream mercantile law, and hence part of civil law, and is therefore subject to the civil court structure of Malaysia. It is not regulated by Shariah law in Shariah courts. However, the operation system of a Takaful operator must conform to the rules and requirements of Shariah as regulated in the Takaful Act 1984, which elevates the Shariah Advisory Council to the position as the sole authority on Shariah matters. A Takaful operator is required to establish a Shariah advisory body approved by the Director General of Takaful to advise on the operations of its Takaful business. To strengthen further the Shariah and legal infrastructure, the Guidelines on the Governance of Shariah Committee for Islamic Financial Institutions were issued in 2004 to streamline the functions and duties of Shariah Committee of Takaful operators and strengthen their independence. BNM has indicated that, in line with efforts to enhance the Shariah governance of Islamic financial institutions, a new Shariah governance framework will be issued in 2010 to provide comprehensive guidance on the roles and responsibilities of the Shariah committee and the board management of Islamic financial institutions in ensuring that the operations of the Islamic financial institutions are in compliance with Shariah principles.

Although the Takaful operator is also governed by the same regulator (BNM), the industry is regulated slightly differently from insurance companies. The differences relate in the main to matters where the regulators still find the Takaful operators not ready. For example, the risk-based capital framework that has been implemented for insurers has not yet been implemented for Takaful operators given the nature of the business (i.e. Shariah compliant) and the maturity of the industry. However, as reported in the Financial Stability and Payment Systems Report 2009, the conceptual parameters of the capital model and development of the valuation components for family and general Takaful business under the RBC Framework for takaful operators (“RBCT”) has been substantially completed. Consultation with the industry on the detailed RBCT and impact assessments will commence in 2010 with a target timeline for implementation of the framework on a parallel basis by 2011. In other areas regulation is similar to the insurance industry.

The regulators acknowledge that comprehensive regulatory and supervisory frameworks need to be developed to support the sound expansion of the Takaful industry. The regulators are taking steps to, among other things: (i) review the Takaful Act 1984 and subsidiary legislation to address existing inadequacies of the acts; (ii) progressively increase the statutory minimum paid up capital for Takaful operators; (iii) introduce accounting standards for Takaful businesses and draft model accounts for Takaful operators; and (iv) monitor and refine further code of ethics and standard market practices for Takaful operators.

BNM has announced that it is undertaking a comprehensive review of the Takaful Act 1984. Detailed proposals of the legislative changes are expected to be finalised by the end of 2010.

China — CITIC-Prudential Life Insurance Company Limited, branches of AIA Co

Overview

CITIC-Prudential Life Insurance Company Limited (Prudential’s joint venture with CITIC) is authorised to conduct life insurance business in China. As at the end of 2009, CITIC-Prudential Life

SUPERVISION AND REGULATION

had business in 31 cities across China, including in the key markets of Guangdong, Beijing, Shanghai, Hubei, Shandong, Zhejiang, Jiangsu, Tianjin, Guangxi, Fujian and Hebei.

AIA Co currently holds nine insurance business permits and nine business licences in different locations of China. AIA Co has a branch in each of Beijing, Guangdong, Shanghai, Shenzhen and Jiangsu. Within the Guangdong province, AIA Co has a sub-branch in each of Foshan, Jiangmen and Dongguan and within the Jiangsu province, AIA Co has a central sub-branch in Suzhou.

The insurance business permits of these branches and sub-branches were issued by the China Insurance Regulatory Commission ("CIRC") and the business licences were issued by the relevant local Administrations for Industry and Commerce. These permits and licences allow AIA Co's branches and sub-branches in China to provide individual and group life insurance products, accident and health products and reinsurance business thereof.

Chinese law and regulations do not generally permit a foreign insurance company or insurance group with an existing insurance business in China to hold a second Chinese insurance company. As such, the Enlarged Group's operations in China may need to be restructured. Any restructuring would require the prior approval of the CIRC.

The body responsible for regulation of the insurance sector is the CIRC established in 1998. CIRC reports directly to the State Council. The main laws and regulations that govern the Enlarged Group's operations in China are the Insurance Law of the People's Republic of China (enacted in 1995 and amended in 2009) and the Regulation on the Administration of Foreign Funded Insurance Companies (enacted in 2001) and the Regulation on the Administration of Insurance Companies (enacted in 2004 and amended in 2009).

CIRC is authorised to conduct administration, supervision and regulation of the Chinese insurance market, and to ensure that the insurance industry operates in a stable manner in compliance with the law. It drafts relevant regulations regarding insurance supervision, examines and approves the establishment of insurance companies and their branches and supervises market conduct. In November 2008, to ensure enforcement of the regulations, CIRC established a special department, namely the inspection bureau, to strengthen the function of investigating significant non-compliance issues and the conduct of insurance companies and the handling of complaints. CIRC has local offices in all the provinces and selected direct administrative cities and regions across the country. One of the key responsibilities of the local offices is to set and administer implementation rules and guidelines in the application of the regulations introduced by CIRC. The local offices will also regulate many aspects of the insurance companies' activities within the locations for which they are responsible, including but not limited to business, sales and agent conducts, sales licensing practices, approving new sales offices and assessing minor administrative penalties.

CIRC has focused specific attention on the area of risk prevention. Accordingly, it has identified five lines of defence against risks, namely internal management and control systems, supervision of solvency adequacy, on-site inspection, fund management regulation and insurance security fund. In 2008, in response to the global financial crisis, more importance has been attached to the supervision of internal control systems, corporate governance, marketing conduct and information disclosure of insurance companies. In addition to the introduction of additional regulations and rules, a classified supervision system was developed by the regulator to detect and monitor the operation and financial risks of the industry. Under the system, insurance companies will be classified into four groups based on the risk indicators relating to solvency margins, corporate governance, capital management, financial status and market conduct. Different administrative measures such as risk warning, on-site inspection, restriction of business expansion and investment, may be imposed on different groups.

China promulgated a new Anti-Money Laundering ("AML") Law applicable to all financial institutions in November 2006. The People's Bank of China ("PBOC") was entrusted with the

responsibility and authority for regulating all AML activities in China. PBOC also introduced several additional AML measures between November 2006 to June 2007 to provide specific rules and guidelines in the application of the AML Law. The areas covered would include customer identification, reporting of large volume and other suspicious transactions, record-keeping and reporting of suspicious transactions involving terrorism financing.

Capital requirements

The minimum registered paid-up capital of a foreign invested insurance company is RMB200 million. A similar requirement is imposed on a Chinese branch of a foreign insurance company. Both foreign invested insurance companies and Chinese branches of foreign insurance companies are required to maintain a solvency ratio that is not lower than 100%. Under relevant PRC regulations, the solvency ratio is the ratio of actual capital to the minimum capital requirement applicable to the insurer pursuant to relevant regulations. The actual capital is the difference between the admitted assets and admitted liabilities. The CIRC requires solvency reports to be submitted quarterly, annually or *ad hoc* as required by the CIRC. Where an insurer is not able to meet its solvency requirement, it is required to immediately report to the CIRC.

Reserve requirements

The CIRC has promulgated various rules and regulations on the reserves to be established and maintained by insurers, and the reserve requirements pursuant to such rules and regulations depend on the nature and type of insurance product. Reserves that the CIRC generally requires a life insurer to maintain include an unearned premium reserve, a life insurance reserve, a long-term health insurance reserve and an insurance-claims payment reserve. The reserve amounts are generally determined with reference to, among other things, actuarial projections of future cash flows.

Statutory and other deposit requirements

A foreign invested insurance company is required to deposit 20% of its registered capital and a foreign insurer's Chinese branch is required to deposit 20% of its working capital with a bank designated by the CIRC. This statutory deposit may only be used to discharge debts owed by an insurer in the event that it is put into liquidation or declared bankrupt.

A life insurer is required by the CIRC to contribute up to 1% of its total assets to an insurance protection fund, which is a non-governmental fund maintained by a state-owned fund management company. In the event any insurer is put into liquidation or declared bankrupt or deemed by the CIRC to pose material risks to the public interest and financial stability, this insurance protection fund may be used, among other things, to pay policyholders or other life insurance companies accepting life policies assigned from the insolvent life insurer.

Separate accounts requirement

An insurer is required to maintain assets in separate accounts for certain types of products specified by the CIRC, including participating, universal life and investment-linked products. Establishment, amalgamation, demerger and closure of investment accounts maintained in respect of investment-linked products are subject to the approval of the CIRC.

Asset management

An insurer is required to invest its insurance funds in a stable and prudent manner. An insurer may only invest its insurance funds in bank deposits, securities, including, without limitation bonds, stocks and securities, investment fund shares, real estate and other permitted investments as stipulated by the China State Council. The CIRC also sets caps on the proportion of an insurer's total investments that can be held in particular classes of assets. Such caps may be revised by the CIRC

from time to time. The approvals of the CIRC and State Administration of Foreign Exchange are required if the insurer wishes to invest insurance funds denominated in foreign currencies offshore.

Reinsurance

An insurer may reinsure its liabilities within its authorised scope as stated in its insurance business permit and business licence. An insurer is required to implement a comprehensive risk management system and report to the CIRC annually regarding its reinsurance arrangements. An insurer may only cede its liabilities to reinsurers who satisfy the CIRC's credit rating requirements (as specified from time to time) or are Chinese state-owned reinsurers.

Regulation of products

Products which are legally mandatory, newly developed life insurance or may concern the public interest are required to be reviewed and approved by the CIRC before they can be introduced to the market for sale.

Financial reporting requirements

The CIRC requires each insurer to file with the CIRC monthly financial accounts, annual audited financial statements and annual audited solvency statements prepared in accordance with applicable Chinese laws, rules and regulations.

CIRC Notices

Following the AIG Events, Notices issued by the CIRC in 2008 ordered AIA Co's branches in Shanghai, Guangdong, Jiangsu, Beijing and Shenzhen, and sub-branches in Suzhou Central, Dongguan and Jiangmen to:

- 1) maintain sufficient funds to cope with possible cancellations and prevent liquidity risk and monitor liquidity daily; and
- 2) enhance capital fund safety by: (a) not entering into any mortgage, guarantee, letter of credit or incurring debt other than in the normal course of business; (b) not transferring any assets or funds outside of China; and (c) obtaining approval from the CIRC on any affiliated transaction with AIG including reinsurance transactions (so as to prevent capital and assets from flowing outside of China).

Thailand — Prudential Life Assurance (Thailand) Public Company Limited, branches of AIA Co (AIA (Life) Thailand and AIA (Non-Life) Thailand)

Overview

Prudential Life Assurance (Thailand) Public Company Limited is authorised to carry on long-term insurance business in Thailand. AIA (Life) Thailand is authorised to carry on long-term insurance and reinsurance business and AIA (Non-Life) Thailand is authorised to carry on general insurance business (in respect of health and protection business).

As branches of a foreign company, AIA (Life) Thailand and AIA (Non-Life) Thailand are also regulated by the Department of Business Development of the Ministry of Commerce. AIA (Life) Thailand holds a foreign business certificate for the conduct of a life insurance business and AIA (Non-Life) Thailand holds a foreign business certificate for the conduct of a non-life insurance business. AIA (Life) Thailand also holds a foreign business licence to permit the provision of back office, internal auditing and compliances services to other AIG affiliates in Thailand.

In Thailand, the insurance business is regulated and supervised by the Office of Insurance Commission ("OIC"). The OIC came about as a result of the September 2007 statutory transformation of the Department of Insurance of the Ministry of Commerce. The OIC is now the independent

regulatory organisation handling day-to-day insurance business affairs and reporting to the Ministry of Finance. The Secretary General of the Insurance Commission holds the statutory appointment of Insurance Registrar. Each quarter, insurers must contribute a proportion of their insurance premia to the OIC to cover the OIC's operational expenses. The current rate for life insurers is between 0.1% and 0.3% (depending on the type of policy, its duration and payment terms) and the current rate for non-life insurers is between 0.2% and 0.3% (depending on the amount of insurance premia received).

The Insurance Commission Act 2007 embodies the Insurance Commission, the principal decision-maker for the insurance business. The Insurance Commission consists of a 13-member Board, chaired by the Permanent Secretary of Finance and includes the Permanent Secretary of Commerce, the Secretary General of the Consumer Protection Board, the Governor of the Bank of Thailand and the Secretary General of the Securities and Exchange Commission as *ex officio* commissioners. The other six to eight Commissioners are selected from experts in the fields of law, accountancy, business administration, finance, economics and insurance.

The life and non-life insurance business is governed by the Life Insurance Act 1992 (as amended by the Life Insurance Act (No. 2) 2008) and the Non-Life Insurance Act 1992 (as amended by the Non-Life Insurance Act (No. 2) 2008) respectively. As well as governing the operations of the life and non-life businesses, these Acts regulate funds, investments and insurance policies and impose a variety of statutory requirements. The OIC has the power to manage and supervise insurance companies, protect insured persons and the general public, implement policies with respect to insurance funds, and regulate the professional conduct, qualifications and licensing of insurance brokers, agents and actuaries.

In the private sector, the Thai Life Assurance Association and the General Insurance Association play an active development role for their membership and support the insurance business as its representative bodies.

Capital requirements

A branch office of a life or non-life foreign insurer must maintain assets in Thailand of not less than the amount of the capital funds required pursuant to relevant Thai regulations. In addition, life insurers are required to maintain capital funds at the greater of 2% of their insurance reserve and 50 million Thai Baht, and non-life insurers are required to maintain capital funds at the greater of 10% of total net premiums received in the previous calendar year and 30 million Thai Baht. In its Early Intervention Guidelines, the OIC requires insurers to maintain capital funds of more than 150% of the amount required by law. An insurer that fails to maintain capital funds in line with these guidelines and does not take corrective action to address the deficiency will be subject to sanctions in the form of a range of restrictions on its investment and other business activities.

The 2008 amendments to the Life Insurance Act and the Non-Life Insurance Act require the implementation of risk-based capital adequacy tests by 2011.

Reserve and asset management requirements

The OIC requires a life insurance company to allocate a portion of its premium income to an insurance reserve for policies that remain in-force. The insurance reserve may consist of a mixture of different classes of assets. The assets in the insurance reserve must match the insurer's liabilities as they come due over the duration of its policies. The types of assets that a life insurance company must place in its insurance reserve and the rules, conditions and basis for assessing the value of assets placed in the insurance reserve are regulated by the OIC.

Thai regulations require every life insurer to place a security deposit with the OIC of not less than 20 million Thai Baht, which may consist of a mix of cash and certain types of bonds, treasury bills and similar specified instruments. Every life insurer is also required to place 25% of its insurance

reserves with the OIC. Non-life insurers are also required to place a security deposit with the OIC of not less than 3.5 million Thai Baht for each type of insurance business they undertake (for example, fire insurance, marine and transportation insurance) which may consist of a mix of cash and certain types of bonds, treasury bills and similar specified instruments. Every non-life insurer is also required to place 15% of its insurance reserves with the OIC (this proportion is scheduled to rise to 25% in 2012).

