
REGULATORY OVERVIEW

This section sets forth a summary of the most significant rules and regulations that affect our business activities in China or our shareholders' rights to receive dividends and other distributions from us.

REGULATIONS ON FOREIGN INVESTMENT RESTRICTIONS

The Foreign Investment Law

On March 15, 2019, the NPC approved the Foreign Investment Law (《中華人民共和國外商投資法》), which came into effect on January 1, 2020, replaces the trio of existing laws regulating foreign investment in the PRC, namely, the Sino-Foreign Equity Joint Venture Enterprise Law (《中外合資經營企業法》), the Sino-Foreign Cooperative Joint Venture Enterprise Law (《中外合作經營企業法》) and the Wholly Foreign-Invested Enterprise Law (《外資企業法》), and has become the legal foundation for foreign investment in the PRC.

The Foreign Investment Law sets out the basic regulatory framework for foreign investments and proposes to implement a system of pre-entry national treatment with a negative list for foreign investments, pursuant to which (i) foreign entities and individuals are prohibited from investing in the areas that are not open to foreign investments, (ii) foreign investments in the restricted industries must satisfy certain requirements under the law, and (iii) foreign investments in business sectors outside of the negative list will be treated equally with domestic investments. The Foreign Investment Law also sets forth necessary mechanisms to facilitate, protect and manage foreign investments and proposes to establish a foreign investment information report system, through which foreign investors are required to submit information relating to their investments to MOFCOM or its local branches.

The Implementing Regulation for the Foreign Investment Law of the PRC (《中華人民共和國外商投資法實施條例》) (Decree No. 723 of the State Council), adopted at the 74th executive meeting of the State Council on December 12, 2019 and effective on January 1, 2020, provides implementing measures and detailed rules to ensure the effective implementation of the Foreign Investment Law.

Regulations on foreign investment industries

The NDRC and the MOFCOM issued the Guiding Catalog for Foreign Investment Industries (2017 Revision) (《外商投資產業指導目錄》(2017版)) (the “**Foreign Investment Catalog**”), in June 2017. In accordance with this catalog, foreign investment industries are divided into three categories: the “encouraged category,” the “restricted category” and the “prohibited category,” and foreign investments in industries that are not mentioned under the foregoing categories are generally deemed permitted. Moreover, the NDRC and the MOFCOM promulgated the Negative List (2021) on December 27, 2021, effective on January 1, 2022. The Negative List (2021) repeals the “restricted” and “prohibited” categories stipulated in the Foreign Investment Catalog.

REGULATORY OVERVIEW

On December 30, 2019, the MOFCOM and the SAMR jointly issued the Measures on Reporting of Foreign Investment Information (《外商投資信息報告辦法》), which replaced the existing filing and approval procedures regarding the establishment and change of foreign-invested companies. On December 31, 2019, the MOFCOM issued the Announcement on Matters Relating to Foreign Investment Information Reporting (《關於外商投資信息報告有關事項的公告》) which emphasizes the information reporting requirements provided by the Measures on Reporting of Foreign Investment Information, and stipulates the forms for information reporting.

Regulations on value-added telecommunications services

The Telecommunications Regulations of the PRC (《中華人民共和國電信條例》) issued by the PRC State Council in September 2000, as amended in February 2016, set out a regulatory framework for telecommunications service providers in the PRC. Under these regulations, telecommunications service providers are required to procure operating licenses for basic telecommunications services and licenses for value-added telecommunications services, or individually, a VATS license. In July 2017, the MIIT, issued the Administrative Measures for the Telecommunications Business Operating Permit (《電信業務經營許可管理辦法》) which took effect in September 2017 and invalidated the prior telecommunications permit measures issued in 2009. The Administrative Measures for the Telecommunications Business Operating Permit regulate that a commercial operator of value-added telecommunications services must first obtain the VATS license and conduct its business in accordance with the specifications listed in the VATS license, thereby providing more detailed requirements and procedures for the value-added telecommunications services industry. In September 2000, the PRC State Council promulgated the Administrative Measures on Internet Information Services (《互聯網信息服務管理辦法》), which was amended in January 2011 and effective immediately. The Administrative Measures on Internet Information Services define “internet information services” as the services providing information through the internet to online users and further divide such services into “commercial internet information services” and “non-commercial internet information services.” ICP is considered as a sub-set of value-added telecommunications business. In accordance with the Administrative Measures on Internet Information Services, commercial internet information services operators must obtain a VATS license with the business scope of Internet information service, namely, the Internet Content Provider License, or the ICP License, from competent government authorities before engaging in any commercial internet information services business in the PRC.

The Provisions on the Administration of Foreign-Invested Telecommunications Enterprises (《外商投資電信企業管理規定》), issued by the PRC State Council in December 2001 and amended in September 2008, February 2016 and March 2022, respectively, and the Circular on Lifting Restrictions on the Proportion of Foreign Equity in Online Data Processing and Transaction Processing Business (Operating E-commerce) (《關於放開線上資料處理與交易處理業務(經營類電子商務)外資股比限制的通告》) issued by the MIIT on June 19, 2015, clarify that foreign-invested value-added telecommunications enterprises may only be Sino-foreign equity joint ventures, whose foreign equity ownership may not exceed 50%, except for online data processing and transaction processing businesses (operating e-commerce

REGULATORY OVERVIEW

businesses) which may be wholly owned by foreign investors. Historically, foreign investors having equity ownership in those foreign-invested value-added telecommunications enterprises are required to have a good track record and operational experience in value-added telecommunications businesses. On March 29, 2022, the State Council promulgated the Decision of the State Council on Amending or Abolishing Certain Administrative Regulations (《國務院關於修改和廢止部分行政法規的決定》), effective on May 1, 2022, which stipulate that the requirements of the aforementioned operational experience and good track record on foreign investors of a value-added telecommunications service provider are no longer required.

Additionally, in July 2006, the MIIT issued the Circular on Strengthening the Administration of Foreign Investment in and Operation of Value-added Telecommunications Businesses (《關於加強外商投資經營增值電信業務管理的通知》), which stipulates that foreign investors can only operate telecommunications businesses in China through telecommunications enterprises with valid telecommunications business operation licenses and prohibits a domestic company that holds a VATS license from leasing, transferring or selling such license to foreign investors in any form, and from providing any assistance, including providing resources, sites or facilities to foreign investors that conduct a value-added telecommunications business illegally in China.

We provide Credit-Tech services for which a VATS license is required through Shanghai Qiyu, one of our VIEs, which obtained its ICP license, a type of VATS license, in April 2021.

REGULATIONS ON ONLINE FINANCE SERVICES INDUSTRY

General regulations on internet finance service

In July 2015, the Guidelines on Promoting the Healthy Growth of Internet Finance (《關於促進互聯網金融健康發展的指導意見》) (the “**Fintech Guidelines**”), were promulgated by ten PRC regulatory agencies, including the PBOC, the MIIT and the CBRC, and provide the definition of “online lending.” Online lending under the Fintech Guidelines includes peer-to-peer online lending, meaning the direct loans transacted through the internet between individual lenders and borrowers, and online micro-lending, meaning the small-sum loans transacted through the internet and offered by online micro-lending companies.

In April 2016, the General Office of the PRC State Council issued the Implementing Proposal for the Special Rectification of Internet Financial Risk (《互聯網金融風險專項整治工作實施方案》), which emphasizes the goal to ensure legitimacy and compliance of the internet finance service industry and specifies the rectification measures for non-compliance regarding the operations of internet finance business and by institutions engaged in the internet finance business.

REGULATORY OVERVIEW

Regulations on private lending

According to the PRC Civil Code (《中華人民共和國民法典》), promulgated in May 2020 and effective on January 1, 2021, the interest rates charged under a loan agreement must not violate applicable provisions of the PRC laws and regulations. The PRC Civil Code also provides that the interest on a loan shall not be deducted from the principal in advance, and if the interest is deducted from the principal in advance, the loan shall be repaid and the interest shall be calculated according to the actual amount of loan provided.