Under the Life Insurance Act of Thailand, Non Life Insurance Act of Thailand and relevant bankruptcy laws of Thailand, in the event that an insurer goes bankrupt, policyholders entitled to receive payment under their insurance policies have preferential rights to the assets placed with the OIC and have the right to receive payment from such assets as secured creditors. The amount which a policyholder may receive from the assets placed with the OIC and statutory fund is capped as 1 million Baht. Policyholders would also have preferential rights over the other assets of the insurer and the right to receive payment from such assets subject to the rights of secured creditors and certain other classes of preferred creditors.

Statutory fund

Life insurance companies are required to contribute to a central life insurance fund intended to compensate policyholders in the event that an insurer is declared bankrupt or has its licence revoked. The OIC requires insurers to make payments twice a year into this central life insurance fund. The current amount payable is 0.1% of the premium income received in the six months prior to the payment date.

Non-life insurers are also required to contribute to a central non-life insurance fund to compensate policyholders in the event of bankruptcy or revocation of an insurer's licence. The OIC requires non-life insurers to make payments twice a year into this central non-life insurance fund. The current amount payable is 0.25% of premium income received in the six months prior to the payment date.

Reinsurance

When a life insurer wishes to cede its insurance liability under a policy by entering into a reinsurance treaty, it may only reinsure in respect of the net amount at risk that is outstanding on the policy as of each anniversary of the policy during its term.

A copy of every reinsurance treaty that a insurer enters into must be submitted to the OIC within 30 days of the date of its execution. Life insurers should also inform the OIC in the event a reinsurance treaty is amended or terminated and provide details of the same. The OIC may, if it deems it appropriate, request a life insurer to submit the reinsurer's annual report as to the reinsurer's financial and business condition in the previous year.

Similar rules apply to non-life insurers except that copies of facultative reinsurance treaties need not be provided, but a non-life insurer must report to the OIC quarterly on its facultative reinsurance. A non-life insurer must obtain OIC approval before obtaining financial reinsurance.

The OIC has proposed new reinsurance regulations which will require an insurer to adopt a formal reinsurance management strategy and submit it at regular intervals to the OIC for approval. The regulations will also prohibit an insurer from obtaining certain reinsurance without OIC approval, including finite risk reinsurance, financial reinsurance and other alternative risk transfer arrangements, and impose limitations on reinsurance that may be placed overseas based on the credit rating of the overseas reinsurers.

Regulation of products

Insurance policies, including their related documents and endorsements, must be in the form approved by the OIC. The use of non-approved policy documentation may result in policyholders

having the option to terminate the policy with a full refund of premiums or to continue to benefit under the policy as written (or as amended by the order of the OIC). Marketing materials for an insurance policy are deemed to form part of the policy with any inconsistency between the marketing materials and policy to be construed in favour of the policyholder or beneficiary.

The premium rates for an insurance policy are also subject to the approval of the OIC. A notification issued by the OIC sets out the factors that it will take into account in determining premium rates.

The OIC prohibits all insurers from underwriting policies denominated in currencies other than Thai Baht but insurers may access reinsurance from overseas that is denominated in foreign currencies.

Life insurance policies are also affected by the eligibility criteria imposed by the Revenue Department of the Ministry of Finance on tax deductibility of premiums.

Regulation of agents and brokers

Insurance agents and brokers are licensed by the OIC. The OIC imposes caps on the commission rates that insurers may pay to insurance agents and brokers. The OIC prohibits insurers from paying commissions to other persons.

The OIC imposes general obligations on insurance agents and brokers in relation to their dealings with customers. It also imposes specific requirements on telephone sales, including restrictions on hours of operation, pre-vetting the types of policies that may be marketed, recording requirements and a 30-day cooling-off period, and on bancassurance.

Restrictions on foreign insurers

Expansion of the branch office network of a foreign insurer in Thailand is restricted pursuant to the Life Insurance Act of Thailand. Consequently, a foreign insurer currently may not open additional branch offices in Thailand.

Thai insurance companies generally must be at least 75% Thai-owned. The Insurance Commission may allow up to 49% foreign ownership and, where an insurer's condition or operation is likely to place policyholders or the public in jeopardy, the Minister of Finance may (on advice from the Insurance Commission) allow up to 100% foreign ownership.

Restrictions on dividends and distributions

Although there are no formal limitations on dividends or other distributions by a Thai insurer or the Thai branch of a foreign insurer, the approval of the Bank of Thailand is required for remittances outside Thailand. In practice, the Bank of Thailand will typically consult with the OIC before permitting a Thai insurer or the Thai branch of a foreign insurer to make any remittances outside Thailand.

Financial reporting requirements

A life insurer or non-life insurer is required to prepare and submit monthly and annual reports and interim quarterly and audited annual financial statements to the OIC in respect of both its branch offices and operations as a whole. A branch office of a foreign life insurance company must comply with the additional requirement of submitting an annual report of its parent company within five months of the end of the parent company's fiscal year. In addition, a certified actuarial report must be submitted annually by all insurers. An insurer is also required to post summary financial information on its website and at its head office and branch offices.

Philippines — Pru Life Insurance Corporation of UK, The Philippine American Life and General Insurance Company (“Philamlife”)

Pru Life Insurance Corporation of UK is licensed and regulated by the Insurance Commission (the “IC”) as a life insurance company. Philamlife, on the other hand, is only one of three insurers in the Philippines with a licence to conduct both life and general insurance activities.

The Insurance Code of the Philippines, as amended, (“Insurance Code”) gives the power to supervise and regulate the operations and business of insurance companies to the IC. The IC is a government agency under the Department of Finance, and is headed by the Insurance Commissioner. The IC is a part of the Anti-Money Laundering Council together with the Bangko Sentral ng Pilipinas and the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”).

The mandate of the IC is to regulate and supervise the insurance industry in accordance with the provisions of the Insurance Code in order to ensure that adequate insurance protection is available to the public at a fair and reasonable cost and to ensure the financial stability of the insurance industry so that all legitimate claims of the insured public are met promptly and equitably. The objectives of the IC are to promote growth and financial stability of insurance companies, to develop professionalism in the insurance services industry, to develop insurance consciousness among the general populace, to establish a sound national insurance market, and to safeguard the rights and interests of the insured.

The IC issues licences to insurance companies, reinsurance companies, agents, general agents, resident agents, underwriters, brokers, adjusters and actuaries. It also has the authority to suspend or revoke such licences under certain circumstances and after observance of the required procedure under the IC Rules of Procedure.

The Insurance Code empowers the IC to adjudicate insurance claims and complaints involving any loss, damage or liability where the amount involved does not exceed Php 100,000.00 for any single claim. Decisions or orders of the IC may be appealed to the Court of Appeals. Moreover, informal and administrative complaints against malpractices by insurance companies or agents may also be filed with the IC. The IC is available to render assistance in settling any controversy between an insurance company and a policyholder relating to insurance.

India — ICICI Prudential Life Insurance Company Limited, AIA India

ICICI Prudential Life Insurance Company Limited (Prudential’s joint venture with ICICI) and AIA India (AIA’s joint venture with Tata Sons Limited) are authorised to carry on long-term insurance business in India. It is currently intended that the AIA Group’s equity interest in the joint venture with Tata Sons Limited will be disposed of either before or around the time of completion of the Acquisition.

Insurance is subject to federal regulation in India. The primary legislation is the Insurance Act, 1938, and the Insurance Regulatory & Development Authority Act, 1999. The Insurance Regulatory & Development Authority (the “IRDA”) is the key regulator for both the ICICI Prudential life insurance operation and AIA India insurance operations in India.

The IRDA’s duties include the issue of certificates of registration to insurance companies, and it has a mandate to protect the interests of the policyholder, to regulate, promote and ensure the orderly growth of the insurance industry. Regulatory direction is currently focusing on corporate governance and disclosures to stakeholders. IRDA’s regulations also encourage the sale of insurance to customers in rural parts of India.

In his Budget speech on 26 February 2010 the Finance Minister of India stated that a Financial Stability and Development Council will be set up, to strengthen and institutionalise the mechanism for maintaining financial stability without prejudice to the autonomy of regulators. It is proposed that this Council would monitor macro-prudential supervision of the economy, including the

functioning of large financial conglomerates, and address inter-regulatory coordination issues. It will also focus on financial literacy and financial inclusion.

Indonesia — PT. Prudential Life Assurance, PT. AIA Financial

PT. Prudential Life Assurance and PT. AIA Financial are authorised to carry on long-term (for an indefinite period) insurance business in Indonesia.

The insurance industry is regulated by the Insurance Bureau under the Capital Market Supervisory Board and Financial Institution of the Ministry of Finance. Previously, insurance companies in Indonesia were supervised by the Directorate of Insurance under the Directorate General of Financial Institutions of the Ministry of Finance (the “MoF”). In December 2005, the Government of Indonesia merged the Capital Market Supervisory Board and Directorate General of Financial Institutions under a single Capital Markets Supervisory Board & Financial Institution (the “Bapepam LK”). The current role of the Bapepam LK is to act as a supervisory board, with responsibility over capital markets, pensions funds, insurance and other non-banking financial institutions with the objective to manage risks in the financial sector, as well as to increase consumer protection and market confidence and promote transparency and strong financial business practices and standards.

The MoF issued decrees on business conduct, auditing, solvency, and the licensing of insurance companies. These decrees were supplemented by implementing regulations issued by the Bapepam LK.

The local Life Insurance Association (the “AAJI”) continues to act as a conduit between insurers and the MoF and Bapepam LK in terms of the development of new regulations and guidelines.

The implementation of anti-money laundering controls in the insurance industry is monitored by the Indonesian Financial Transaction Reports and Analysis Center (the “PPATK”). The PPATK is an institution with the mission of preventing and eradicating money laundering in Indonesia. The Money Laundering Criminal Act Law (UU-TPPU) is the governing statute that establishes and empowers the PPATK as the key authority in the anti-money laundering regime in Indonesia.

The Prudential Group’s and the AIA Group’s operations in Indonesia are authorised to distribute life insurance products with either conventional or Shariah principles. Whilst the regulations for life products with conventional principles are fully developed (in accordance to current market conditions), the government has promulgated new regulations in relation to life products with Shariah principles. In addition, to accommodate significant developments in the Indonesian insurance industry over the past few years, the government is taking proactive measures to revisit Law No. 2 of 1992 on Insurance Business. Meetings with various insurance associations have been conducted by the Government over the past year to obtain input from local as well as joint venture insurance companies. The amendment of Law No. 2 of 1992 on Insurance Business is expected to be promulgated in 2011.

Japan — PCA Life Insurance Company Limited (“PCA Life Japan”)

The Financial Services Agency of Japan (the “JFSA”) regulates insurance companies and other financial institutions. In particular, the Insurance Business Division of the JFSA specifically undertakes the supervision of insurance companies. The fundamental principles underlying insurance regulation are set out in the Insurance Business Law. PCA Life Japan is licensed by the Prime Minister of Japan (who delegates most of the supervisory functions to the JFSA) as a life insurance company. Please refer to “Business — Prudential Group’s Business Today — Asian business — life insurance” in this listing document for recent developments in relation to PCA Life Japan.

On 1 April 2010, the Commercial Code of Japan was revised and the “insurance contract law” became a separate piece of legislation from the Commercial Code of Japan, now known as the “Insurance Act”.

In response to the recent financial crisis, the JFSA revised the “solvency margin ratio”, an indicator for regulatory purposes, to introduce stricter rules for the calculation of capital and risk measurements and to ensure its appropriateness by involving an appointed actuary. The new standard is to become effective for the fiscal year ending on 31 March 2012. However, reporting of the solvency margin ratio on the new basis will be required for the fiscal year ending on 31 March 2011 for monitoring purposes. This latter amendment is still under deliberation and the finalised rules have not been published by the JFSA.

Vietnam — Prudential Vietnam Assurance Private Limited, AIA Vietnam

Prudential Vietnam Assurance Private Limited and AIA Vietnam are licensed and regulated by the Ministry of Finance of Vietnam (the “MOF”) as life insurance companies. Currently, the applicable law does not permit an insurance company to operate both life and non-life insurance at the same time, unless a life insurance company conducts personal health and protection care insurance as a supplement to life insurance.

The MOF is responsible for carrying out state administration of insurance business for and on behalf of the Government. The Insurance Division of the MOF specifically undertakes the supervision of insurance companies. The fundamental principles of the operation of insurance companies are set out in the Insurance Business Law.

The first insurance regulation that was implemented in Vietnam was the governmental decree on insurance No. 100/CP which was issued in late 1993. As the Vietnamese insurance market grew, the first law on insurance business, the Insurance Business Law, was passed in 2000 by the National Assembly of Vietnam. In 2001, the Government promulgated further regulations relating to the implementation of the Insurance Business Law.

At the end of 2007, many of the current insurance regulations were revised and a number of new regulations were introduced, including: minimum legal capital requirements for insurance enterprises, equivalent to VND 600 billion, security deposit requirements equivalent to 2% of legal capital, new regulations for investment-linked products such as universal life and unit-linked products. The MOF has also provided specific regulations on establishing new insurance companies, modification of licences or opening/closure of insurers’ branches/representative offices and agent recruitment and training.

Generally, the Insurance Business Law and its guiding regulations focus mainly on administrative supervision of insurance operations. In practice, the Insurance Business Law reserves most of its items for insurance contracts (the terms and conditions of policy) in order to protect policyholders’ interests. Furthermore, the MOF has set a proactive insurance supervisory agenda on controlling the solvency of insurance companies in order to take timely intervention to the insurance market by issuing the new regulation on bankruptcy procedures for insurers, securities, financial institutions in late 2008.

By a letter dated 9 October 2008 from the MOF, and following the AIG Events, regular updates to the MOF have been requested regarding the business and financial situation of the AIG Group (including, for these purposes the AIA Group) and the impact on AIG’s Vietnamese subsidiaries.

Other Asian and Australasian markets

In addition to the regulatory frameworks discussed above, regulatory requirements also apply to the insurance businesses of the AIA Group’s local operating units in Australia, New Zealand, Macau and Brunei. Each local operation is respectively subject to the Australian Securities and Investments Commission and the Australian Prudential Regulation Authority, the Ministry of

Economic Development of New Zealand, the Macau Monetary Authority through its Insurance Supervisory Department and the Brunei Ministry of Finance.

The operations of the AIA Group in these geographical markets are subject to their respective relevant local insurance regulatory requirements, including requirements with respect to solvency, asset management, financial reporting and reinsurance. The operations have been duly licensed in each of these geographical markets by the relevant regulatory authorities. In common with the actions of certain other regulators in relation to the AIA Group, following the AIG Events correspondence was issued to AIA Brunei by the Brunei Ministry of Finance requiring that its consent be obtained before assets are transferred or transactions are entered into with connected parties.

Regulation of investment and funds businesses and other regulated operations

The Prudential Group and the AIA Group conduct, and the Enlarged Group will conduct, investment and fund businesses through subsidiaries or joint ventures in the following countries in Asia: The People's Republic of China, Dubai (Dubai International Financial Centre), Hong Kong, Republic of India, Japan, Republic of Korea, Malaysia, the Philippines, Republic of Singapore, Taiwan, Thailand and Socialist Republic of Vietnam. All operations are authorised and licensed by the relevant authorities, or exempted from licensing under the relevant regulations.

Hong Kong

Overview

Certain types of products and services offered by the Prudential Group and the AIA Group in Hong Kong are regulated under separate statutory regimes by other regulatory bodies. These include the Mandatory Provident Fund Schemes Ordinance (Chapter 485 of the Laws of Hong Kong) (the "MPFSO") and the Occupational Retirement Schemes Ordinance (Chapter 426 of the Laws of Hong Kong) (the "ORSO"), both administered by the Mandatory Provident Fund Schemes Authority (the "MPFA") for compulsory Mandatory Provident Fund ("MPF") and voluntary ORSO retirement schemes. In addition, the selling of MPF and ORSO products by agents is regulated by the MPFA. The MPFA is responsible for the licensing and supervision of trustees who wish to administer MPF or ORSO schemes and MPF intermediaries.

The Securities and Futures Ordinance (the "SFO") and other subsidiary legislation comprise the laws in Hong Kong relating to certain investment-linked products including MPF and ORSO retirement schemes, securities dealing, investment advisory and investment management services. The Hong Kong Securities and Futures Commission (the "HKSF") is the statutory body responsible for the administration of the SFO and the related subsidiary legislations and rules.

The Hong Kong branch of PAC is regulated by the HKSF for its operations relating to investment-linked products. It is also registered with the MPFA as a MPF corporate intermediary.

Prudential Asset Management (Hong Kong) Limited ("PAMHK"), incorporated in Hong Kong, is ultimately a wholly-owned subsidiary of Prudential. PAMHK is licensed with the HKSF and is authorised to carry out Type 1 (Dealing in Securities), Type 4 (Advising on Securities) and Type 9 (Asset Management) regulated activities in Hong Kong.

PAMHK is registered with the China Securities Regulatory Commission ("CSRC") as a QFII (Qualified Foreign Institutional Investors) licence holder.

BOCI-Prudential Asset Management Limited ("BOCIP"), incorporated in Hong Kong, is a joint venture between Prudential Corporation Holdings Limited (36%) and BOCI Asset Management Limited (64%). BOCIP is licensed with the HKSF, and is authorised to carry out Type 1, Type 4, Type 5 (Advising on Futures Contracts), Type 6 (Advising on Corporate Finance) and Type 9 regulated activities in Hong Kong. It is also registered with the MPFA as an MPF intermediary. BOCIP offers a

comprehensive range of investment products, including MPF products, pension funds, retail unit trusts, institutional mandates and other advisory funds. It also offers private investors and institutional clients investment portfolios and charity fund management services. As one of the pioneers in the asset management industry in Hong Kong, BOCIP launched a series of capital guaranteed funds linked to various underlying indices or baskets of stocks with varying currencies and maturities, as well as certain exchange traded funds which are listed in Hong Kong.

BOCI-Prudential Trustee Limited is a joint venture between Prudential Corporation Holdings Limited (36%) and BOC Group Trustee Company Limited (64%). The company is incorporated in Hong Kong and is an approved trustee under the MPFSO and an associated entity to the BOCIP under the SFO.

In relation to the AIA Group's retirement scheme business in Hong Kong, AIA-B, in addition to being an authorised insurer under the ICO, is an intermediary registered with the MPFA and AIA-T is an approved trustee under the MPFSO. AIA Wealth Management Company limited is licensed by the HKSFCA and is authorised to carry out Type 1 and Type 4 regulated activities. AIA-PT and AIA-CM are each registered with the MPFA as MPF corporate intermediaries. AIA-PT also acts as trustee of certain pooled retirement schemes for which it has been registered with the MPFA as a trustee pursuant to the ORSO.

Regulation under the MPFSO and ORSO

Companies that operate compulsory retirement schemes in Hong Kong are regulated under the MPFSO. The MPFA shares responsibility with other regulatory bodies for supervision of the institutions, such as banks and insurance companies, that act as MPF intermediaries that provide MPF products to customers. The MPFSO includes rules on prudential management and the permissible investments that may be made using scheme funds, accounting and reporting requirements and the powers of the MPFA to intervene and terminate a trustee's administration of a scheme. Voluntary retirement schemes are subject to regulation under the ORSO. The MPFA is also the supervisory body for ORSO schemes. The ORSO requires schemes to be registered with the MPFA and imposes reporting requirements and rules on the types of assets in which a scheme may invest. In addition, the marketing and promotion of MPF and ORSO scheme products requires SFC approval, as these products involve investment in securities. In addition to these reporting requirements, the MPFA requested, in 2008 following the AIG Events, that prior notice be given of the following types of events in respect of AIA-T and AIA-PT:

- 1) declaration or payment of dividends to shareholders;
- 2) granting of advances, loans or credit facilities to any parties;
- 3) incurrence of major cash outflow arising from extraordinary events or non-operating activities; and
- 4) any incidents which may have an adverse impact on the liquidity or financial position of either company.

Regulation under the SFO

Companies that wish to conduct business in regulated activities (as stipulated in the SFO) which include, but are not limited to, Type 1 (Dealing in Securities), Type 4 (Advising on securities) and Type 9 (Asset Management) in Hong Kong must be licensed to do so under the SFO, and the marketing and promotion of certain financial products and schemes that involve investment in securities is also regulated under the SFO. Licensed corporations under the SFO are subject to certain requirements which include, but are not limited to, financial adequacy and reporting and directors, senior management and individuals responsible for carrying out the regulated activities in Hong Kong must satisfy suitability and qualification requirements and be approved by the HKSFCA.

SUPERVISION AND REGULATION

The operation, marketing and promotion of investment-linked products and schemes, including long-term insurance schemes by insurers, is subject to authorisation by the SFC in accordance with Part IV of the SFO and related codes and guidelines issued by the SFC that require certain information to be disclosed to potential investors and impose restrictions on the content of advertisements and the claims that can be made with respect to risks and potential returns on an investment.

Japan

PCA Asset Management Limited ("PCAAM") is registered with the Kanto Local Finance Bureau to engage in (a) second financial instruments business, (b) investment management business, (c) investment advisory & agency business and (d) ancillary business under the Financial Instruments and Exchange Law ("FIEL") which became effective as of 30 September 2007.

PCAAM is a member of the Investment Trusts Association, Japan and also a member of the Japan Securities Investment Advisers Association. Both the associations are self-regulatory bodies under FIEL. PCAAM is required to comply with the policies and regulations issued by these associations, which are authorised to conduct on and off-site inspection in addition to the inspection conducted by the Securities and Exchange Surveillance Commission which is part of the FSA.

Under its registration in respect of second financial instruments business, PCAAM focuses on explaining the products. PCAAM does not set up or maintain customer accounts for purposes of settlement, which are to be opened at relevant sales companies. The investment advisory & agency business is limited to the investment advisory business excluding agency business.

Korea

Prudential conducts fund business in Korea through an indirect, wholly-owned subsidiary, PCA Investment Trust Management Co. Ltd. The bodies responsible for the regulation of asset management companies, investment advisers and discretionary management companies are the Financial Services Commission ("FSC") and its executive arm, the Financial Supervisory Service ("FSS").

AIA Korea holds an asset management business licence, issued pursuant to the Financial Investment Services and Capital Markets Act, which authorises AIA Korea to carry on asset management business related to variable life insurance. Pursuant to this licence, AIA Korea may establish and terminate investment trusts and manage investment trust assets with respect to variable insurance policies.

Traditionally, the FSC in Korea operates in a prescriptive way, with a significant amount of detailed regulation that asset management companies must comply with. In recent years, the style of regulation of the indirect investment industry has been changing in line with the trend towards liberalisation of financial services. In particular, the regulator is focusing on deregulation in asset management and product design activities and shifting towards a principles-based regulatory regime.

Taiwan

The body responsible for regulation of the Securities Investment Trust Enterprises ("SITE"), Securities Investment Consulting Enterprises ("SICE") and discretionary investment business is the Securities and Futures Bureau ("SFB") under the Financial Supervisory Commission ("FSC"). The SFB is responsible for promulgating laws, regulations and policies in relation to these business areas.

PCA Securities Investment Trust Co., Ltd is registered as a SITE with the FSC. It is compulsory that all SITEs are members of the Securities Investment Trust and Consulting Association ("SITCA"), which is a self-regulatory organisation ("SRO"). SITE and SICE may not commence business without being

admitted as members of the Association. SROs support the regulatory and administrative operations entrusted to the SFB by adopting self-regulatory rules and overseeing self-regulation by its members, establishing a membership disciplinary framework and carrying out matters that the SFB has authorised it to handle, such as previewing product filing documents before submission for the SFB's final review. The SRO also acts as liaison between the SFB and its members for matters of business development.

China

CITIC-Prudential Fund Management Company Limited, a joint venture between Prudential and CITIC Group (China International Trust and Investment Corporation), is regulated by the China Securities Regulatory Commission ("CSRC"). The CSRC supervises the establishment of fund management companies ("FMCs") and the launch of securities investment funds.

The legislative framework of China's fund industry comprises the China Securities Investment Funds Law (the "Fund Law") and a set of ancillary regulations (the "Fund Regulations"). While the Fund Law and Fund Regulations spell out the rules and requirements which must be adhered to by all FMCs, the supervisory approach of CSRC, to a certain extent, is also principles-based. The Chinese authorities aim to protect the legitimate rights and interests of investors and other relevant parties, and thereby to promote the healthy development of securities investment funds and securities markets.

India

ICICI Prudential Asset Management Company Limited ("the AMC"), a joint venture between Prudential and ICICI, is approved by the Securities and Exchange Board of India ("SEBI") under SEBI (Mutual Funds) Regulations, 1996 to act as Investment Manager of ICICI Prudential Mutual Fund (the "Fund"). The Fund was set up as a Trust sponsored by Prudential (through its wholly-owned subsidiary Prudential Corporation Holdings Ltd) and ICICI. ICICI Prudential Trust Limited (the "Trust Company"), a company incorporated under the Companies Act, 1956, is the Trustee to the Fund.

Mutual funds in India are regulated by the guidelines and statutes promulgated under the SEBI (Mutual Funds) Regulations, 1996, the Indian Trusts Act, 1882, relevant provisions of the Companies Act 1956 and other applicable laws. Any change of control of the AMC by virtue of 10% or more voting rights in the AMC or the right to appoint a majority of directors entitled to exercise control of the AMC will require the prior approval of the SEBI and the grant of an option to unit holders to exit the Schemes at the prevailing net asset value without any exit load.

As specified by the Indian Trusts Act 1882 and reiterated by the SEBI regulations, all mutual funds are required to be in the form of trusts. The trustee functions are carried out by separately established trust companies or boards of trustees. In all cases, the trust deed must be approved by the SEBI. The AMC has obtained registration from the SEBI to act as a Portfolio Manager under SEBI (Portfolio Managers) Regulations 1993.

Also, SEBI, via its letter dated 31 May 2005, conveyed that it had no objection to the AMC undertaking Advisory Services to Offshore Funds. The AMC has commenced the provision of Advisory Services.

The AMC also received a mandate from the Central Board of Trustees, Employees Provident Fund Organisation to act as a Portfolio Manager for the Funds of Employees Provident Fund, Staff Provident Fund and Pension and Gratuity Fund.

Philippines

Philam Asset Management, Inc. ("PAMI") was incorporated on 12 October 1971 and is a 99.78% owned subsidiary of a Philippine resident insurance company, The Philippine American Life and General Insurance Company, which is in turn a subsidiary of AIA Hong Kong.

PAMI is regulated by the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC"), which grants the registration of investment managers or advisers for investment companies (i.e. mutual funds). As an investment manager and adviser to mutual funds, PAMI is required to manage the resources and operations of the said funds in accordance with the provisions of the Investment Company Act (Republic Act No. 2629) and its implementing rules and regulations.

Investment managers or advisers that hold a licence from the Monetary Board to engage in trust and other fiduciary business would, in addition to SEC regulation, be subject to further regulation by the Bangko Sentral ng Pilipinas ("BSP"). Pursuant to Monetary Board Resolution No. 1758 dated 10 December 1999, investment managers or advisers that perform purely agency functions in respect of the mutual funds that they manage are outside the coverage of the BSP's jurisdiction, provided that: (i) the investment managers do not perform trust or quasi-banking functions and are not bank subsidiaries or affiliates; (ii) the products of the investment manager are not sold, and advertisements and material covering such products are not made or distributed in bank premises.

Singapore

Prudential Asset Management (Singapore) Limited ("PAMS"), an indirect wholly-owned subsidiary of Prudential plc, holds a Capital Markets Services ("CMS") licence, to conduct the regulated activities of fund management and dealing in securities, issued by the Monetary Authority of Singapore under the Securities and Futures Act, Chapter 289 of Singapore. PAMS is also an exempt financial adviser under the Financial Advisers Act, Chapter 110 of Singapore. PAMS is included under the Central Provident Fund Investment Scheme ("CPFIS") and may manage unit trusts included under the CPFIS. In addition, PAMS is registered with the Securities and Exchange Commission, US, under the Investment Advisers Act of 1940; the Financial Services Commission of South Korea, as a Cross-border Investment Advisor under the Capital Market Consolidation Act and the Securities and Exchange Board of India ("SEBI") under the SEBI (Foreign Institutional Investors) Regulations, 1995. Further, PAMS is relying on the Class Order Exemption CO 03/1102 from the Australian Securities and Investments Commission for exemption from the need to hold an Australian financial services licence for provision of services to wholesale clients in Australia.

Prudential Property Investment Management (Singapore) Pte. Ltd. ("Prupim SGP") is an indirect wholly-owned subsidiary of Prudential plc. It is a real estate fund management company, and operates in Singapore as an exempt fund manager and exempt financial adviser under the Securities and Futures Act and the Financial Advisers Act respectively.