In August 2015, Provisions on Several Issues Concerning Laws Applicable to Trials of Private Lending Cases (《關於審理民間借貸案件適用法律若干問題的規定》), or the Private Lending Judicial Interpretation, was issued by the Supreme People's Court and took effect in September 2015. The Private Lending Judicial Interpretation, as most recently revised on December 29, 2020, defines private lending as financing between and among individuals, legal entities and other organizations. The Private Lending Judicial Interpretation establishes that private lending contracts are to be upheld as valid in the absence of (i) relending of funds to a borrower who knew or should have known that the funds were fraudulently obtained from a financial institution; (ii) relending of funds to a borrower who knew or should have known that the funds were borrowed from other enterprises or raised by the company's employees; (iii) lending of funds to a borrower wherein the investor knew or should have known that the borrower intended to use the borrowed funds for illegal or criminal purposes; (iv) violations of public orders or good morals; or (v) violations of mandatory provisions of laws or administrative regulations. In addition, pursuant to the Private Lending Judicial Interpretation, lending agreements between private lenders and borrowers with annual interest rates below 24% are valid and enforceable. As to the loans with annual interest rates between 24% (exclusive) and 36% (inclusive), if the interest on the loans has already been paid to the lender voluntarily, and so long as such payments have not damaged the interest of the state, the community and any third party, the People's Court will turn down the borrower's request to demand the return of the excess interest payments. If the annual interest rate of a private loan is higher than 36%, the agreement on the excess part of the interest is invalid, and if the borrower requests the lender to return the part of interest exceeding 36% of the annual interest that has been paid, the People's Court will support such requests.

In addition, on August 4, 2017, the Supreme People's Court issued the Circular of Several Suggestions on Further Strengthening the Judicial Practice Regarding Financial Cases (《關於進一步加強金融審判工作的若干意見》), which provides that (i) the claim of the borrower under a financial loan agreement to adjust or cut down the part of interest exceeding 24% per annum on the basis that the aggregate amount of interest, compound interest, default interest, liquidated damages and other fees collectively claimed by the lender is obviously high shall be supported by the PRC courts and (ii) in the context of internet finance disputes, if the online lending information intermediaries and the lender evade the maximum interest rate protected under the law by charging an intermediary fee, the lender's claim shall be held as invalid.

REGULATORY OVERVIEW

On August 20, 2020, the Supreme People's Court issued the Decision on Amending the Provisions of the Supreme People's Court on Several Issues the Application of Law in the Trial of Private Lending Cases (《最高人民法院關於修改〈關於審理民間借貸案件適用法律若干問題的規定〉的決定》), or the Judicial Interpretation Amendment, which was revised on January 1, 2021 and amended several provisions of the 2015 Judicial Interpretation including the upper limit of judicial protection for private lending interest rates. The Judicial Interpretation Amendment provides that where the lender requests the borrower to pay interest in accordance with the interest rate agreed upon in the agreement, the People's Court shall support such request, except where the interest rate agreed by both parties exceeds four times of the one-year Loan Prime Rate at the time of the establishment of the agreement, or the Quadruple LPR Limit. The one-year Loan Prime Rate refers to the one-year loan market quoted interest rate issued by the National Bank Interbank Funding Center, an institution authorized by the PBOC, on the 20th of each month since August 20, 2019. According to the Judicial Interpretation Amendment, the upper limits of interest rates of 24% and 36% provided in the 2015 Judicial Interpretation, are replaced by the Quadruple LPR Limit. Moreover, if the lender and the borrower agree on both the overdue interest rate and the liquidated damages or other fees, the lender may choose to claim any or all of them, but the excess of the aggregate amount over the Quadruple LPR Limit shall not be supported by the People's Court. The Judicial Interpretation Amendment applies to new first-instance cases of private lending disputes received by the People's Court after the implementation of the Judicial Interpretation Amendment on August 20, 2020. If the lending activity occurred before August 20, 2019, the upper limit of the protected interest rate equals four times of the one-year Loan Prime Rate at the time of the plaintiff's filing of lawsuit.

On December 29, 2020, the Supreme People's Court issued the Supreme People's Court Reply, which clarified that seven types of local financial organizations, including micro-lending companies, financing guarantee companies, regional equity markets, pawnshops, financing lease companies, commercial factoring companies and local asset management companies under the regulation of local financial regulatory authorities, are financial institutions established upon approval by financial regulatory authorities. The Judicial Interpretation Amendment is not applicable to disputes arising from foregoing organizations' engagements in relevant financial service businesses.

Although the Judicial Interpretation Amendment and the Supreme People's Court Reply Concerning the Scope of Application of the New Judicial Interpretation on Private Lending (《最高人民法院關於新民間借貸司法解釋適用範圍問題的批復》) provide that they do not apply to licensed financial institutions including micro-lending companies that conduct loan and Credit-Tech businesses, there are uncertainties in the interpretation and implementation of the Judicial Interpretation Amendment, including whether licensed financial institutions may be subject to it pursuant to under Circular 141 or in certain circumstances, the basis of the formula used to determine the interest rate limit, the scope of inclusion of related fees and insurance premiums and inconsistencies in the standard applied and enforcement actions taken by different PRC courts.

REGULATORY OVERVIEW

We conduct loan facilitation services through our Credit-Tech platform. We charge service fees from financial institution partners for loans funded by them, and charge borrowers interest fees through Fuzhou Microcredit, which is a subsidiary of our VIEs and is licensed to conduct micro-lending business in China, for loans funded by it. Our financial institution partners and Fuzhou Microcredit are permitted to charge interests for the loans they fund pursuant to relevant PRC laws and regulations.

Regulations on illegal fund-raising

On January 26, 2021, the State Council promulgated the Regulation on the Prevention and Disposition of Illegal Fund-raising Practices (《防範和處置非法集資條例》) which came into effect on May 1, 2021 and replaces the Measure for the Banning of Illegal Financial Institution and Illegal Financial Business Operations (《非法金融機構和非法金融業務活動取締辦法》) promulgated by PRC State Council in July 1998 and amended in 2011, and the Circular on Relevant Issues Concerning the Penalty on Illegal Fund-Raising issued by the General Office of PRC State Council in July 2007, which explicitly prohibits illegal public fund-raising. In accordance with the aforementioned regulations, the following description is deemed to detail the key features of illegal public fund-raising: (i) soliciting and raising funds from the general public by means of issuing stocks, bonds, lotteries or other securities without the required approval, (ii) promising or guaranteeing a return of interest or profits or investment returns in cash, properties or other forms, or (iii) using a legitimate form to disguise the unlawful purpose. In December 2010, the Supreme People's Court promulgated the Judicial Interpretations to Issues Concerning Applications of Laws for Trial of Criminal Cases on Illegal Fund-Raising (《最高人民法院關於審理非法集資刑事案件具體應用法律若干問題的解釋》) which was amended on March 1, 2022 and sets forth the criteria, criminal charges and the punishment on illegal fund-raising.

We operate a Credit-Tech platform to facilitate loans between borrowers and our financial institution partners, and we do not fund the loans facilitated through our platform, other than the loans funded by Fuzhou Microcredit, a subsidiary of our VIEs licensed to conduct micro-lending business in China. We do not raise funds from our financial institution partners to provide loans to borrowers.

Regulations on the business of loan facilitation

In April 2017, the P2P Online Lending Working Group issued the Notices on Cash Loans. The Notices on Cash Loans require the local branches of the P2P Online Lending Working Group to conduct a comprehensive review and inspection of the cash loan business on online lending platforms and require such platforms to take necessary improvements and remediation measures within a specific period of time to comply with the relevant requirements under the applicable PRC laws and regulations. The Notices on Cash Loans aim to eliminate non-compliance in the operations of online lending platforms, including fraudulent activities, loans with excessive interest rates, and forced loan collection practices.

REGULATORY OVERVIEW

Circular 141 issued by the Special Rectification of Internet Financial Risks Working Group and the P2P Credit Risks Rectification Working Group on December 1, 2017, introduces the regulating guidance on cash loan businesses including online micro-lending companies, P2P platforms and banking financial institutions. According to Circular 141, activities offering cash loans, which are characterized by the lack of specific consumption scenarios, designated purposes, targeted users or mortgages, are subject to inspections and rectifications to prohibit excessive borrowing and granting credits repeatedly to individual borrowers, collecting interests at abnormally high interest rates and violating privacy. Circular 141 clarifies that no organization or individual shall start a loan business without the required qualifications and approved licenses. The synthetic fund cost charged by various institutions on borrowers in the form of interest rates and other fees must comply with the requirements of private lending by the Supreme People's Court. The loan shall not be collected through violence, intimidation or insult. Circular 141 also sets out requirements and limitations for various entities involved in internet finance services and banking financial institutions involved in cash loan operations.