As an exempt fund manager and exempt financial adviser, Prupim SGP provides services to not more than 30 qualified investors (for fund management services) and accredited investors (for financial advisory services).

As a company registered under the Singapore Insurance Act, AIA Singapore, is an exempt financial adviser under the Financial Advisers Act in relation to (a) advising others (other than advising on corporate finance within the meaning of the Securities and Futures Act), either directly or through publications or writings, or by issuing or promulgating research analyses or research reports, concerning life policies and (b) arranging of any contract of insurance in respect of life policies, other than a contract of reinsurance. As an exempt financial adviser, AIA Singapore is subject to certain conduct of business and other requirements applicable under the Financial Advisers Act and its related regulations, notices, guidelines, practice notes and codes.

As a company registered under the Singapore Insurance Act, AIA Singapore is also exempt under section 99(1)(d) the Securities and Futures Act from the requirement to hold a capital markets

services licence in respect of fund management for the purpose of carrying out insurance business. On 30 September 2005, AIA Singapore notified the Monetary Authority of Singapore of its commencement of business in respect of dealing in securities and fund management. However, as AIA Singapore is exempt under section 99(1)(d) only in respect of fund management for the purpose of carrying out insurance business, it has commenced business under that section in respect of fund management for the purpose of carrying out insurance business. While the Securities and Futures Act does not specifically impose additional ongoing compliance requirements applicable to registered insurers who carry on activities regulated under the Securities and Futures Act, the Monetary Authority of Singapore has circulated draft amendments to the Securities and Futures (Licensing and Conduct of Business) Regulations that propose to apply certain regulations under the Securities and Futures (Licensing and Conduct of Business) Regulations to registered insurers who are exempt from holding a capital markets service licence in respect of their business in any regulated activity under the Securities and Futures Act (that is, fund management for the purpose of carrying out insurance business) as those provisions apply to the holder of a capital markets services licence.

Malaysia

Prudential Fund Management Berhad (“PFMB”) was incorporated in November 2000 and is a wholly-owned subsidiary of a Malaysian incorporated company, Nova Sepadu Sdn Bhd, which is in turn a subsidiary of the Prudential Group.

Prudential Al Wara’ Asset Management Berhad (“WARA”) was incorporated in June 2009 and is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Prudential Corporation Holdings Limited. WARA is an Islamic Shariah-compliant asset management company. Both PFMB and WARA are regulated by the Securities Commission (the “SC”), which is a statutory body formed under the Securities Commission Act 1993 (“SCA”) which reports to the Minister of Finance. It has the power to investigate and enforce the areas within its jurisdiction. Among many other things, SC regulates all matters relating to unit trust schemes and supervises licensed persons dealing in assets and fund management activities and products. The Guidelines on Unit Trust Funds issued by the SC set out requirements to be complied with by any person intending to establish a unit trust fund in Malaysia and issue, offer or invite any person to subscribe or purchase units of a unit trust fund. Underpinning all its functions is the SC’s ultimate responsibility of protecting the investor. Apart from discharging its regulatory functions, the SC is also obliged by statute to encourage and promote the development of the securities and futures markets in Malaysia.

Dubai

Prudential Asset Management Limited (“PAMD”) was incorporated in the Dubai International Financial Centre (“DIFC”) in September 2006. PAMD is an ultimately wholly-owned subsidiary of Prudential plc.

PAMD is regulated by the Dubai Financial Services Authority (“DFSA”), which is the independent regulator for DIFC. PAMD holds a licence for Dealing in Investments as Agent, Managing Assets, Arranging Credit or Deals in Investments, Advising on Financial Products or Credit, Arranging Custody, Operating a Collective Investment Fund, Providing Fund Administration and Operating an Islamic Window and has a Retail Endorsement on its licence.

The supervisory approach of DFSA, to a large extent, is risk-based.

Thailand

The Office of the Securities and Exchange Commission of Thailand is responsible for licensing companies that deal in securities and also for regulating products and funds involving investment in securities, such as provident funds. AIA (Life) Thailand holds a Private Fund Licence, which enables it

to provide provident fund management services, and a Securities Brokerage (Limited Broker Dealer and Underwriter) Licence, which enables it to sell investment-linked products.

As a branch of a foreign company, AIA (Life) Thailand is also regulated by the Department of Business Development of the Ministry of Commerce. AIA (Life) Thailand holds a foreign business licence certificate for the conduct of a provident fund management business. It does not require a foreign business licence or certificate for the conduct of a securities brokerage business.

Vietnam

Prudential Vietnam Fund Management Private Limited Company ("PVN FMC") was established and currently operates under Business Registration Certificate No. 410400113 issued by the Department of Planning and Investment of Ho Chi Minh City on 24 May 2005 and Licence No. 03/UBCK-GPHDQLQ dated 26 May 2005 and Decision No. 459/QD-UBCK dated 13 August 2007 by the State Securities Commission of Vietnam (SSC) for operation in securities investment fund management and securities portfolio management.

Prudential Vietnam Assurance Private Limited is the sole owner of PVN FMC.

PVN FMC is regulated by the State Securities Commission of Vietnam ("SSC"), which is overseen by the Ministry of Finance ("MOF"). Given its mandate, which is to establish and develop the securities markets, the SSC supervises the organisation, and operation of securities investment funds and fund management companies.

In late 2007, the Prudential Group also opened a separate consumer finance business in Vietnam authorised by the State Bank of Vietnam.

UK SUPERVISION AND REGULATION

The Financial Services and Markets Act 2000

The Prudential Group's insurance and investment businesses in the United Kingdom are regulated by the FSA, the statutory regulator granted powers under the FSMA 2000. In addition, those businesses are subject to various United Kingdom laws (for example, the Data Protection Act 1998 in relation to the processing of customer data and various Pension Acts) some of which require the relevant Prudential entity to be licensed or registered.

Risk-based regulation

The FSA employs a risk-based regulatory approach to supervision under the FSMA 2000 pursuant to which each regulated firm's risk is assessed by the FSA using a risk assessment methodology known as ARROW (Advanced, Risk-Responsive Operating Framework). This is a high-level review aimed at assessing the significance of a particular risk posing threats to the FSA's statutory objectives under the FSMA 2000. These objectives relate to maintaining market confidence, the protection and enhancement of the stability of the UK financial system, promoting public awareness, securing consumer protection and the reduction of financial crime.

The ARROW framework, supported by a 'close and continuous' relationship, is the core of the FSA's risk-based approach to regulation. Using this process, the FSA will consider the particular risks a firm might pose to its statutory objectives by assessing the impact and probability of particular risks materialising.

Prudential is regarded by the FSA as a high impact firm in view of the nature and complexity of its business and as such receives ARROW assessments at least once in every two-year regulatory period. The last ARROW assessment across the Prudential Group (including Prudential UK) was conducted in July 2008, and the final letter setting out the results of the assessment and the accompanying Risk Mitigation Program which sets out the intended outcomes and follow-up work associated with the assessment was received by the Prudential Group in December 2008. An updated

version was provided (for both the Prudential Group and UK businesses) in October 2009. The FSA has informed Prudential that, in light of the Acquisition and the differences between the Enlarged Group and the existing Prudential Group, the next cycle of ARROW activity is likely to be deferred so as to commence towards the end of 2010.

Between ARROW visits, the FSA meets regularly with members of senior management and persons holding controlled functions to understand developing strategy and challenges and key issues arising and in particular any significant risks identified and how the Prudential Group is mitigating these. This 'close and continuous' monitoring is supported by focused (relating to a firm or group) and themed (relating to the industry or market as a whole) visits where appropriate. In advance of discussions, the FSA request relevant mandatory management information at prescribed intervals, which helps to frame the agenda for these meetings.

Overview of FSMA 2000 regulatory regime

Single regulator

The FSA is currently the single regulator for all authorised persons with respect to regulated activities in the financial services sector. In this regard, the FSA is authorised to make rules and issue guidance in relation to a wide sphere of activity encompassing the governance of a firm, the way it conducts its business and the prudential supervision of firms.

Permission to carry on "Regulated Activities"

Under the FSMA 2000, no person may carry on or purport to carry on a regulated activity by way of business in the United Kingdom unless he is an authorised person or is an exempt person. A firm which is authorised by the FSA to carry on regulated activities becomes an authorised person for the purposes of the FSMA 2000. "Regulated activities" are currently prescribed in the Financial Services and Markets Act 2000 (Regulated Activities) Order 2001 (as amended) and include insurance and investment business, as well as certain other activities such as establishing, operating and winding up stakeholder or personal pension schemes, the mediation of general insurance and certain mortgage mediation and lending activities. When authorising a firm, the FSA will limit the permissions it grants to the regulated activities in which the firm is intending to engage.

Authorisation procedure

In granting an application by a firm for authorisation, the FSA may delineate the scope of, and include such restrictions on, the grant of permission as it deems appropriate. In granting or varying the terms of a firm's permissions, the FSA must ensure that the firm meets certain threshold conditions, which, among other things, require the firm to have adequate resources for the carrying on of its business, and to be a fit and proper person, having regard to all the circumstances.

Once authorised, and in addition to continuing to meet the threshold conditions for authorisation, firms are obliged to comply with the FSA's "Principles for Businesses", which are high level principles for conducting financial services business in the United Kingdom.

Moreover, the FSMA 2000 obliges firms to secure the FSA's prior approval of the appointment of individuals performing certain important functions within a firm or on its behalf with respect to the carrying on of regulated activities (approved persons).

Principles for Businesses

An authorised firm will be subject to a range of ongoing regulatory requirements from the FSA, including compliance with general principles as well as more specific conduct of business rules and financial resources requirements. A key feature of the FSA regime is the existence of 11 "Principles for Businesses", by which all firms are expected to abide. These cover key areas such as the firm's relationship with the FSA and the need to act with integrity as well as to treat customers fairly.

In the wake of the recent financial crisis, the FSA has announced and has followed a new strategy of “intensive supervision” and a move to what it has described as “outcomes-focused regulation”. This has been coupled with a publicly announced strategy of “credible deterrence”, involving an increased focus on its enforcement activities.

Application of FSMA 2000 regulatory regime to the Prudential Group

Each of the Prudential Group’s principal UK insurance and investment businesses is subject to regulation and supervision by the FSA in the carrying on of its regulated activities. The following discussion considers, in turn, the main features of the FSMA 2000 regime applicable to the Group’s insurance and investment businesses in the United Kingdom.

Regulation applicable to the Prudential Group’s insurance and investment businesses

Supervision of management and change of control of authorised firms

The FSA closely supervises the management of authorised firms through the “approved persons” regime, under which any appointment of persons who hold a “controlled function” including functions that enable the exercise of significant influence over an authorised firm must be preapproved by the FSA.

The FSA also regulates the acquisition and increase of control over UK authorised firms. Under the FSMA 2000, any person proposing to acquire control of or increase control over an authorised firm must first obtain the consent of the FSA. The assessment process and assessment criteria for this process are set out in the FSMA 2000. In considering whether to grant or withhold its approval to the acquisition of control, the FSA must consider, among other things, the suitability of the person seeking consent and seek to ensure the sound and prudent management of the UK authorised person.

“Control” for these purposes includes a holding of 10% or more in the share capital or entitlement to 10% or more of the exercise of voting power of an authorised firm or its parent undertaking. When determining a person’s level of control, that person’s holding of shares or entitlement to exercise voting power will be aggregated with the holdings or entitlements of any person with whom he is “acting in concert”. A person will be treated as increasing his control over an authorised firm, and therefore requiring further approval from the FSA, if the level of his shareholding or entitlement to voting power in the authorised firm or, as the case may be, its parent undertaking, increases by any threshold step. The threshold steps occur on the acquisition of 10%, 20 %, 30% and 50% of the shares or voting power in an authorised firm or its parent undertaking.

The Acquisitions Directive was introduced across the EU on 21 March 2009, establishing EU-wide procedural and evaluation criteria for the prudential assessment of acquisitions and increases of holdings in the financial sector. The Financial Services and Markets Act 2000 (Controllers) Regulations 2009 gave effect to the Acquisitions Directive in the UK by making various changes to the FSMA 2000.

Intervention and enforcement

The FSA has extensive powers to investigate and intervene in the affairs of an authorised firm. The FSMA 2000 imposes on the FSA statutory obligations to monitor compliance with the requirements imposed by, and to enforce the provisions of, the FSMA 2000, related secondary legislation and the rules made thereunder.

The FSA’s enforcement powers, which may be exercised against both authorised firms and approved persons, include public censure, imposition of unlimited fines and, in serious cases, the variation or revocation of permission to carry on regulated activities or of an approved person’s approved status. In addition, the FSA may vary or revoke an authorised firm’s permission if it is

desirable to protect the interests of consumers or potential consumers, or if the firm has not engaged in regulated activity for 12 months, or if it is failing (or is likely to fail) to meet the threshold conditions for authorisation. The FSA has further powers to obtain injunctions against authorised persons and to impose or seek restitution orders where persons have suffered loss. Once the FSA has made a decision to take enforcement action against an authorised or approved person (other than in the case of an application to the court for an injunction or restitution order), the person affected may refer the matter to the Financial Services and Markets Tribunal. Breaches of certain FSA rules by an authorised firm may also give a private person who suffers loss as a result of the breach a right of action against the authorised firm for damages.

In addition to its ability to apply civil sanctions for market abuse, the FSA has the power to prosecute criminal offences arising under the FSMA 2000, insider dealing under Part V of the Criminal Justice Act 1993 and breaches of money laundering regulations. The FSA has indicated that it is prepared to prosecute more cases in the criminal courts where appropriate.

The FSA, although not a creditor, may seek administration orders under the Insolvency Act 1986 (as amended), present a petition for the winding-up of an authorised firm or have standing to be heard in the voluntary winding-up of an authorised firm. It should be noted that insurers carrying on long-term insurance business cannot voluntarily be wound up without the consent of the FSA.

FSA Conduct of Business Rules

The FSA's Conduct of Business Rules apply to every authorised firm carrying on regulated activities and regulate the day-to-day conduct of business standards to be observed by authorised persons in carrying on regulated activities. The Conduct of Business Rules incorporate the requirements of the Markets in Financial Investments Directive ("MiFID") which relate to investment business, and now place greater reliance on principles and higher-level rules.

The scope and range of obligations imposed on an authorised firm under the Conduct of Business Rules varies according to the scope of its business and the range of its clients. Generally speaking, however, the obligations imposed on an authorised firm by the Conduct of Business Rules will include the need to classify its clients according to their level of sophistication, provide them with information about the firm, meet certain standards of product disclosure, ensure that promotional material which it produces is clear, fair and not misleading, assess suitability when advising on certain products, manage conflicts of interest, report appropriately to its clients and provide certain protections in relation to client assets.

Treating Customers Fairly

The FSA's Treating Customers Fairly initiative ("TCF") is an important example of its principles-based approach to regulation. This initiative is based upon Principle 6 of the FSA's Principles for Businesses (that a firm must pay due regard to the interests of its customers and treat them fairly).

Although the FSA has, with the exception of rules relating to with-profits policyholders, refrained from making detailed rules on how to comply with TCF, it has published a number of case studies providing an indication of its expectations of authorised firms in the areas of product development, complaints handling, financial promotions and systems and controls. TCF assessments of firms will be incorporated into the ARROW assessment process.

Prudential supervision

As set out above, in order to maintain authorised status under the FSMA 2000, a firm must continue to satisfy the threshold conditions, which, among other things, require the firm to have adequate resources for the carrying on of its business. The FSA has published detailed rules relating to the maintenance of minimum levels of regulatory capital for all authorised firms including insurance and investment businesses in the Prudential Standards section of its Handbook. The

capital adequacy requirements set out in the Handbook which include the type of capital held must be satisfied at all times by authorised firms.

The FSA's regulatory capital rules for insurers and investment firms are primarily contained in the FSA's General Prudential Sourcebook ("GENPRU"), Prudential Sourcebook for Banks, Building Societies and Investment Firms ("BIPRU") and Prudential Sourcebook for Insurers ("INSPRU"). Although it has been the intention in recent years of the FSA to move towards a unified prudential regime for FSA authorised firms, the FSA has been obliged to revise this approach and its rules to accommodate developments at an international level, including EU legislation relating to the regulatory capital requirements for investment firms and financial groups.

The Financial Ombudsman Service

Authorised firms must have appropriate complaints handling procedures and the principles of complaints handling are defined in the FSA Handbooks. However, once these procedures have been exhausted, qualifying complainants may turn to the Financial Ombudsman Service which is intended to provide speedy, informal and cost-effective dispute resolution of complaints made against authorised firms by individuals and small-business customers. The Ombudsman is empowered to order firms to pay fair compensation for loss and damage and may order a firm to take such steps as the Ombudsman determines to be just and appropriate in order to remedy a complaint. The Financial Ombudsman Service is funded by levies and case fees payable by businesses covered by the Ombudsman.

The Financial Services Compensation Scheme ("FSCS")

The FSCS is intended to compensate individuals and small businesses for claims against an authorised firm where the authorised firm is unable or unlikely to be able to meet those claims (generally, when it is insolvent or has gone out of business). The scheme is divided into five sub-schemes of deposits, investments, insurance mediation, insurance business (life and general) and home finance, reflecting the different kinds of business undertaken by authorised firms. The scheme is funded by contributions from industry participants referable to the particular sub-schemes so as to minimise cross-subsidy between authorised persons whose businesses are not similar. The recent defaults by a number of deposit-takers have led to a large payout by the FSCS, which have been funded mainly by loans from the Bank of England and HM Treasury to the FSCS. The interest costs on the loans will be funded out of the deposits sub-scheme alone. However, the repayment of the loans is likely to be funded to an extent by the 'general pool' with such payments commencing 2011/12. The 'general pool' is in part funded by levies on the Insurance sub-scheme in which Prudential sits.

The FSA has committed to start a comprehensive review of the FSCS funding model and intends to consult with firms and other relevant industry bodies in 2010/2011.

Regulation of insurance business

Effecting and carrying out contracts of insurance as principal are regulated activities for the purposes of the FSMA 2000, and the carrying on of such regulated activities is referred to as insurance business. Some of Prudential's subsidiaries, including PAC, Prudential Annuities Limited, Prudential Retirement Income Limited, Prudential Pensions Limited, Prudential Holborn Life Limited and Prudential (AN) Limited carry on insurance business in the United Kingdom with the permission of the FSA and are regulated by the FSA under the FSMA 2000.

Conduct of business requirements for insurance business

The Conduct of Business rules issued by the FSA apply differing requirements to the sale of general and long-term insurance contracts, as well as applying certain requirements to transactions in other designated investments. Authorised firms which advise and sell to private customers

packaged products such as life insurance policies are subject to detailed conduct of business obligations relating to product disclosure, assessment of suitability, the range and scope of the advice which the firm provides, and fee and remuneration arrangements.

The FSA launched a Retail Distribution Review (the "RDR") in 2006 with the specific aim of improving the retail investment market. In June 2009 the FSA published a consultation paper containing proposals for implementing the RDR with proposals seeking to: (i) improve the clarity with which firms describe their services to consumers; (ii) address the potential for adviser remuneration to distort consumer outcomes; and (iii) increase the professional standards of advisers. Detailed proposals for enhancing the professionalism of investment advisers under the RDR were published by the FSA in December 2009 and a further consultation paper in this area is expected to be published in the third quarter of 2010. In relation to the first two elements of the RDR, on 26 March 2010 the FSA published a policy statement presenting final rules. These rules include requiring firms to describe services as either "independent" or "restricted" and updating the FSA's rules on what is expected of a firm that describes its advice as being independent. The FSA is also proceeding with proposals to introduce a system of "Adviser Charging", which will involve all firms that give investment advice to retail clients setting their own charges. Once the rules come into effect, adviser firms will no longer be able to receive commissions set by product providers in return for recommending their products, but will have to operate their own charging tariffs in accordance with the FSA's new rules. The proposals are expected to take effect at the end of 2012.

Capital requirements for insurers

The FSA's rules which govern the prudential regulation of insurers are found in INSPRU, GENPRU and the Interim Prudential Sourcebook for Insurers ("IPRU (INS)"). Overall, the requirements of GENPRU are intended to align the capital adequacy requirements for insurance businesses more closely with those of banking and investment firms and building societies, for example, by addressing tiers of capital, rather than looking at net admissible assets. Solvency II, described further below, is the European Commission's project to reform prudential regulation of insurers. A framework directive for the new regime was approved by the European Parliament on 22 April 2009, the final text was adopted by the European Council on 10 November 2009 and the planned implementation date for the regime is 31 October 2012.

The FSA's rules now require an insurer to prepare and submit to the FSA its own assessment of its capital requirements, known as an individual capital assessment ("ICA"), based on the risks it faces. The FSA will use the ICA in order to form its own view of a firm's capital requirements. If the FSA considers that the firm does not hold adequate capital resources, it will issue individual capital guidance ("ICG") which it can impose as a requirement.

The rules also require that insurance companies maintain assets sufficient to meet the relevant capital requirement at all times in respect of both any long-term insurance and general insurance undertaken by the insurance company, the calculation of which requirement in any particular case being dependent on the type and amount of insurance business a company writes. The method of calculation of the capital requirement is set out in GENPRU and the level of an insurer's capital resources is also determined in accordance with the rules set out in that Sourcebook. Failure to maintain the required capital resources requirement is one of the grounds on which wide powers of intervention conferred upon the FSA may be exercised.

Under the rules in GENPRU, an insurer must hold capital resources equal at least to the Minimum Capital Requirement (the "MCR"). Insurers with with-profits liabilities of £500 million or more must hold capital equal to the higher of MCR and the Enhanced Capital Requirement (the "ECR"). The ECR is intended to provide a more risk responsive and "realistic" measure of a with-profits insurer's capital requirements, whereas the MCR is broadly speaking equivalent to the previously required minimum margin under the IPRU (INS) and satisfies the minimum EU standards.

Determination of the ECR involves the comparison of two separate measurements of the firm's financial resources requirements, which the FSA refers to as the "twin peaks" approach. The term twin peaks is meant to reflect the fact that capital is determined by reference to the higher of the two bases for calculating liabilities (regulatory or realistic). The regulatory basis reflects strict contractual liabilities whereas the realistic one includes more discretionary but expected benefits, including those required to treat customers fairly.

Long-term business assets and liabilities — those assets and liabilities relating to, broadly, life and health insurance policies — must be segregated from the assets and liabilities attributable to non-life insurance business or to shareholders. Separate accounting and other records must be maintained and a separate fund must be established to hold all receipts of long-term business.

The extent to which long-term fund assets may be used for purposes other than long-term business is restricted by the rules in INSPRU. Only the "established surplus"—the excess of assets over liabilities in the long-term fund, as determined by an actuarial investigation — may be transferred so as to be available for other purposes. Restrictions also apply to the payment of dividends by the insurance company, as described below. The rules in INSPRU require, in addition to the capital requirements referred to above, the maintenance of sufficient assets in the separate long-term insurance fund to cover the actuarially determined value of the insurance liabilities.

Actuarial functions

The rules in the FSA's Supervision Manual require that every insurance company that carries on long-term business must appoint one or more actuaries to perform the "actuarial function" in respect of all classes of its long-term insurance business and, if it has any with-profits business, the "with-profits actuary function" in respect of all classes of that with-profits business.

The actuary performing the "actuarial function" must prepare at least annually, a report for the company's directors quantifying the company's long-term liabilities attributable to the insurance company's long-term insurance business, determining the value of any excess over those liabilities of the assets representing the long-term insurance fund and, where any rights of long-term policyholders to participate in profits relate to particular parts of such a fund, a valuation of any excess of assets over liabilities in respect of each of those parts.

The actuary performing the "with-profits actuary function" must advise the firm's management, at the level of seniority that is reasonably appropriate, on key aspects of the discretion to be exercised affecting those classes of the with-profits business of the firm in respect of which he has been appointed. He must also, at least once a year report to the firm's governing body on key aspects (including those aspects of the firm's application of its Principles and Practices of Financial Management ("PPFM") on which the advice described has been given) of the discretion exercised in respect of the period covered by his report affecting those classes of with-profits business of the firm.

All firms that carry out with-profits business are required to publish the PPFM that are applied in the management of their with-profits funds.

Distribution of profits and with-profits business

The Interim Prudential Sourcebook for Insurers provides that, once an allocation of surplus in a with-profits fund has been made to policyholders, no transfer of assets representing any part of a subsequent surplus can be made, to shareholders or otherwise, unless either the "relevant minimum" (as defined in the Interim Prudential Sourcebook for Insurers) of the surplus has been allocated to policyholders or a statutory notification procedure has been followed. Calculation of the relevant minimum is based upon the percentage of the relevant surplus previously allocated to eligible policyholders.

There has been considerable public debate about the rights and legitimate expectations of with-profits policyholders to assets forming part of an insurance company's surplus, particularly where such assets do not derive from the payment of current policyholders' premiums but are rather "inherited" from previous generations of policyholders or from other entities. In 2008, the Treasury Select Committee of the House of Commons conducted an inquiry into the inherited estate held by life assurance companies, one of the recommendations of which was that the FSA consult on a redesign of the regulatory system for with-profits funds.

The FSA confirmed in July 2009 that proprietary life insurance companies will not be able to meet future compensation and redress payments from their with-profits funds. Following two previous consultations, the FSA confirmed a rule change meaning that liabilities arising from operational failures (including mis-selling) after the rule came into effect from 31 July 2009 should be met by shareholder funds rather than policyholder funds. Under FSA rules prior to 31 July 2009, compensation and redress could be paid from assets attributable to shareholders or from the inherited estate of a firm's with-profits fund (if any).

Treating Customers Fairly and with-profits business

One of the areas of focus of the FSA's TCF initiative has been with-profit business. The FSA has issued specific rules on this area in relation to with-profits policyholders, which address, among other things, the costs charged to a with-profits fund by the firm managing the fund; penalties and charges levied on policyholders who surrender their policies early, the need for funds to be managed with the objective of ensuring that maturity payouts fall within a target range set for the fund; and the provision of information to with-profits policyholders or potential policyholders in a format that they can more readily understand—through the introduction of "Consumer Friendly Principles and Practices of Financial Management" ("CFPPFs").

Reporting requirements

The main financial reporting rules for insurers are contained in the Interim Prudential Sourcebook for Insurers. Insurance companies must file a number of items with the FSA, including their audited annual accounts and balance sheets and life insurers annual reports from the actuary performing the actuarial function. Returns enumerating policy sales are submitted by firms, including insurance companies on a quarterly basis. Non-insurance companies must also file quarterly returns which include details of sales, numbers of advisers, tests of capital adequacy, balance sheets and profit and loss accounts.

Transfer of insurance business

Before any transfer of insurance business may take place, the FSMA 2000 requires a scheme of transfer to be prepared and approved by the High Court.

Winding-up rules

The general insolvency laws applicable to UK companies are modified in certain respects in relation to insurance companies. Since the introduction of the Financial Services and Markets Act 2000 (Administration Orders Relating to Insurers) Order 2002 (the "2002 Order"), now amended, insurance companies in the United Kingdom have been subject, with some modifications, to the administration regime contained in Part II of the Insolvency Act 1986.

Additionally, in the United Kingdom, all FSA authorised insurance companies, except for pure reinsurers, are subject to the Insurers (Reorganisation and Winding-up) Regulations 2004 (as amended).

These Regulations provide, among other things, that direct insurance claims will have priority over the claims of other unsecured creditors (with the exception of preferred creditors), including

reinsurance creditors, on a winding-up by the court or a creditors' voluntary winding-up of the insurance company. Furthermore, instead of making a winding-up order when an insurance company has been proved unable to pay its debts, a UK court may, under section 377 of the FSMA 2000, reduce the amount of one or more of the insurance company's contracts on terms and subject to conditions (if any) which the court considers fit. Where an insurance company is in financial difficulties but not in liquidation, the FSCS may take measures for securing the transfer of all or part of the business to another insurance company.

Section 376 of the FSMA 2000 provides further insolvency protection to policyholders of insurance companies effecting or carrying out contracts of long-term insurance. Unless the court orders otherwise, a liquidator must carry on the insurer's business so far as it consists of carrying out the insurer's contracts of long-term insurance with a view to it being transferred as a going concern to a person who may lawfully carry out those contracts. In carrying on the business, the liquidator may agree to the variation of any contracts of insurance in existence when the winding-up order is made, but must not effect any new contracts of insurance.

EU Directives on groups

The Prudential Group is subject to the capital adequacy requirements of the Insurance Groups Directive ("IGD") as implemented in the FSA rules. The IGD pertains to groups whose activities are primarily concentrated in the insurance sector, and has applied to the Prudential Group from December 2007, following the sale of Egg Banking during 2007. Prior to this, the Prudential Group was required to meet the requirements of the Financial Conglomerates Directive ("FCD") as implemented in the FSA rules, as the Prudential Group was classified as an insurance conglomerate.

The Prudential Group's move during 2007 from being treated as an insurance conglomerate to being treated as an insurance group under the FSA rules did not have a significant impact on the Prudential Group, as the FSA's prudential requirements pertaining to insurance groups are very similar to those applying to insurance conglomerates.