Circular 141 further requires P2P lending information intermediaries not to outsource their core operations such as borrower information collection, borrower selection, credit evaluation and accounts opening. The banking financial institutions, in addition to observing the requirements set forth in the Interim Measures on Administration of Personal Loans issued by the CBRC in February 2010, shall also comply with the regulations relating to cash loans, including: (i) not extending loan funded by its own capital and funding from unqualified institutions; (ii) not outsourcing credit review and approval, risk management or other core operations in the provision of credit services to third-party collaborators; including not accepting credit enhancement services, loss-bearing commitments or other credit enhancement services provided in a disguised form by any third party that does not have relevant qualifications to provide guarantees; (iii) making sure that the third party with which it cooperates will not charge any interests or fees from borrowers; and (iv) not directly investing or investing in a disguised form in asset-backed securitization products or other products backed by cash loans, campus loans or down payment loans. In addition, according to Circular 141, all the relevant local authorities should submit the regulation plan and monthly working progress to the Special Rectification of Internet Financial Risks Working Group and the P2P Credit Risks Rectification Working Group, which indicates gradual rectification for compliance with Circular 141 is allowed.

The Interim Measures for Administration of Internet Loans Issued by Commercial Banks (《商業銀行互聯網貸款管理暫行辦法》), or the Internet Loans Interim Measures, promulgated by the CBIRC, came into effect on July 12, 2020 and was amended on June 21, 2021, which apply to the institutions cooperating with commercial banks to develop internet loan businesses and their existing business models. Pursuant to the Internet Loans Interim Measures, commercial banks shall evaluate their cooperating institutions and implement processes to manage these institutions. Commercial banks shall not accept direct and disguised credit enhancement services from unqualified cooperation agencies, nor entrust third-party agencies with records of violent collection or other illegal records to collect loans. The Internet Loans Interim Measures also provide that, except for cooperating institutions that contribute funding to the loans, commercial banks shall not completely delegate the cooperating

REGULATORY OVERVIEW

institutions to perform core operations, such as loan disbursement, principal and interest collection, and stop payment. Pursuant to Internet Loans Interim Measures, commercial banks shall independently carry out risk assessment and credit approval for the loans they fund, and shall bear primary responsibility for post-loan management. Regional banks that carry out Internet lending business shall mainly serve local customers, prudently conduct business across administrative regions of registration, and effectively identify and monitor the development of business across administrative regions of registration. As we operate a Credit-Tech platform and collaborate with financial institution partners in the loan lifecycle, pursuant to Internet Loans Interim Measures, we shall not participate in the independent risk management and credit approval processes for the loans funded by commercial banks. We are not involved in financial institutions' independent credit review and approval and risk management operations. We assist in financial institutions' post-loan management as instructed or delegated by them and the financial institutions still bear the primary responsibility, among others, in compliance with the Internet Loans Interim Measures.

In accordance with the above measures, the Internet Loans Circular was issued and took effect on February 19, 2021, setting detailed rules on strengthening risk management of the banking financial institutions and strictly controlling cross-regional operations. Furthermore, on July 12, 2022, CBIRC issued the Notice on Strengthening the Management of Commercial Banks' Internet Loan Business and Improving the Quality and Efficiency of Financial Services (《中國銀保監會關於加強商業銀行互聯網貸款業務管理提升金融服務質效的通知》(銀保監規[2022]14號)), which further requires commercial banks to: (i) effectively conduct security assessments on the cooperating institutions which provide and process personal information; (ii) strengthen loan fund management, take effective measures to monitor loan usage, ensure safety of the loan funds, and prevent cooperating institutions from intercepting, pooling, or misappropriating fund; (iii) standardize the Internet loan cooperation business with third-party institutions, and restrict or refuse to cooperate with those that are in violation of relevant regulations on Internet loans; and (iv) strengthen the protection of consumer rights and interests, strengthen the compliance management of the marketing and publicity behaviors of cooperating institutions, and clearly stipulate relevant prohibited behaviors in the cooperation agreement. The transition period for the stock business of Internet loans of commercial banks will end on June 30, 2023. During the transition period, new Internet loans businesses of commercial banks shall meet the requirements of the Internet Loans Interim Measures, the Internet Loans Circular and this Notice.

The Notice on Strengthening the Management of Commercial Banks' Internet Loan Business and Improving the Quality and Efficiency of Financial Services mainly regulates the conducts of commercial banks. Nevertheless, we have taken and may further take measures in furtherance of our goal of maintaining compliance, including: (i) supplementing and improving the content of the cooperation agreement with relevant financial institutions at their request; and (ii) strictly implementing the relevant requirements of financial institutions partners for their security assessment and compliance management. As of the Latest Practicable Date, we have complied with the relevant requirements of financial institution partners for their security assessment and compliance management, and will keep communicating with the financial institution partners and adjust our relevant practice such as supplementing and improving the

REGULATORY OVERVIEW

content of the cooperation agreement and internal policies at their requests in a timely manner. We believe such measures we have taken or may take will not cause an material adverse impact on the business operations and financial conditions of our Group. We will closely monitor the regulatory requirements, seek guidance from relevant regulatory authorities and take applicable measures in a timely manner to maintain our cooperation with the commercial banks and ensure compliance with relevant laws and regulations applicable to us.

In addition, we have taken various measures to comply with the Circular 141, the Internet Loans Interim Measures and other laws and regulations that are applicable to our loan facilitation business operations:

- *Operation of Credit-Tech business in general.* We deploy technology solutions to help financial institutions identify the diversified needs of consumers and SMEs, effectively access prospective borrowers that are creditworthy through multi-channels, enhance credit assessment on prospective borrowers, manage credit risks and improve collection strategies and efficiency, among others. We act as a technology enabler in the credit service process between financial institution partners and borrowers. For example, through the deployment of technologies, we make recommendations of prospective borrowers' profiles to financial institution partners and conduct preliminary credit assessment to facilitate their final risk management and credit decision making. We are not involved in financial institutions' independent credit review and approval and risk management operations, among others.
- *Guarantee practice.* We neither collected guarantee fees from our financial institution partners, nor took providing guarantees as our main business through our non-licensed subsidiaries, while historically a Consolidated Affiliated Entity that had not obtained the financing guarantee license provided guarantees or other credit enhancement services to certain financial institution partners. Under such model, the non-licensed Consolidated Affiliated Entity could be deemed as operating financing guarantee business and therefore non-compliant with Circular 141 and the Supplementary Financing Guarantee Provisions. We no longer entered into any new framework agreement since the beginning of 2019, under which we provided guarantee or other credit enhancement services to financial institution partners through the non-licensed Consolidated Affiliated Entity and have completely ceased such practice through the non-licensed Consolidated Affiliated Entity since September 2020. Please refer to "Business – Legal Proceedings and Compliance – Compliance Matters – Circular 141 and Supplementary Financing Guarantee Provisions" for details. As is common in the industry according to iResearch, it took some time for us to completely rectify the historical credit enhancement model mainly because: (i) we needed time to bring proper closures to the existing businesses which were generated under the historical cooperation agreements with our financial institution partners; and (ii) our financial institution partners needed time to change the cooperation model due to their long internal process. Considering that: (i) we had not been subject to any administrative fines or penalties during the

REGULATORY OVERVIEW

Track Record Period and up to the Latest Practicable Date due to such past practice; (ii) we have ceased such practice in September 2020 and thereafter did not provide any relevant guarantees or other credit enhancement services through the non-licensed Consolidated Affiliated Entity to our financial institution partners for loans facilitated through our platform; (iii) on July 12, 2022, our PRC Legal Adviser verbally conducted consultation with an officer in the local government authority in Shanghai who confirmed the authority is responsible for investigations and daily supervisions of the financial guarantee business and is the competent authority to provide that confirmation; our PRC Legal Adviser made the local government authority in Shanghai aware that we did not provide any guarantee or other credit enhancement services through the non-licensed Consolidated Affiliated Entity to new loans facilitated through our platform since September 2020, and was informed that we would not be imposed any fine or penalty with regard to in connection with our past practice for providing all relevant guarantees and other credit enhancement services through the non-licensed Consolidated Affiliated Entity to the new loans facilitated through our platform from the implementation of such provisions up to 2021 that may be deemed to be inconsistent with certain requirements under Circular 141 and the Supplementary Financing Guarantee Provisions; and (iv) on October 17, 2022, our PRC Legal Adviser further conducted a verbal consultation with an officer of Shanghai Financial Regulatory Bureau, who confirmed that if that local government authority in Shanghai considers not imposing any fine or penalty, the Shanghai Financial Regulatory Bureau will generally respect the conclusion of that local government authority in Shanghai, our PRC Legal Adviser is of the view that such government authority is a competent authority and the officer consulted is competent to provide the above confirmations. As advised by our PRC Legal Adviser, the risk that we would be subject to material administrative penalties by relevant authorities for such past practice in accordance with Circular 141 and the Supplementary Financing Guarantee Provisions is remote. Please refer to “Business – Legal Proceedings and Compliance – Compliance Matters – Circular 141 and Supplementary Financing Guarantee Provisions” for details. Currently, third-party financing guarantee companies or the licensed Consolidated Affiliated Entity provides guarantee or other credit enhancement services to our financial institution partners. We engage third-party guarantee companies to provide guarantee services according to the commercial arrangements of the financial institution partners and because the relevant regulations impose a cap on the outstanding guarantee liabilities of the licensed Consolidated Affiliated Entity.

- *Payment.* We have adopted a payment model and applied it to our cooperation with all financial institution partners. Under our payment model, we do not charge interests to borrowers for loans funded by our financial institution partners; instead, we charge service fees to financial institutions. In certain cases, some financial institution partners further engage us and a third-party payment system service provider to arrange payment clearance together, pursuant to which arrangement borrowers first repay to a third-party payment system and we work together with the payment system service provider to split the total repayment amount, including

REGULATORY OVERVIEW

principal, interest and service fees, to the portions that financial institution partners and we are each entitled to. The third-party payment service providers are engaged per our financial institution partners' request and are mainly for the purpose of general payment processing and clearance. We do not charge any interests or service fees from borrowers under our payment model for loans funded by our financial institution partners.

- *Product pricing.* In accordance with the evolution of regulatory environments, we have lowered our product pricing, which is calculated based on the internal rate of return methodology. We may further adjust our product pricing from time to time as a result of changes in regulations or our business strategies. If we are unable to keep up with the evolution of regulations and maintain compliance or are deemed to price loans at a rate that exceed the regulatory limits, we could be ordered to suspend, rectify or terminate our practices or operations, subject to cancellation of qualifications, or ordered to relinquish the excessive portion of the interest income. If any of these occurs, our business, financial condition, results of operations and our cooperation with financial institution partners could be materially and adversely affected as a result.

As advised by our PRC Legal Adviser, up to the Latest Practicable Date, our Significant Subsidiaries in China comply with the applicable existing effective laws and regulations in all material respects. However, given that the laws and regulations governing the loan facilitation business are evolving, and substantial uncertainties exist with respect to their interpretation and implementation, we cannot assure you that our existing practices would not be challenged by governmental authorities under any existing or future rules, laws and regulations. See also “Risk Factors – Risks Related to Our Business and Industry – We are subject to uncertainties surrounding regulations and administrative measures of the loan facilitation business. If any of our business practices are deemed to be non-compliant with applicable laws and regulations, our business, financial condition and results of operations would be adversely affected.”

If institutions violate the aforementioned provisions, the regulatory authorities may impose business suspensions, compulsory enforcements or cancellation of business qualifications, or supervise the rectifications. If the circumstances are extremely serious, the business licenses of such institutions may be revoked.

Regulations on online lending information intermediaries

In August 2016, the CBRC, the MIIT, the MPS and the State Internet Information Office jointly issued the Interim Lending Measures on Administration of Business Activities of Online Lending Information Intermediaries (《網絡借貸信息中介機構業務活動管理暫行辦法》), which introduced online lending information intermediaries as financing information enterprises specifically engaged in the business of lending information intermediation services connecting investors and borrowers. Pursuant to that, online lending information service providers must complete registration with local financial regulatory departments, apply for

REGULATORY OVERVIEW

appropriate telecommunication business licenses in accordance with relevant rules issued by competent telecommunication authorities and specify the “online lending information intermediary” in its business scope.

In accordance with these measures, the CBRC, the MIIT and the SAIC jointly issued the Circular on Printing and Distribution the Guidelines on the Filing-based Administration of the Online Lending Information Intermediaries (《網絡借貸信息中介機構備案登記管理指引》) in October 2016, setting forth the rules on the filing-based administrative regime of online lending information intermediaries which requires local financial regulators to register, publicize and archive the basic information of online lending information intermediaries within their respective jurisdictions.

In November 2019, the Special Rectification of Internet Financial Risks Working Group and the P2P Credit Risks Rectification Working Group issued the Guiding Opinions on the Transformation of Online Lending Information Intermediaries into Pilot Micro-Lending Companies (《關於網絡借貸信息中介機構轉型為小額貸款公司試點的指導意見》), or the Circular 83. The Circular 83 allows qualified online lending information intermediaries to transform into micro-lending companies in order to proactively deal with and resolve the existing business risks of online lending information intermediaries industry. The online lending information intermediaries to be transformed must comply with certain requirements including strong shareholder backgrounds and a registered capital of RMB50 million.

Regulations on online marketing of financial products

On December 31, 2021, the PBOC and six other departments jointly issued the Measures for Administration of Online Marketing of Financial Products (Draft for Comments) (《金融產品網絡營銷管理辦法(徵求意見稿)》), (the “**Draft Online Marketing Measures**”), which regulate online marketing of financial products by financial institutions or internet platform operators entrusted by such financial institutions. The Draft Online Marketing Measures prohibit third-party online platform operators from being involved in the sales process of financial products in a disguised way without the approval of financial regulatory authorities, including but not limited to interactive consultation with consumers on financial products, suitability evaluation of consumers of financial products, signing of sale contracts, transfer of funds and participation in the income sharing of financial business. Suitability evaluation of consumers of financial products means, according to the Guiding Opinions of General Office of the State Council on Strengthening the Protection of Rights and Interests of Financial Consumers (《國務院辦公廳關於加強金融消費者權益保護工作的指導意見》) promulgated on November 4, 2015, the system for evaluating the preference, cognition and tolerance of risks for consumers of financial product in order to provide financial products and services that fit such consumers. We do not conduct suitability evaluation of consumers of financial products. Instead, we utilize technologies to conduct preliminary credit assessment on prospective borrowers and match such prospective borrowers with financial institution partners. As of the Latest Practicable Date, the Draft Online Marketing Measures have not been formally adopted and it is uncertain when the final regulations will be issued and take effect, and how they will be interpreted and implemented.

REGULATORY OVERVIEW

As advised by our PRC Legal Adviser, considering the Draft Online Marketing Measures specifically provide that (i) third-party online platforms shall use the online marketing and publicity content reviewed and determined by financial institutions in promoting and recommending financial products to prospective borrowers, and (ii) financial institutions that entrust operators of third-party online platforms to carry out online marketing of financial products shall assume management responsibilities, the Draft Online Marketing Measures do not forbid third-party online platform operators entrusted by such financial institutions to carry out internet marketing activities of financial products. Therefore, as advised by our PRC Legal Adviser, under the Draft Online Marketing Measures, our online platform entrusted by financial institutions is allowed to conduct online marketing under our embedded financial model, intelligent marketing services or other platform services provided to financial institutions as long as (i) we are not involved in the aforementioned sale process of financial product and (ii) the operations of our online platform continue to be entrusted by financial institutions pursuant to relevant laws and regulations. Nevertheless, certain service fees we charge from financial institution partners are based on loan volume and interest rate, which may be recognized as participating in the income sharing of financial business in a disguised way. According to the Draft Online Marketing Measures, we may be required to adjust the way we charge financial institutions. If the Draft Online Marketing Measures take effect in its current form, we will consult and negotiate with our financial institution partners to make the necessary adjustments on cooperation agreements as required by the authorities and our financial institution partners to ensure compliance. Meanwhile, the Draft Online Marketing Measures provide a 6-month grace period from its effectiveness date for companies to make adjustments and become compliant with the provisions therein. If the Draft Online Marketing Measures are adopted in their current form, we believe the adjustment of the service fee arrangement will not have a material adverse effect on the cooperation between the financial institutions and us or our revenues.