As lead supervisor of the Prudential Group under the IGD, the FSA supervises the Prudential Group on a group basis in addition to supervising the UK insurance companies within the Prudential Group individually. This is referred to in the IGD as supplementary supervision and encompasses such matters as general supervision over intra-group transactions (including, inter alia, loans, guarantees and off-balance sheet transactions, investments, reinsurance, retrocession and cost sharing agreements), group risk management processes and internal control mechanisms, and reporting and accounting procedures. In accordance with the IGD, the FSA requires the calculation of group capital resources on a consolidated basis and requires that such group capital resources are equal to or in excess of the Prudential Group's group capital resources requirement (each as calculated in accordance with INSPRU). As lead supervisor of the Prudential Group under the IGD, the FSA also plays a co-ordinating role amongst EU regulators under the IGD. Due to the geographically diverse nature of the Prudential Group's operations, the application of these requirements to the Prudential Group is complex. In particular, for the purposes of calculating the group capital requirement and actual group capital resources under INSPRU, for many of the Asian operations, the assets, liabilities and capital requirements have to be recalculated based on FSA regulations as if the companies were directly subject to FSA regulation.

New EU solvency framework

The European Commission is continuing to develop a new prudential framework for insurance companies, 'the Solvency II project' that will update the existing life, non-life, re-insurance and insurance groups directives. The main aim of this framework is to ensure the financial stability of the insurance industry and protect policyholders through establishing solvency requirements better matched to the true risks of the business. Solvency II adopts a three-pillar approach to prudential regulation which is similar to the "Basel II" approach which has already been adopted in the

banking sector in Europe. These pillars are quantitative requirements (Pillar 1); qualitative requirements (Pillar 2); and supervisory and reporting disclosure (Pillar 3).

Although the Solvency II directive has similarities to the current UK regime set out in GENPRU and INSPRU in terms of its risk-based approach to the calculation of capital resources requirements and use of capital tiering, there are also many differences both in terms of substance and terminology.

A key aspect of Solvency II is the focus on a supervisory review at the level of the individual legal entity. Insurers will be encouraged to improve their risk management processes and will be allowed to make use of internal economic capital models to calculate capital requirements if approved by the FSA. In addition, Solvency II requires firms to develop and embed an effective risk management system as a fundamental part of running the firm.

Solvency II is being developed in accordance with the Lamfalussy four-level process. The "Level 1" directive was formally approved by the European Parliament on 22 April 2009 and the final text was adopted by the European Council on 10 November 2009 and sets out a framework which will be supplemented by further and more detailed technical implementing measures at "Level 2". At "Level 3" non-binding standards and guidance will be agreed between national supervisors and at "Level 4" the European Commission will monitor compliance by Member States and take enforcement action as necessary. Separately to Solvency II, proposed changes to the European supervisory architecture, which are yet to be finalised, are likely to mean that, in addition, binding technical standards will be produced at "Level 3".

The European Commission expects to legally adopt Level 2 implementing measures by the end of 2011. The planned implementation date for the new regime is 31 October 2012.

Regulation of investment business

Certain of Prudential's subsidiaries are authorised by the FSA to carry on investment business. These entities are subject to regulation and supervision by the FSA and must comply with the FSA Conduct of Business and Prudential Rules made under the FSMA 2000.

Conduct of business requirements for investment businesses and the Markets in Financial Instruments Directive ("MiFID")

MiFID, unlike its predecessor legislation, the Investment Services Directive, sets out detailed and specific requirements in relation to organisational and conduct of business matters for investment firms and regulated markets. In particular, MiFID and its implementing measures make specific provision in relation to, among other things, organisational requirements, outsourcing, client categorisation, conflicts of interest, best execution, client order handling and suitability and appropriateness, and investment research and financial analysis, pre- and post-trade transparency obligations and transaction reporting and make substantial changes to the responsibility for the supervision of cross-border investment services provided by firms in exercise of their single market passport rights.

Capital requirements for investment businesses

The FSA's capital requirements for investment businesses are also contained in the Prudential Standards section of its Handbook, primarily in GENPRU and BIPRU. These rules implement the requirements of European Union legislation relating to the prudential supervision of investment firms, including the Capital Adequacy Directive (Directive 93/6/EEC), as re-cast by the Capital Requirements Directive (Directive 2006/49/EC).

Liquidity requirements for investment businesses

In October 2009 the FSA published its final rules on the liquidity requirements expected of BIPRU firms, which are designed to enhance firms' liquidity risk management practices. The qualitative aspects of the new rules, which affect the systems and controls that firms are required to have in place to deal with liquidity risk, came into force on 1 December 2009. The quantitative aspects of the new rules are subject to staggered implementation. The rules require changes to firms' business models and include an updated quantitative regime (in the form of Individual Liquidity Adequacy Standards (ILAS)) coupled with a narrow definition of liquid assets, enhanced systems and control requirements and more frequent reporting requirements.

Alternative Investment Fund Managers Directive (AIFMD)

The European Commission has published a draft Alternative Investment Fund Managers Directive designed to regulate private equity and hedge funds. As currently drafted, the Directive may have significant consequences for funds which are not a "UCITS". This could, in turn, materially increase compliance and regulatory costs for certain funds.

The Directive is subject to consultation and potential revision and redrafting, so the final text remains uncertain and it is not expected to come into effect until 2011.

US Supervision of M&G Investment Management

One of the Prudential's UK subsidiaries, M&G Investment Management Limited, is also regulated by the United States' Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC") so that it can act as investment adviser to a number of US mutual funds.

FSA Supervision Arrangements for the Enlarged Group

Prudential has agreed arrangements with the FSA for the future supervision of the Enlarged Group. These arrangements are designed to meet the objective that the Enlarged Group has adequate capital and appropriate systems and controls judged against the Enlarged Group's business model and the risks associated with that business model. The arrangements reflect the FSA's intention to maintain a programme of pro-active supervisory engagement and dialogue with the management and control functions of the Enlarged Group. This will include periodic meetings between the FSA and senior management of the Enlarged Group and the regular delivery to the FSA of certain high level management information on the Enlarged Group's financial performance and on other matters, such as the Enlarged Group's capital and liquidity positions and risk management issues. After completion of the Acquisition the FSA will continue to act as lead supervisor of the Prudential Group and to exercise the supplementary group supervision under the IGD to which the Prudential Group is and will continue to be subject (see under "EU Directives on groups" above). The FSA will also undertake an enhanced level of consolidated supervisory oversight of the Enlarged Group and specifically of the combined Prudential Corporation Asia and the AIA Group. This will include additional visits to the operations of the Enlarged Group in Asia, additional information on those operations being provided to the FSA and detailed reviews of the Enlarged Group's governance, risk management and systems and controls in Asia. The FSA expects to have more frequent dialogue with Asian regulators on a bilateral basis. The FSA will also work closely with the Hong Kong OCI and other Asian regulators in the supervision of the Enlarged Group.

In addition, Prudential has agreed further supervisory arrangements with the FSA relating to the Enlarged Group's UK regulated firms. These will involve: (i) the appointment of at least two independent non-executive directors to the board of PAC; (ii) the transfer of the business of PAC's branch in Hong Kong to one or more non-UK Hong Kong regulated insurance companies in the Enlarged Group, subject to the agreement of the FSA and the Hong Kong OCI, including as to the terms of the split of the inherited estate, and to the completion of the Hong Kong court process by which this "domestication" of PAC's branch in Hong Kong will be achieved; (iii) a requirement that

prior agreement is obtained from the FSA before PAC provides liquidity support to other members of the Enlarged Group; and (iv) regular monitoring and reporting to the FSA of actual and potential intra-group exposures of the UK regulated firms in the Enlarged Group. The FSA has also indicated that in the future it will be less likely to allow the UK regulated firms in the Prudential Group to take credit in their solo capital assessments for contingent capital support from elsewhere in the Prudential Group than is currently the case.

Prudential has agreed with the FSA that, in view of the risks associated with current market circumstances and the potential risks associated with the Acquisition, at, and for some time after, completion of the Acquisition it would be appropriate for Prudential to hold an enhanced level of capital. Prudential agreed that it would have, or would have a committed source of additional capital that would provide it with, group capital resources equal to not less than 150% of its group capital resources requirement (each as calculated according to the FSA's rules in INSPRU) after making allowance for certain stressed circumstances having occurred. The arrangements for financing the Acquisition (the Rights Issue, the issue of New Prudential Shares, Mandatory Convertible Notes and Tier 1 Notes to AIA Aurora, and the subordinated debt issued pursuant to the Bond Offerings or drawn under the Hybrid Capital Facility or subscribed for by AIA Aurora under the Subordinated Note Commitment Letter) and the contingent subordinated debt financing facility described in "Information about the Enlarged Group — Regulatory Capital (IGD)" of this listing document are designed to ensure that this requirement is met.

US AND BERMUDAN SUPERVISION AND REGULATION

US regulation

Overview

The Prudential Group conducts its US insurance activities through Jackson, a stock life insurance company licensed to transact its insurance business in, and subject to regulation and supervision by, the District of Columbia, the Cayman Islands and 49 of the 50 states. Jackson operates a subsidiary, Jackson National Life Insurance Company of New York, in the state of New York. The extent of such regulation varies, but most jurisdictions have laws and regulations governing the financial aspects of insurance companies, including standards of solvency, reserves, reinsurance and capital adequacy and the business conduct of insurance companies. In addition, statutes and regulations usually require the licensing of insurers and their agents and the approval of policy forms and related materials. These statutes and regulations in a US insurance company's state of domicile (Michigan in the case of Jackson) also regulate the investment activities of insurers.

Insurance regulatory authorities in the jurisdictions in which Jackson does business require it to file detailed quarterly and annual financial statements, and these authorities have the right to examine its operations and accounts. In addition, Jackson is generally subject to federal and state laws and regulations that affect the conduct of its business. New York and Michigan require their state insurance authorities to conduct an examination of an insurer under their jurisdiction at least once every five years. The New York insurance authorities completed a triennial examination of Jackson National Life of New York in 2010 for the examination period of 1 January 2006 through 31 December 2008. Michigan insurance authorities completed an examination of Jackson in 2010 for the period 1 January 2005 through 31 December 2008. Initial verbal feedback indicated that there were no material findings but the final examination reports have not yet been issued by either the Michigan or New York authorities.

Jackson's ability to pay shareholder dividends is limited under Michigan insurance law. The Commissioner of the Michigan Office of Financial and Insurance Regulation (the "Michigan Insurance Commissioner") may limit, or not permit, the payment of shareholder dividends if the Michigan Insurance Commissioner determines that an insurer's surplus, as regards policyholders, is not reasonable in relation to its outstanding liabilities and is not adequate to meet its financial needs as required by Michigan insurance law. Jackson must report any shareholder dividends to the

Michigan Insurance Commissioner before they can be paid. In the case of an extraordinary shareholder dividend or distribution, an insurer may not pay the dividend or distribution until 30 days after the Michigan Insurance Commissioner has received notice of the declaration and has not disapproved, or has approved, the payment within that period. For this purpose, an extraordinary dividend or distribution means any dividend or distribution of cash or other property where the fair market value, together with that of other dividends or distributions that an insurer made within the preceding twelve months, exceeds the greater of 10% of the insurer's surplus, as regards policyholders as of 31 December of the immediately preceding year, or the net gain from operations of the insurer, not including realised capital gains, for the prior year. In 2007, 2008 and 2009, Jackson paid shareholder dividends of US\$246.0 million, US\$313.10 million, and US\$250.0 million, respectively.

State regulators also require prior notice or regulatory approval of changes in control of an insurer or its holding company and of certain material transactions with affiliates. Under New York and Michigan insurance laws and regulations, no person, corporation or other entity may acquire control of an insurance company or a controlling interest in any parent company of an insurance company, unless that person, corporation or entity has obtained the prior approval of the regulator. For the purpose of each of New York and Michigan law, any person acquiring, directly or indirectly, 10% or more of the voting securities of an insurance company is presumed to have acquired "control" of the company. To obtain approval of any change in control, the proposed acquirer must file an application with the New York Superintendent of Insurance or the Michigan Insurance Commissioner, as appropriate. This application requires the proposed acquirer to disclose, among other information, its background, financial condition, the financial condition of its affiliates, the source and amount of funds by which it will effect the acquisition, the criteria used in determining the nature and amount of consideration to be paid for the acquisition, proposed changes in the management and operations of the insurance company and other related matters. The Michigan Insurance Commissioner can grant an exemption from filing an application in an acquisition does not have the effect of changing or influencing control.

Guaranty associations and similar arrangements

Each of the 50 states of the United States, the District of Columbia and the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico has laws requiring insurance companies doing business within their jurisdictions to participate in various types of guaranty associations or other similar arrangements. These associations and arrangements provide certain levels of protection to policyholders from losses under insurance policies issued by insurance companies that become impaired or insolvent. Typically, these associations levy assessments, up to prescribed limits, on member insurers on a basis that is related to the member insurer's proportionate share of the business in the relevant jurisdiction of all member insurers in the lines of business in which the impaired or insolvent insurer is engaged. Some jurisdictions permit member insurers to recover assessments that they paid through full or partial premium tax offsets, usually over a period of years. The Prudential Group estimated its reserve for future guarantee fund assessments for Jackson to be £18.1 million (US\$26.0 million) at 31 December 2008. The Prudential Group estimated its reserve for future guarantee fund assessments for Jackson to be £15.4 million (US\$24.9 million) as at 31 December 2009. The Prudential Group believes this reserve to be adequate for all anticipated payments for known insolvencies.

Asset valuation reserve

State regulators generally require that insurers establish an asset valuation reserve that consists of two components: a "default component" to provide for future credit-related losses on fixed income investments and an "equity component" to provide for losses on all types of equity investments. The asset valuation reserve establishes statutory reserves for fixed maturity securities, equity securities, mortgage loans, real estate and other invested assets. The reserve is designed to

provide for a normalised level of future losses based on the credit rating of each individual investment. The level of reserves is based on both the type of investment and its rating. Contributions to the reserve may result in a slower growth in surplus or a reduction in Jackson's unassigned surplus, which, in turn, may reduce funds available for shareholder distributions. The extent of the impact of the asset valuation reserve on Jackson's statutory surplus depends in part on the future composition of the investment portfolio.

Interest maintenance reserve

State regulators generally require that insurers establish an interest maintenance reserve to defer non-credit-related realised capital gains and losses, net of taxes, on fixed income investments (primarily bonds and mortgage loans) which are amortised into net income over the estimated remaining periods to maturity of the investments sold and to defer material gains or losses, net of taxes, resulting from market value adjustments on policies and contracts backed by assets carried at book value. The extent of the impact of the interest maintenance reserve on earnings and surplus depends on the amount of future interest rate-related realised capital gains and losses on fixed maturity investments and deferred gains or losses resulting from market value adjustments on policies and contracts backed by assets that are valued at book value.