Based on our current assessment, we are of the view that such measures we may take will not cause any adverse impact on the business operation and financial condition of our Group. In addition, since the Draft Online Marketing Measures do not prohibit third-party online platform operators entrusted by financial institutions from carrying out internet marketing activities of financial products, we are allowed to use the proceeds to conduct further online marketing and collaborate with other online platform operators to the extent permitted by the relevant laws and regulations. We will closely monitor the regulatory development and adjust our business operations from time to time to comply with relevant laws and regulations applicable to us. See also “Risk Factors – Risks Related to Our Business and Industry – Our access to sufficient and sustainable funding at reasonable costs cannot be assured. If we fail to maintain collaboration with our financial institution partners or to maintain sufficient capacity to facilitate loans to borrowers, our reputation, results of operations and financial condition may be materially and adversely affected.”

REGULATORY OVERVIEW

Regulations on micro-lending business

In May 2008, Guidance on the Pilot Establishment of Micro-Lending Companies (《關於小額貸款公司試點的指導意見》) was jointly promulgated by the CBRC and the PBOC, authorizing provincial governments to approve the establishment of micro-lending companies on a test basis. The establishment of a micro-lending company is subject to the approval of the competent government authority at the provincial level. The major sources of funds for a micro-lending company are limited to capital paid by shareholders, donated capital and capital borrowed from up to two financial institutions. Furthermore, the balance of the capital borrowed by a micro-lending company from financial institutions must not exceed 50% of the net capital of such micro-lending company. The interest rate and terms of the borrowed capital is required to be determined by the company with the banking financial institutions upon consultation, and the interest rate must be determined by using the Shanghai Inter-bank Offered Rate as the base rate. With respect to the grant of credit, micro-lending companies are required to adhere to the principle of “small sum and decentralization.” The outstanding balance of the loans granted by a micro-lending company to one borrower cannot exceed 5% of the net capital of such company. The interest ceiling used by a micro-lending company may be determined by such companies but in no circumstance shall they exceed the restrictions prescribed by the judicatory authority. The interest floor is 0.9 times the base interest rate published by the PBOC. Micro-lending companies have the flexibility to determine the specific interest rate within the range depending on certain market conditions. In addition, according to the aforementioned guidance, micro-lending companies are required to establish and improve their corporate governance structures, the loan management systems, the financial accounting systems, the asset classification systems, the provision systems for accurate asset classification and their information disclosure systems, and such companies are required to make adequate provisions for impairment losses. Micro-lending companies are also required to accept public scrutiny supervision and are prohibited from carrying out illegal fund-raising in any form.

Based on this guidance, many provincial governments, including that of Fujian Province, promulgated local implementing rules on the administration of micro-lending companies. In March 2012, Fujian Provincial People’s Government issued the Interim Administrative Measures on Micro-Lending Companies of Fujian (《福建省小額貸款公司暫行管理辦法》), imposing the management duties upon the relevant regulatory authorities and specifies more detailed requirements on the micro-lending companies. We operate online micro-lending business through one of the subsidiaries of our VIEs, Fuzhou Microcredit, which is approved by the local government authority to conduct micro-lending business in China.

In November 2017, the Online Finance Working Group issued the Notice on the Immediate Suspension of Approvals for the Establishment of Online Micro-Lending Companies (《關於立即暫停批設網絡小額貸款公司的通知》), requiring all relevant regulatory authorities of micro-lending companies to suspend the approval of the establishment of any online micro-lending companies and the approval of any micro-lending business conducted across provinces. Circular 141 further confirms to suspend the approval of the establishment of online micro-lending companies and the approval of any micro-lending business across provinces and enhances the regulation of online micro-lending companies by

REGULATORY OVERVIEW

stipulating that (i) the relevant regulatory authorities must suspend the approval for the establishment of any new online micro-lending companies and the conduct of offline business of any micro-lending companies across provinces (districts or cities); (ii) online micro-lending companies must not extend loans to any borrowers without income, such as students; (iii) online micro-lending companies must suspend the funding of online micro-lending with no specific consumption scenarios or specified uses of loan proceeds, and gradually reduce the volume of the existing business relating to such loans and take rectification measures in a period to be specified by authorities.

On December 8, 2017, the P2P Credit Risks Rectification Working Group promulgated the Implementation Plan of Specific Rectification for Risks in Micro-Lending Companies Conducting Online Micro-Lending Business (《小額貸款公司網絡小額貸款業務風險專項整治實施方案》), or Circular 56. Pursuant to Circular 56, “online micro-lending” is defined as micro-lending provided through the internet by online micro-lending companies. Circular 56 emphasizes several material aspects subject to inspection and rectification, which include but not limited to (i) online micro-lending companies must be approved by the competent authorities in accordance with the applicable regulations promulgated by the State Council, and approved online micro-lending companies that operate in violation of any regulatory requirements must be re-examined; (ii) whether the qualification and funding source of the shareholders of online micro-lending companies are in compliance with the applicable laws and regulations; (iii) whether the “integrated actual interest” (namely, the aggregated costs of borrowing charged to borrowers in the form of interest and various fees) are annualized and subject to the limit on interest rates of private lending set forth in the Private Lending Judicial Interpretations and, whether any interest, handling fee, management fee or deposit are deducted from the principal of loans provided to the borrowers in advance; (iv) whether campus loans, or online micro-lending with no specific scenario or designated use of loan proceeds are granted; (v) with respect to the loan business conducted in collaboration with third-party institutions, whether micro-lending companies cooperate with internet platform without website filing or telecommunications business license to provide online micro-lending, whether the online micro-lending companies outsource their core business (including the credit assessment and risk management), or accept any credit enhancement service provided by any third-party institutions with no guarantee qualification; or whether any applicable third-party institution collects any interest or fee from the borrowers; and (vi) whether there are any entities conducting online micro-lending business without relevant approval or license for lending business.

On September 7, 2020, the CBIRC issued the Notice on Strengthening the Supervision and Management of Micro-Lending Companies (《關於加強小額貸款公司監督管理的通知》), or Circular 86. Circular 86 aims to regulate the operation of micro-lending companies, prevent and resolve relevant risks and promote the healthy growth of the micro-lending industry. Circular 86 provides the following requirements with respect to micro-lending companies, including, without limitation: (i) the financing balance of the micro-lending company funding by bank loans, shareholder loans and other nonstandard financing instruments shall not exceed such company’s net assets; (ii) the financing balance of the micro-lending company funding by issuance of bonds, asset securitization products and other instruments of standardized debt

REGULATORY OVERVIEW

assets shall not exceed four times of its net assets; (iii) the balance of loans offered to one borrower shall not exceed 10% of the net assets of the micro-lending company, and the balance of loans offered to one borrower and such borrower's related parties shall not exceed 15% of the net assets of the micro-lending company; (iv) micro-lending companies are prohibited from upfront deduction of interest, commission fees, management fees or deposits from loans by micro-lending companies before they are released to the borrowers, and if micro-lending companies have deducted any upfront fees in violation of relevant rules and regulations, the borrower will only need to repay the actual loan amount after the exclusion of the interests and fees deducted, and the loan's interest rate shall be calculated accordingly; (v) micro-lending companies shall conduct business in the administrative area at the county level where the company is domiciled in principle, except as otherwise provided for the operation of online micro-lending business; and (vi) the micro-lending companies and third-party loan collection agencies entrusted shall not collect loans by violence, threats of violence, or other ways that intentionally cause harm, infringe personal freedom, illegally occupy property, or interfere with day-to-day life through insulting, slandering, harassing, or disseminating private personal information, or other illegal methods. The local financial regulatory authorities may further lower the ratio caps in (i) and (ii) in accordance with regulatory requirements.