The National Association of Insurance Commissioners ratios

On the basis of statutory financial statements that insurers file with state insurance regulators, the National Association of Insurance Commissioners annually calculates 12 financial ratios to assist state regulators in monitoring the financial condition of insurance companies. A usual range of results for each ratio is used as a benchmark and departure from the usual range on four or more of the ratios can lead to inquiries from individual state insurance departments. In 2009, all of Jackson's ratios fell within the usual range. In 2008, Jackson had one ratio fall outside the usual range for which there were no regulatory consequences.

Policy and contract reserve sufficiency analysis

State insurance laws require life insurance companies to conduct annually an analysis of the sufficiency of its life and annuity reserves. A qualified actuary must submit an opinion that states that the reserves, when considered in the light of the assets that an insurance company holds with respect to such reserves, make good and sufficient provision for the associated contractual obligations and related expenses of the insurance company. If a qualified actuary cannot provide such an opinion, then the insurance company must set up additional reserves by moving funds from surplus. The 2009 opinion has been submitted to the Michigan Office of Financial and Insurance Regulation without any qualifications.

Jackson's capital and surplus

Michigan insurance law requires Jackson, as a domestic stock life insurance company, to maintain at least US\$7,500,000 in unimpaired capital and surplus. In addition, insurance companies are required to have sufficient capital and surplus to be safe, reliable and entitled to public confidence. As a licensed insurer in the District of Columbia and every state but New York, where it operates through a subsidiary, Jackson is subject to the supervision of the regulators of each jurisdiction. In connection with the continual licensing of Jackson, regulators have discretionary authority to limit or prohibit the new issuance of business to policyholders when, in their judgement, the regulators determine that such insurer is not maintaining minimum surplus or capital or if the further transaction of business will be hazardous to policyholders. Jackson has received approval from the Michigan Office of Financial and Insurance Regulation regarding the use of a permitted accounting practice. This permitted practice allows Jackson to carry interest rate swaps at book value as if statutory hedge accounting were in place instead of at fair value as would have been otherwise required. The permitted practice is effective 31 December 2009 and expires

1 October 2010, unless extended by the Michigan Insurance Commissioner. The effects of this permitted practice may not be considered by the company when determining the surplus available for dividends, nor the nature of dividends as ordinary or extraordinary.

Risk-based capital

The National Association of Insurance Commissioners has developed risk-based capital standards for life insurance companies as well as a model act for state legislatures to enact. The model act requires that life insurance companies report on a formula-based, risk-based capital standard that they calculate by applying factors to various asset, premium and reserve items. The formula takes into account the risk characteristics of a company, including asset risk, insurance risk, interest rate risk and business risk. The National Association of Insurance Commissioners designed the formula as an early warning tool to identify potentially inadequately capitalised companies for purposes of initiating regulatory action. The National Association of Insurance Commissioners intended the formula as a regulatory tool only and did not intend it as a means to rank insurers generally. The model act imposes broad confidentiality requirements on those engaged in the insurance business (including insurers, agents, brokers and others) and on state insurance departments as to the use and publication of risk-based capital data.

Any state adopting the model act gives the state insurance commissioner explicit regulatory authority to require various actions by, or take various actions against, insurance companies whose adjusted capital does not meet minimum risk-based capital standards. The Michigan Office of Financial and Insurance Regulation takes into account the National Association of Insurance Commissioners' risk-based capital standards to determine compliance with Michigan insurance law.

At 31 December 2009, due in part to the permitted practice noted in "Jackson's Capital and Surplus" above, Prudential's total adjusted capital under the National Association of Insurance Commissioners' definition substantially exceeded Michigan standards.

Regulation of investments

Jackson is subject to state laws and regulations that require diversification of its investment portfolio, limit the amount of investments in certain investment categories such as below investment grade fixed income securities, common stock, real estate and foreign securities and forbid certain other types of investments altogether. Jackson's failure to comply with these laws and regulations would cause investments exceeding regulatory limitations to be treated by the Michigan Insurance Commissioner as non-admitted assets for purposes of measuring surplus and, in some instances, the Michigan Insurance Commissioner could require divestiture of non-qualifying investments.

USA Patriot Act

The USA Patriot Act, enacted in 2001, includes numerous provisions designed to fight international money laundering and to block terrorist access to the US financial system. The US Treasury Department has issued a number of regulations implementing the Patriot Act that apply certain of its requirements to financial institutions including broker dealers and insurance companies. Among other things, the regulations impose obligations on financial institutions to maintain appropriate policies, procedures and controls to detect, prevent and report money laundering and terrorist financing. Jackson and, to the extent applicable, certain of its affiliates, has established policies and procedures to ensure compliance with the Patriot Act's provisions and the Treasury Department regulations.

Securities laws

Jackson, certain of its affiliates and certain policies and contracts that Jackson issues are subject to regulation under the federal securities laws administered by the US Securities and Exchange Commission (“the SEC”).

The primary intent of these laws and regulations is to protect investors in the securities markets and generally grant supervisory agencies broad administrative powers, including the power to limit or restrict the conduct of business for failure to comply with such laws and regulations. Jackson may also be subject to similar laws and regulations in the states in which it provides investment advisory services, offers the products described above or conducts other securities-related activities.

Jackson National Asset Management, LLC (“JNAM”) is registered with the SEC as an investment adviser pursuant to the Investment Advisers Act of 1940, as amended (the “Investment Advisers Act”). JNAM is registered as a transfer agent pursuant to the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the “Securities Exchange Act”). The investment companies (mutual funds) for which JNAM serves as an investment adviser are subject to SEC registration and regulation pursuant to the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the “Securities Act”), and the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended (the “Investment Company Act”). In addition, each variable annuity and variable life product sponsored by Jackson is subject to SEC registration and regulation pursuant to the Securities Act and the Investment Company Act, and applicable state insurance and securities laws. Each variable annuity and variable life product are organised as separate accounts that are unit investment trusts.

Curian Capital, LLC and Jackson Investment Management LLC are registered with the SEC pursuant to the Investment Advisers Act and are also registered or notice filed in all applicable states.

Curian Clearing, LLC is registered as a broker-dealer with the SEC pursuant to the Securities Exchange Act, and is registered as a broker-dealer in all applicable states. In addition, Curian Clearing, LLC is a member firm of the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority (the “FINRA”).

Jackson National Life Distributors, LLC is registered as a broker-dealer with the SEC pursuant to the Securities Exchange Act, and is registered as a broker-dealer in all applicable states. In addition, Jackson National Life Distributors, LLC is a member firm of the FINRA.

National Planning Holdings, Inc. (“NPH”) owns four retail broker dealers, being IFC Holdings, Inc. (doing business as INVEST Financial Corporation)(“IFC”), Investment Centers of America, Inc (“ICA”), National Planning Corporation (“NPC”) and SII Investments, Inc. (“SII”). These entities are registered as broker-dealers, investment advisers, and insurance agencies (or affiliated with insurance agencies), and are licensed and qualified to transact business pursuant to their respective registration on licensure with the SEC and state securities and insurance authorities, and membership with FINRA and the Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board. NPC, SII, and ICA are also members of the National Futures Association (“NFA”). Membership of the NFA is required for commodities and futures trading.

The Group also conducts certain of its US institutional investment management activities through PPM America, Inc., which is registered with the SEC as an investment adviser under the Investment Advisers Act. PPM America serves as the investment adviser to Jackson and as an adviser or sub-adviser to other US, UK and Asian entities affiliated with Prudential, other institutional clients such as CDOs or similar structured vehicles and private investment funds (in which PPM America affiliates such as Prudential UK entities and Jackson are generally investors), US mutual funds and other foreign-pooled investment vehicles primarily sponsored by affiliated entities, UK based unit trusts or OEICs, a SICAV and similar vehicles sponsored by affiliates, unaffiliated US and foreign institutional accounts, as well as a limited number of trusts of individuals and their family members. Currently, only a limited number of PPM America clients are unaffiliated or have underlying investors who are unaffiliated institutions, trusts or individuals. The US mutual funds

for which PPM America serves as sub-adviser are subject to regulation under the Securities Act and the Investment Company Act, and other similar vehicles organised outside of the US may also be subject to regulation under applicable local law.

PPM America and certain of its subsidiaries are subject to various levels of regulation under the federal securities laws that the SEC administers as well as state securities laws. In connection with providing investment advisory services to certain of its clients, PPM America may also be subject to regulation under applicable foreign laws.

To the extent that PPM America or the NPH broker-dealers maintain accounts with assets of employee benefit plans subject to the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 ("ERISA"), or the Internal Revenue Code, they may be subject to certain restrictions imposed by ERISA or the Internal Revenue Code. Such restrictions are summarised in "Employee Benefit Plan Compliance" in the Section below. The US Department of Labor (the "Department of Labor") and the US Internal Revenue Service have interpretive and enforcement authority over the applicable provisions of ERISA and the Internal Revenue Code.

Employee benefit plan compliance

Jackson issues certain types of general account stable value products, such as GICs and funding agreements, to employee benefit plans and to investment vehicles that pool the investments of such plans. Many of these plans are retirement plans that are subject to the fiduciary standards of ERISA and that are tax-qualified under the Internal Revenue Code. As such, Jackson may be subject to certain restrictions imposed by ERISA and taxes imposed by the Internal Revenue Code. These restrictions include:

- the requirement under ERISA that fiduciaries must perform their duties solely in the interests of ERISA plan participants and beneficiaries,
- the requirements under ERISA that fiduciaries may not engage in "conflict of interest" transactions, and
- the requirements under ERISA that a fiduciary may not cause a covered plan to engage in certain "prohibited transactions" with certain persons who provide services to the plan or are affiliated with the plan sponsor or a plan service provider.

In general, the Internal Revenue Code imposes taxes on persons involved in certain of the transactions described above.

The Department of Labor and the Internal Revenue Service have interpretive and enforcement authority over the applicable provisions of ERISA and the Internal Revenue Code.

In the instance where an insurer issues a guaranteed benefit policy to a plan, ERISA provides that the insurer need not become a fiduciary with respect to the plan solely as a result of the issuance of the policy. Under Section 401 of ERISA, a guaranteed benefit policy means an insurance policy to the extent such policy provides for benefits the amount of which the insurer guarantees.

In 1993, in *John Hancock Mutual Life Insurance Company v. Harris Trust & Savings Bank*, the US Supreme Court held that a portion of the funds held under a certain type of general account annuity contract did not constitute a "guaranteed benefit policy" within the meaning of ERISA, a holding which potentially exposes insurers with similar types of contracts to the application of ERISA's fiduciary and prohibited transaction provisions in connection with the management of assets in their general accounts.

Although no assurances can be given, Jackson believes that none of its contracts is of the type to which the holding in *Harris Trust* would be applicable. Moreover, the Department of Labor has issued PTE 95-60, which generally exempts external, unaffiliated investment transactions from

ERISA's prohibited transaction provisions. If the Harris Trust holding is applied to its contracts, Jackson would be subject to ERISA's fiduciary and prohibited transaction provisions described above.

Financial services regulatory and legislative issues

Proposals to change the laws and regulations governing the financial services industry are frequently introduced in the US Congress, in the state legislatures and before the various regulatory agencies. The likelihood and timing of any proposals or legislation, and the impact they might have on Jackson, its subsidiaries, or other Prudential subsidiaries doing business in the US, cannot be determined at this time.

State legislatures and/or state insurance regulatory authorities frequently enact laws and/or regulations that significantly affect insurers supervised by such authorities. Although the US federal government does not directly regulate the insurance business, federal initiatives may also have an impact on the insurance industry.

Within the US government, the US Senate continues work to achieve a bipartisan financial regulatory reform bill. Senator Christopher Dodd, Chairman of the Senate Banking Committee presented his financial reform proposal entitled "Restoring American Financial Stability Act of 2010" on 15 March 2010, and the bill was passed out of the Senate Banking Committee on 22 March 2010, Negotiations among Senate members continue, and substantial amendment of the proposal is expected, but the current view is that the proposal will pass sometime between May and August 2010.

The proposal creates a "Financial Stability Oversight Council" that will identify large, interconnected bank holding companies or non-bank financial companies, including insurers and their holding companies, whose distress or failure could threaten the financial stability of the US. Such firms would be subject to a higher degree of regulatory scrutiny. The proposal also creates an "Orderly Liquidation Fund" and, while large insurers with consolidated assets exceeding \$50 billion could be required to pay into the fund, all insolvent insurers would be rehabilitated or liquidated under State law. There is a possibility that the legislation will be amended so that insurers are exempted from paying the fund assessments. The proposal also creates an "Office of National Insurance" whose primary responsibility will be to serve as a federal public policy resource on insurance issues, and represent the US on international insurance matters. This provision is not expected to be substantially amended.

Many Democrats support the Obama Administration's proposal for an independent Consumer Financial Protection Agency, but several Republicans strongly oppose this idea and have suggested housing a new consumer protection entity within the Federal Reserve or the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation. The Senate Banking Committee Chairman's tentative embrace of housing this entity within the Federal Reserve met with particularly sharp criticism from several Senate Banking Committee Democrats. These Senators, along with the House Financial Services Committee Chairman, objected to giving additional consumer responsibility to the Federal Reserve.

The US President has in the past proposed to increase the taxes levied against the insurance industry to increase the federal budget revenues. In February 2009, President Obama proposed a 2.9% tax on so-called unearned income from certain investments, including annuities. The industry has been very successful in resisting these proposals on the grounds that an increase in taxes on insurance companies or insurance policies would have a negative affect on US citizens saving for their retirement. The insurance industry is very vigilant in monitoring these proposals and taking action to oppose them, as well as to support proposals that would provide more favourable tax treatment for certain annuity products.

A coalition of national insurance and banking organisations has supported the introduction of US federal legislation that would allow insurance companies to obtain a federal charter as a regulatory alternative to a state charter. A coalition of insurers has been formed that is opposed

to the so-called optional federal charter. Prudential cannot predict whether any federal charter or any other federal (or state) legislative initiative to change the nature or scope of the regulation of the insurance industry will be enacted into law.

Federal and state regulators have focused on the mutual fund and variable annuity and insurance product industries including the broker-dealer system. As a result of publicity relating to widespread perceptions of industry abuses, including fraudulent and anti-competitive practices among insurance brokers and mutual funds, there have been numerous regulatory inquiries and proposals for legislative and regulatory reforms. It is difficult to predict at this time whether changes resulting from industry investigations and/or new laws and regulations will affect the Group's insurance or investment management businesses, and, if so, to what degree.