On November 2, 2020, the CBIRC and the PBOC published the Online Micro-Lending Draft adding new requirements on Online Micro-Lending Business. In particular, the Online Micro-Lending Draft, among other things, strengthens the legal approval, license and access conditions of online micro-lending business. Pursuant to the Online Micro-Lending Draft, to the extent a micro-lending company engages in online micro-lending business, the said business shall mainly be carried out within the provincial-level administrative region to which its place of registration belongs, and shall be not operated beyond such region without the approval of the banking regulator under the State Council. The Online Micro-Lending Draft provides the following requirements with respect to micro-lending companies that engage in online micro-lending business, including, without limitation; the registered capital of a micro-lending company which engages in online micro-lending business shall not be less than RMB1 billion and shall be paid in lump-sum in the form of cash; the registered capital of a micro-lending company which engages in online micro-lending business across provincial-level administrative regions shall not be less than RMB5 billion and shall be paid in lump-sum in the form of cash; and the capital contribution of a micro-lending company's controlling shareholder shall not be higher than 35% of its net assets in the previous fiscal year. The Online Micro-Lending Draft also provides that the controlling shareholder of a micro-lending company which engages in online micro-lending business shall have a good financial position and be profitable consecutively in the last two fiscal years while having cumulative tax liabilities of not less than RMB12 million (as per the standard of consolidated accounting statement). In addition, according to the Online Micro-Lending Draft, an investor, its related parties and persons acting in concert shall not be the major shareholders of more than two micro-lending companies that engage in online micro-lending business across provincial level administrative regions, or hold controlling interests in more than one micro-lending company that engage in online micro-lending business across provincial-level administrative regions. Fuzhou Microcredit complies with such requirement.

REGULATORY OVERVIEW

Fuzhou Microcredit has obtained the approval from a competent supervising authority to operate online micro-lending business. Based on our current assessment and as advised by our PRC Legal Adviser, we are of the view that Fuzhou Microcredit and its controlling shareholder fulfill the eligibility requirements on the business operation and financial condition under the Online Micro-Lending Draft in all material aspects, including the requirement that the controlling shareholder of the micro-lending company should be in a good financial position and be profitable consecutively in the last two fiscal years while having cumulative tax payments of not less than RMB12 million, except the requirement that the capital contribution of a micro-lending company's controlling shareholder shall not be higher than 35% of its net assets in the previous fiscal year. Currently, Fuzhou Microcredit can conduct cross-province business with its valid license. Except the requirement as mentioned above, our PRC Legal Adviser is not aware of any material legal impediments which specifically stated in the Online Micro-Lending Draft to meet the requirements to acquire an online micro-lending license under the Online Micro-Lending Draft for Fuzhou Microcredit. As of the Latest Practicable Date, the Online Micro-Lending Draft is yet to be formally promulgated and adopted and it is uncertain when the final regulations will be issued and take effect and how they will be enacted, interpreted and implemented, and there can be no assurance that the PRC regulatory authorities will ultimately take a view that is consistent with our PRC Legal Adviser. If the Online Micro-Lending Draft takes effect in its current form, Shanghai Qiyu, the controlling shareholder of Fuzhou Microcredit, can increase its net assets by capital increment and profit enhancement to meet this requirement; and Fuzhou Microcredit may need to obtain the legal approval of the banking regulator under the State Council in order to engage in online micro-lending business across provincial-level administrative regions. As of the date of this document, Fuzhou Microcredit has increased its registered capital to RMB5 billion, which has been fully paid, to meet the requirements as stated in the Online Micro-Lending Draft and would proactively apply for the license to engage in online micro-lending business across provincial-level administrative regions when the relevant rules are officially formulated. If we fail to obtain the license to engage in online micro-lending business across provincial-level administrative regions, we may not be able to obtain sufficient funding to fulfill our future growth needs. See "Risk Factors – Risks Related to Our Business and Industry – We are subject to uncertainties surrounding regulations and administrative measures of micro-lending business and financing guarantee business. If any of our business practices are deemed to be non-compliant with such laws and regulations, our business, financial condition and results of operations would be adversely affected." As the regulatory regime and practice with respect to online micro-lending companies are evolving, there is uncertainty as to how the requirements in the above rules will be interpreted and implemented and whether there will be new rules issued which would establish further requirements and restrictions on online micro-lending companies. We will closely monitor the regulatory development and adjust our business operations from time to time to comply with relevant laws and regulations applicable to us. See also "Risk Factors – Risks Related to Our Business and Industry – We are subject to uncertainties surrounding regulations and administrative measures of micro-lending business and financing guarantee business. If any of our business practices are deemed to be non-compliant with such laws and regulations, our business, financial condition and results of operations would be adversely affected."

REGULATORY OVERVIEW

REGULATIONS ON FINANCING GUARANTEE

In March 2010, seven government authorities, including the CBRC, the MOFCOM and the MOF, promulgated the Interim Administrative Measures for Financing Guarantee Companies (《融資性擔保公司管理暫行辦法》) which require an entity or individual to obtain a prior approval from the relevant government authority before engaging in the financing guarantee business. Financing guarantee is defined as an activity whereby the guarantor and the creditor, such as a financial institution in the banking sector, agree that the guarantor shall bear the guarantee obligations in the event that the secured party fails to perform its financing debt owed to the creditor.

On August 2, 2017, the PRC State Council promulgated the Regulations on the Supervision and Administration of Financing Guarantee Companies (《融資擔保公司監督管理條例》), which became effective on October 1, 2017. The Regulations on the Supervision and Administration of Financing Guarantee Companies define “financing guarantee” as a guarantee provided for the debt financing, including but not limited to the extension of loans or issuance of bonds, and set out that the establishment of a financing guarantee company or engagement in the financing guarantee business without approval may result in several penalties, including but not limited to an order to cease business operation, confiscation of illegal gains, fines of up to RMB1,000,000 and criminal liabilities. The Regulations on the Supervision and Administration of Financing Guarantee Companies also provide that the outstanding guarantee liabilities of a financing guarantee company shall not exceed ten times of its net assets, and that the ratio of the balance amount of outstanding guarantee liabilities of a financing guarantee company for the same guaranteed party shall not exceed 10%, while the ratio of the balance amount of outstanding guarantee liabilities of a financing guarantee company for the same guaranteed party and its affiliated parties shall not exceed 15%.

On October 9, 2019, nine government authorities including the CBIRC, the NDRC and the MIIT promulgated the Supplementary Financing Guarantee Provisions, which, as advised by our PRC Legal Adviser, for the first time, explicitly require that institutions providing services of customer recommendation and credit assessment to various lending institutions, including us as a Credit-Tech company, shall not provide, directly or in a disguised form, financing guarantee services without the approvals of relevant authorities. For the companies that do not have the relevant financing guarantee licenses but engage in the financing guarantee business, the regulatory authorities shall suspend such operations and cause these companies to properly settle the existing business contracts.

On July 14, 2020, the CBIRC issued the Guidelines for Off-Site Supervision of Financing Guarantee Companies (《融資擔保公司非現場監管規程》), or the Off-Site Supervision Guidelines, which took effect on September 1, 2020. The Off-Site Supervision Guidelines stipulate the guidelines for the competent regulatory authorities to continually analyze and evaluate the risk of financing guarantee companies and the financing guarantee industry, by way of collecting report data and other internal and external data of the financing guarantee companies and by carrying out corresponding measures. Pursuant to the Off-Site Supervision Guidelines, financing guarantee companies shall establish and implement an off-site

REGULATORY OVERVIEW

supervision information report system and submit related data and non-data information in accordance with the requirements of the competent regulatory authorities. The Off-Site Supervision Guidelines note that the corporate governance, internal control, risk management capabilities, guarantee business, associated guarantee risks, asset quality, liquidity indicators and investment conditions of financing guarantee companies shall be the key areas subject to off-site supervisions.

On December 31, 2021, the PBOC issued the Regulations on Local Financial Supervision and Administration (Draft for Comments) (《地方金融監督管理條例(徵求意見稿)》), which regulate all types of local financial organizations including financing guarantee companies. Pursuant to the Regulations on Local Financial Supervision and Administration (Draft for Comments), local financial organizations are required to operate business within the area approved by the local financial regulatory authority, and are not allowed to conduct business across provinces in principle. The rules for cross-province business carried out by local financial organizations shall be formulated by the State Council or by the financial regulatory department of the State Council as authorized by the State Council. The financial regulatory department of the State Council will specify a transition period for local financial organizations that have carried out businesses across provincial administrative regions to maintain compliance.

Fuzhou Financing Guarantee, through which we provide guarantee services to our financial institution partners, has obtained the financing guarantee certificate granted by competent government authorities to conduct financing guarantee business in June 2018. Shanghai Financing Guarantee, through which we provide guarantee services to our financial institution partners, obtained the financing guarantee certificate granted by competent government authorities to conduct financing guarantee business in January 2019.

If the Regulations on Local Financial Supervision and Administration (Draft for Comments) were to be adopted in its current form, Fuzhou Financing Guarantee may need to obtain the legal approval of the financial regulatory department of the State Council in order to engage in Financing Guarantee business across provincial-level administrative regions. However, given the Regulations on Local Financial Supervision and Administration (Draft for Comments) have not come into effect as of the date of this document, there are uncertainties as to their interpretation, application and enforcement. We will closely monitor the legislative process, seek guidance from relevant regulatory authorities and take applicable measures in a timely manner to ensure our compliance with relevant laws and regulations applicable to us. See also “Risk Factors – Risks Related to Our Business and Industry – We are subject to uncertainties surrounding regulations and administrative measures of micro-lending business and financing guarantee business. If any of our business practices are deemed to be non-compliant with such laws and regulations, our business, financial condition and results of operations would be adversely affected.”

REGULATORY OVERVIEW

REGULATIONS ON CREDIT REPORTING BUSINESS

The PRC government has adopted several regulations governing personal and enterprise credit reporting businesses. These regulations include the Regulation for the Administration of Credit Reporting Industry (《徵信業管理條例》), enacted by the State Council and effective in March 2013, and the Management Rules on Credit Agencies, issued by the PBOC, in the same year.

The Regulation for the Administration of Credit Reporting Industry defines “credit reporting business” and “credit reporting agency” for the first time. According to the Regulation for the Administration of Credit Reporting Industry, “credit reporting business” means the activities of collecting, organizing, storing and processing “credit-related information” of individuals and enterprises, as well as providing such information to others, and a “credit reporting agency” refers to a duly established agency whose primary business is credit reporting. Besides, the Regulation for the Administration of Credit Reporting Industry and the Management Rules on Credit Agencies stipulate that the establishment of a credit reporting agency to engage in individual credit reporting business shall be subject to the approval of the PBOC, and the requirements for such establishment. Such requirements include: (i) the credit reporting agency’s major shareholders shall have a good reputation and do not have any record of major violation of law or non-compliance in the past three years; (ii) the credit reporting agency’s registered capital shall not be less than RMB50 million; (iii) the credit reporting agency shall have facilities, equipment, systems and measures in place for the protection of information security which comply with the provisions of the PBOC; (iv) the candidates for the credit reporting agency’s director, supervisor and senior management positions shall be familiar with laws and regulations relating to credit reporting business, shall possess the work experience and management capabilities in the credit reporting business required for performance of their duties, shall not have any record of major violation or non-compliance during the past three years, and shall have obtained the appointment qualifications approved by the PBOC; (v) the credit reporting agency shall have a proper organizational structure; (vi) the credit reporting agency shall have proper internal control systems for, among others, business operation, information security management and compliance management; (vii) the credit reporting agency’s individual credit information system shall satisfy the standard of National Information System Security Level Protection Level 2 or above; and (viii) the credit reporting agency shall satisfy any other prudential requirements of the PBOC. Establishment of a credit reporting agency to engage in enterprise credit reporting business shall complete filing with the responsible branch of the PBOC. To complete the filing, a company must submit to the PBOC (i) its business license; (ii) an explanation on equity structure and organization structure; (iii) a description of its scope of business, business rules and basic information on business system; and (iv) its information security and risk prevention measures. Entities engaged in individual/enterprise credit reporting business without such approval/completing filing formality may be subject to fine or criminal liabilities.

REGULATORY OVERVIEW

Given that the PBOC is a subordinate authority under the State Council, the Management Rules on Credit Agencies (《徵信機構管理辦法》) enacted by the PBOC is based on the Regulation for the Administration of Credit Reporting Industry, and further details the rules with respect to the administration for credit reporting agencies, including rules to establish, change and deregister a credit reporting agency and the rules for the daily operation of a credit reporting agency.

On September 27, 2021, the PBOC issued the Administrative Measures for Credit Reporting Business (《徵信業務管理辦法》), or the Credit Reporting Measures, effective on January 1, 2022. The Credit Reporting Measures define “credit information” to include “basic information, borrowing and lending information and other relevant information collected pursuant to the law to provide services for financial and other activities for identifying and judging the credit standing of businesses and individuals, as well as analysis and evaluation formed based on the aforesaid information.” They apply to entities that carry out credit reporting business and “activities relating to credit reporting business” in China. Separately, entities providing “services with credit reporting function” in the name of “credit information service, credit service, credit evaluation, credit rating, credit repair and other services” are also subject to the Credit Reporting Measures. The Credit Reporting Measures require that whoever engages in personal credit reporting business shall obtain permit from the PBOC’s personal credit reporting agency and whoever engages in enterprise credit reporting business shall complete filing formalities pursuant to the law; and whoever engages in credit rating business shall complete filings as a credit rating agency pursuant to the law. The Credit Reporting Measures provide rules on credit reporting business and credit reporting agencies, including that (i) the credit reporting agencies shall collect credit information following the “minimum and necessary” principle and must not collect, compile, store and process credit information by unlawful means, and must not alter original data, (ii) information user shall not abuse credit information, and the credit reporting agencies shall comply with relevant business rules when they provide credit information for credit inquiry, credit evaluation, credit rating and anti-fraud services, (iii) credit reporting agencies shall take measures to ensure the credit information security, and establish an emergency and report system for incidents, and (iv) credit reporting agencies shall comply with related laws and regulations when providing credit information to overseas. Credit Reporting Measures provide an 18-month grace period from its effectiveness date for organizations that engage in credit reporting business to obtain the credit reporting business license and comply with its other provisions.

In addition, on July 7, 2021, the Credit Information System Bureau of PBOC further issued a notice, or the Notice Relating to Disconnecting Direct Connection, to 13 internet platforms including us, requiring the internet platforms to achieve a complete “disconnected direct connection” (“斷直連”) in terms of personal information with financial institutions, meaning that the direct flow of personal information from internet platforms that collect such information to financial institutions is prohibited.

REGULATORY OVERVIEW

In our service process and operation flow, we collect certain basic information and other necessary information of users for preliminary fraud detection and credit assessment, and then recommend the prospective borrowers' profiles to and share the preliminary results of our credit assessment with our financial institution partners to facilitate their final risk management and credit decision making. Pursuant to the Credit Reporting Measures and the Notice Relating to Disconnecting Direct Connection, the abovementioned operations may be deemed as operations of credit reporting business, and therefore we may be required to involve a licensed credit reporting institution to ensure compliance. To maintain compliance with the Credit Reporting Measures and the Notice Relating to Disconnecting Direct Connection, we have taken various adjustment measures, and will complete such adjustments within the 18-month grace period, which began on the effectiveness date of the Credit Reporting Measures. We have entered into a collaboration agreement with a licensed credit reporting institution for the implementation of plans to ensure the flow of personal information complies with the Credit Reporting Measures and the Notice Relating to Disconnecting Direct Connection. In addition, we have been actively communicating with regulatory authorities related to the adjustment actions and will continue to do so during the grace period. We estimate that the relevant annual costs resulting from such adjustments will account for approximately 1.4% of our facilitation, origination and servicing costs for the year ended December 31, 2021. Therefore, we believe the overall cost for the adjustments is within an acceptable range and therefore will not materially adversely affect our cooperation with financial institutions or our financial performance. In addition, for data or personal information to be shared inevitably by us to financial institution partners before the completion of such adjustments, we will strictly comply with our internal data and personal information protection policies to ensure security of such data and personal information and prevent improper use or disclosure of such data and personal information. These policies were promulgated according to the Personal Information Protection Law, Data Security Law, Cybersecurity Law and other existing applicable laws and regulations relating to privacy protection in China, the legislative purposes of which are in general different from that of the Notice Relating to Disconnecting Direct Connection. For details of the policies, see "Business – Risk Management and Internal Control – Data and technology system risk management." As advised by our PRC Legal Adviser, such policies comply with the Personal Information Protection Law, Data Security Law, Cybersecurity Law and other existing applicable laws and regulations relating to privacy protection in the PRC in all material aspects, and the Notice Relating to Disconnecting Direct Connection, which mainly regulates the credit reporting business rather than the protection of privacy or data security, will not render the aforementioned policies of our Group non-compliant. However, given the Credit Reporting Measures and the Notice Relating to Disconnecting Direct Connection were recently promulgated, there are uncertainties as to the interpretation, application and enforcement of such measures. We will closely monitor the regulatory requirements, seek guidance from relevant regulatory authorities and take applicable measures in a timely manner to ensure our compliance with relevant laws and regulations applicable to us.