Federal regulators have determined that fixed indexed annuities, previously regulated by the states, should instead be regulated at the federal level. In early 2009, the SEC issued a release adopting a new rule (151A) that will bring fixed-indexed annuities under the jurisdiction of the federal regulatory system. This rule will apply prospectively to annuities that are issued on or after 12 January 2011. Subsequent to adoption, Rule 151A became the subject of litigation. In late 2009, the US Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit ordered the litigants (the SEC and Old Mutual US, et al) to submit briefs on the appropriate remedy for the SEC's failure to consider the rule's effect on efficiency and competition when promulgating the rule. Old Mutual argued that the rule should be vacated or stayed. The SEC disagreed but offered a two-year stay of the rule. In early 2010, the court directed Old Mutual to file an additional brief addressing the SEC's proposal to defer the effective date of Rule 151A for 2 years if and from the time the rule is reissued. Practically speaking, the SEC's offer to stay the rule, if implemented, will likely move the effective date of the rule from 12 January 2011 to mid-2012 or late 2012. The court is currently considering the supplemental briefs. Jackson is well positioned to operate under this change of regulatory structure.

Bermudan regulation

Overview

AIA-B is regulated in respect of its insurance business in Bermuda by the BMA. The Insurance Act 1978 and related regulations (the "Insurance Act of Bermuda") govern the conduct of insurance business in or from within Bermuda and provide for a system of registration for insurers administered by the BMA. The Insurance Act of Bermuda also grants to the BMA powers to supervise, investigate and intervene in the affairs of insurance companies. The Insurance Act of Bermuda imposes, *inter alia*, solvency margins and liquidity ratios, as well as auditing and reporting requirements on Bermuda insurance companies.

AIA-B is registered in Bermuda as a Class 3 general business and long-term insurer under the Insurance Act of Bermuda and, as such, is licensed to conduct both general and long-term business, which includes life insurance business. AIA-B has held its Class 3 and long-term insurance licence since 1 January 1996. AIA-B is classified as a "section 24(6) composite" under the Insurance Act of Bermuda.

Regulation by the BMA under the Insurance Act of Bermuda

The Insurance Act of Bermuda requires all insurers to maintain certain minimum solvency margins and liquidity ratios and imposes auditing and reporting requirements on Bermuda insurance companies.

The Insurance Act of Bermuda gives the BMA broad powers to supervise, investigate and intervene in the affairs of an insurer. For example, if it appears to the BMA that the insurer is in breach of a provision of the Insurance Act of Bermuda or there is significant risk of that insurer becoming insolvent, then the BMA may give the insurer such directions (without limitation) as appear to it to be desirable for safeguarding the interests of clients and potential clients. In

addition, if it appears to the BMA that the business of an insurer is being so conducted that there is a significant risk of the insurer becoming insolvent it may, if it considers it appropriate, direct the insurer to maintain in, or transfer to and keep in the custody of, a specified bank, assets of the insurer of such value and description as are specified in the direction.

Pursuant to the Insurance Act of Bermuda, the BMA may also, in respect of an insurance group, determine whether it is appropriate for it to be the group supervisor of that group. Before making such a determination, and where the insurance group is headed by a parent company which is not incorporated in Bermuda, the BMA will consider whether, for example, the insurance group is directed and managed from Bermuda. Where the BMA determines that group supervision is appropriate it may, on its own initiative or on the application of the insurance group, exclude from group supervision any company that is a member of an insurance group where it is satisfied, for example, that the inclusion of the company would be inappropriate with respect to the objectives of group supervision.

Where the BMA determines that group supervision is appropriate, the BMA will appoint a specified insurer that is a member of the insurance group to be the “designated insurer” in respect of that insurance group. The BMA has the following functions with regard to group supervision:

- coordination of the gathering and dissemination of relevant or essential information for going concerns and emergency situations, including the dissemination of information which is of importance for the supervisory task of other competent authorities;
- supervisory review and assessment of the financial situation of insurance groups;
- assessment of compliance of insurance groups with the rules on solvency and of risk concentration and intra-group transactions as may be prescribed by or under the Insurance Act of Bermuda;
- assessment of the system of governance of insurance groups, as may be prescribed by or under the Insurance Act of Bermuda, and whether the members of the administrative or management body of participating companies meet the requirements set out therein;
- planning and coordination, through regular meetings held at least annually or by other appropriate means, of supervisory activities in going concerns as well as in emergency situations, in cooperation with the competent authorities concerned and taking into account the nature, scale and complexity of the risks inherent in the business of all companies that are part of insurance groups;
- coordination of any enforcement action that may be taken against insurance groups or any of their members; and
- planning and coordinating, as required, meetings of colleges of supervisors, to be chaired by the BMA where it acts as the group supervisor, to facilitate the exercise of the functions set out above.

In addition to the above broad powers of intervention with respect to an insurer and the function it has with respect to an insurance group’s supervision, the BMA also has the power to require the insurer or designated insured to provide the BMA with such information (including documents of the insurer) and reports (including unpublished reports) from an insurer as the BMA may reasonably require with respect to matters that are likely to be material to its functions under the Insurance Act of Bermuda in relation to the insurer or the insurance group, as the case may be.

The BMA may also appoint an inspector with powers to investigate the affairs of an insurer if it believes that an investigation is required in the interests of the insurer’s policyholders or persons who may become policyholders.

The BMA may cancel an insurer’s registration on grounds specified in the Insurance Act of Bermuda, including (i) the failure of that insurer to comply with its obligations under the Insurance

Act of Bermuda, or (ii) the failure of that insurer to satisfy the minimum criteria required for registration as an insurer, as set out in the Schedule to the Insurance Act of Bermuda.

The BMA may present a petition for the winding-up of an insurer on various grounds, including: (i) it is unable to pay its debts, (within the meaning of Sections 161 and 162 of the Bermuda Companies Act 1981); (ii) it has failed to satisfy an obligation under the Insurance Act of Bermuda; or (iii) it has failed to produce or file statutory financial statements and the BMA is unable to ascertain its financial position.

Long-term business

In addition to the requirements imposed on AIA-B by virtue of its general business, the Insurance Act of Bermuda imposes conditions and restrictions on AIA-B by virtue of AIA-B carrying on long-term business. For example, pursuant to Part IV of the Bermuda Insurance Act 1978, AIA-B must maintain its accounts in respect of its long-term business separate from any accounts it has in respect of its general (i.e. other) business. Further, all receipts of AIA-B's long-term business must be carried to, and form part of, a special fund with an appropriate name, referred to in the Insurance Act of Bermuda as the 'long-term business fund'. Accordingly, AIA-B is required to maintain books of account and other records such that the assets of its long-term business fund and the liabilities of its long-term business can be readily identified at any time. No payment from AIA-B's long-term business fund may be made, directly or indirectly, other than for a purpose of AIA-B's long-term business; this is so notwithstanding any arrangement for its subsequent repayment out of receipts of business other than the long-term business, except in so far as such payment can be made out of any surplus certified by the AIA-B's approved actuary to be available for distribution otherwise than to policyholders.

AIA-B is restricted from carrying on long-term business at any time if it does not have an actuary approved by the BMA at that time.

The Insurance Act of Bermuda imposes certain restrictions and conditions on the transfer of long-term business: any scheme under which the whole or any part of the long-term business of a long-term business insurer is to be transferred to another insurer shall be void unless it is made in accordance with section 25 of the Insurance Act of Bermuda and the Court has sanctioned the scheme thereunder.

The Insurance Act of Bermuda also imposes certain restrictions and conditions on the winding-up of an insurer that carries on long-term business. For example, a long-term business insurer may not be wound up voluntarily. In addition, section 36 of the Insurance Act of Bermuda applies in any winding-up of an insurer which immediately before the winding-up was carrying on or entitled to carry on long-term business. Section 36 provides that on any such winding-up:-

- (a) the assets in the insurer's long-term business fund shall be available only for meeting the liabilities of the insurer attributable to its long-term business; and
- (b) other assets of the insurer shall be available only for meeting the liabilities of the insurer attributable to its other business.

Where the value of the assets in paragraph (a) or (b) exceeds the amount of the liabilities mentioned in that paragraph, however, the restriction imposed does not apply to so much of those assets as represents the excess.

In addition, a liquidator must, unless the Supreme Court of Bermuda orders otherwise, carry on the long-term business of the insurer with a view to its being transferred as a going concern to another insurer, whether an existing insurer or an insurer formed for that purpose; and, in carrying on that business as aforesaid, the liquidator may agree to the variation of any contracts of insurance in existence when the winding-up order is made, but may not effect any new contracts of insurance. Where the insurance business or any part of the insurance business of an insurer has been

transferred to an insurer under an arrangement in pursuance of which the first mentioned insurer (the subsidiary insurer) or the creditors thereof has or have claims against the insurer to which the transfer was made (the principal insurer), then, if the principal insurer is being wound up by or under the supervision of the Supreme Court of Bermuda, the Supreme Court must, subject to section 28 of the Insurance Act of Bermuda, order the subsidiary insurer to be wound up in conjunction with the principal insurer, and may by the same or any subsequent order appoint the same person to be liquidator for the two insurers, and make provision for such other matters as may seem to the Supreme Court of Bermuda to be necessary, with a view to the insurers being wound up as if they were one insurer.

Capital requirements

Class 3 insurers that are also licensed to carry on long-term business are required to maintain a fully paid up share capital of at least US\$370,000. In addition, the Insurance Act of Bermuda provides that the statutory assets of an insurer must exceed its statutory liabilities by an amount greater than the prescribed minimum solvency margin.

With respect to its general business, an insurer is required to maintain a minimum solvency margin equal to the greatest of:

- US\$1,000,000;
- 20% of net premiums written, where the net premiums written do not exceed US\$6,000,000; or, where the net premiums written do exceed US\$6,000,000, US\$1,200,000 plus 15% of net premiums written over US\$6,000,000; and
- 15% of loss and loss expenses provisions, and other general business insurance reserves.

“net premiums written” means, in relation to a Class 3 insurer, the net amount, after deductions of any premiums ceded by the insurer for reinsurance, of the premiums written by the insurer in that year in respect of general business.

The minimum solvency margin requirement in respect of an insurer’s long-term business is US\$250,000, i.e. a long-term business insurer’s assets must exceed its long-term statutory liabilities by not less than US\$250,000.

As a section 24(6) composite, AIA-B is required to maintain the value of its relevant assets at not less than 100% of the amount of its relevant liabilities.

Relevant assets include cash and time deposits, quoted investments, unquoted bonds and debentures, first liens on real estate, investment income due and accrued, accounts and premiums receivable, reinsurance balances receivable and any funds held in a ceding reinsurance arrangement (if applicable). There are certain categories of assets, which, unless specifically permitted by the BMA, do not qualify as relevant assets, such as unquoted equity securities, investments in and advances to affiliates, and real estate and collateral loans.

The relevant liabilities are the aggregate of the total general business insurance reserves and total other liabilities less deferred income tax sundry liabilities and letters of credit, guarantees and other instruments.

There is no minimum liquidity ratio in respect of an insurer’s long-term insurance business; however, and as stated above, an insurer that carries on long-term business must appoint an approved actuary who must provide an actuarial report annually.

Financial reporting requirements

All insurers are required to prepare and file with the BMA annual statutory financial statements and returns for each financial year in respect of their insurance business as stipulated in the Insurance Act of Bermuda, including a balance sheet, an income statement, a statement of capital

and surplus, an independent auditor's report, a declaration of statutory ratios and a certificate of solvency in the prescribed form. Composite insurers are also required to submit with their statutory financial returns an opinion from an approved loss reserve specialist in respect of their general business loss and loss expense provisions and an opinion from an approved actuary in respect of their outstanding liabilities on account of their long-term business. The loss reserve specialist will typically be a qualified casualty actuary and the appointed actuary will typically be a qualified life actuary. Both the loss reserve specialists and the appointed actuaries must be approved by the BMA.

AIA-B has been granted a Direction under section 56 of the Insurance Act of Bermuda by the BMA which exempts AIA-B from the financial reporting requirements of sections 15 to 18 of the Insurance Act of Bermuda, conditional upon AIA-B filing with the BMA, in each financial year, its Financial Statements and Returns filed with the Insurance Regulatory Authorities in Hong Kong, and a Certificate of Compliance of Good Standing obtained from the Insurance Regulatory Authorities in Hong Kong. The Direction was granted under section 56 with respect to AIA-B's financial years ended 30 November 2007, 2008 and 2009. It is intended that AIA-B will re-apply in relation to the financial years ended 30 November 2010, 2011 and 2012.

Restrictions on dividends and distributions

An insurer is prohibited from declaring or paying any dividends during any financial year if it is, or by virtue of paying such dividends would be, in breach of its relevant minimum solvency margin or liquidity ratio. If an insurer has failed to meet its minimum solvency margin or minimum liquidity ratio (in relation to the insurer's general business) on the last day of any financial year, it will be prohibited from declaring or paying any dividends during the next financial year without the approval of the BMA.

A Class 3 insurer also licensed to carry on long-term business is prohibited from reducing by 15% or more its total statutory capital as set out in its previous year's financial statements without the approval of the BMA.

Shareholder controllers disclosure

The BMA requires any person who, directly or indirectly, is able to exercise a significant influence over the management of an insurer (or its parent) by virtue of: (a) holding shares in the insurer (or its parent); or (b) an entitlement to exercise, or control the exercise of, the voting power at any general meeting of the insurer or its parent on 10%, 20%, 33% and 50% of such shareholding or control, as the case may be, to notify the BMA within a prescribed timeframe (45 days) of becoming such a "shareholder controller". In the event the BMA determines that the person is not "fit and proper" to be such a shareholder controller, the BMA may give notice objecting to such persons and require the holder to reduce its holding and direct, among other things, that voting rights attaching to those shares shall not be exercisable. A person that does not comply with such a notice or direction from the BMA will be guilty of an offence.

Undertakings given by American International Company Limited

Following the AIG Events, by a letter of undertaking dated 18 December 2008, American International Company Limited in its capacity as the licensed Insurance Manager of, amongst other entities, AIA-B, gave certain undertakings to the BMA. These include American International Company Limited undertaking:

- 1) to ensure that AIA-B would seek prior approval from the BMA before entering into transactions outside the normal course of business; and

SUPERVISION AND REGULATION

- 2) to submit a daily basis report to the BMA on: (i) transfers of more than US\$1,000,000 per transaction or an aggregate amount of greater than US\$1,000,000 per day from AIA-B to another jurisdiction other than where the fund originated; (ii) transactions of greater than US\$15,000,000 whether incoming or outgoing; (iii) all material issues having an impact threshold of equal to or greater than 10% of AIA-B's total statutory capital and surplus.

The BMA has confirmed in writing that this undertaking will be released upon completion of the Acquisition.