REGULATORY OVERVIEW

REGULATIONS ON ISSUANCES OF ASSET-BACKED SECURITIES

According to the Administrative Measures on Asset Securitization of Securities Companies and Subsidiaries of Fund Management Companies (證券公司及基金管理公司子公司資產證券化業務管理規定) and their supportive documents, Guidelines for Securities Companies and Subsidiaries of Fund Management Companies on Asset Securitization (證券公司及基金管理公司子公司資產證券化業務信息披露指引) and Guidelines for Securities Companies and Subsidiaries of Fund Management Companies on Due Diligence for Asset Securitization (證券公司及基金管理公司子公司資產證券化業務盡職調查工作指引) all of which were adopted by the CSRC on November 19, 2014, asset securitization shall mean business activities of issuance of asset-backed securities paid and supported by cash flows generated by the underlying assets, and credit enhancement through structuring etc. Underlying assets broadly refer to property rights such as an enterprise's accounts receivable, creditor's rights under a lease, credit assets and beneficial rights to a trust, immovable property or usufruct such as infrastructure and commercial properties, and other properties or property rights recognized by the CSRC. The assets of the ABS plan shall be placed under custody of a commercial bank with the relevant business qualifications, or an asset custodian organization recognized by the CSRC. The issuer (originator) shall not encroach upon or cause damage to the underlying assets, and shall perform the following duties: (i) transfer underlying assets pursuant to the provisions of laws, administrative regulations, the company's articles of association and the relevant agreement; (ii) cooperate with and support performance of duties by the manager, custodian and any other organization providing services for asset securitization; and (iii) any other duties agreed in the legal documents of the ABS plan. As advised by our PRC Legal Adviser, during the Track Record Period and up to the Latest Practicable Date, our issuance of ABSs had complied with the Administrative Measures on Asset Securitization of Securities Companies and Subsidiaries of Fund Management Companies and other applicable laws and regulations of CSRC in all material aspects and the securities companies, trust companies and the relevant parties that we cooperate with have requisite license as manager or custodian of the ABS plan.

REGULATIONS ON ANTI-MONEY LAUNDERING

The PRC Anti-Money Laundering Law (《中華人民共和國反洗錢法》), which was issued by the SCNPC, in October, 2006 and became effective in January 2007, sets forth the principal anti-money laundering requirements applicable to financial institutions as well as non-financial institutions with anti-money laundering obligations, including the adoption of precautionary and supervisory measures, the establishment of various systems for client identification, the retention of clients' identification information and transactions records, and the reporting obligation on material transactions and suspicious transactions. The PBOC and other government authorities issued a series of administrative rules and regulations to specify the anti-money laundering obligations of financial institutions and certain non-financial institutions. However, PRC State Council has not promulgated the list of the non-financial institutions with anti-money laundering obligations.

REGULATORY OVERVIEW

The Fintech Guidelines, as defined previously, clarify, among other things, internet financial service provider requirements to comply with certain anti-money laundering provisions, including the establishment of a customer identification program, the monitoring and reporting of suspicious transactions, the preservation of customer information and transaction records, and the provision of assistance to the public security department and judicial authority in investigations and proceedings in relation to anti-money laundering matters. The PBOC will formulate implementing rules to further specify the anti-money laundering obligations of internet financial service providers. On October 10, 2018, the PBOC, CBIRC and CSRC jointly promulgated the Administrative Measures for Anti-money Laundering and Counter-terrorism Financing by Internet Finance Service Agencies (for Trial Implementation) (《互聯網金融從業機構反洗錢和反恐怖融資管理辦法(試行)》), effective as of January 1, 2019, which specify the anti-money laundering obligations of internet finance service agencies and regulate that the internet finance service agencies (i) shall adopt continuous customer identification measures; (ii) shall implement the system for reporting large-value or suspicious transactions; (iii) shall conduct real-time monitoring of the lists of terrorist organizations and terrorists; and (iv) shall properly keep the information, data and materials such as customer identification and transaction reports etc.

Pursuant with the aforementioned regulations, we have implemented various policies and procedures, such as internal controls and “know-your-customer” procedures, for anti-money laundering purposes. However, our policies and procedures may not be completely effective in preventing other parties from using us for money laundering without our knowledge. See “Risk Factors – Risks Related to Our Business and Industry – If our financial institution partners fail to comply with applicable anti-money laundering and anti-terrorist financing laws and regulations, our business and results of operations could be materially and adversely affected.”

REGULATIONS ON ANTI-MONOPOLY

The Anti-Monopoly Law (《反壟斷法》) promulgated by the SCNPC on August 30, 2007, which became effective on August 1, 2008 and was amended on June 24, 2022, and the Interim Provisions on the Review of Concentrations of Undertakings promulgated by the SAMR on October 23, 2020, which became effective on December 1, 2020 and was amended on March 24, 2022, require that transactions which are deemed concentrations and involve parties with specified turnover thresholds must be cleared by the SAMR before they can be completed. Where the participation in concentration of undertakings by way of foreign-funded merger and acquisition of domestic enterprises or any other method which involves national security, the examination of concentration of undertakings shall be carried out pursuant to the provisions of this law and examination of national security shall be carried out pursuant to the relevant provisions of the State. The revised Anti-monopoly Law provides, among others, that business operators shall not use data, algorithms, technology, capital advantages and platform rules to exclude or limit competition, and also requires relevant government authorities to strengthen the examination of concentration of undertakings in areas related to national welfare and people’s well-being, and enhances penalties for violation of the regulations regarding concentration of undertakings.

REGULATORY OVERVIEW

On February 7, 2021, the Anti-monopoly Commission of the State Council issued the Anti-Monopoly Guidelines for the Internet Platform Economy Sector (《關於平台經濟領域的反壟斷指南》), which specifies that any concentration of undertakings involving variable interest entities (VIE structure) shall fall within the scope of anti-monopoly review. If a concentration of undertakings meets the criteria for declaration as stipulated by the State Council, an operator shall report such concentration of undertakings to the anti-monopoly law enforcement agency under the State Council in advance.

We do not conduct any of the monopolistic practices under the Anti-Monopoly Law and the Anti-Monopoly Guidelines for the Internet Platform Economy Sector. In particular, we are not involved in any concentration of undertakings which constitute monopolistic practices and are required to be reported to the relevant authorities pursuant to the Anti-Monopoly Law or other applicable antitrust laws. We do not believe our business is in violation of the Anti-monopoly Law of the PRC, and as of the Latest Practicable Date, we had not been subject to any administrative penalties or regulatory actions in connection with anti-monopoly.

REGULATIONS ON INFORMATION SECURITY AND PRIVACY PROTECTION

In recent years, PRC government authorities have enacted laws and regulations on internet use to protect personal information from any unauthorized disclosure. Under the Several Provisions on Regulating the Market Order of Internet Information Services (《規範互聯網信息服務市場秩序若干規定》), issued by the MIIT in December 2011 and effective as of March 2012, an internet information service provider may not collect any user personal information or provide any such information to third parties without the specific consent of the user. An internet information service provider must expressly inform the users of the method, content and purpose of the collection and processing of such user personal information, and may only collect such information necessary for the provision of its services.

In addition, pursuant to the Decision on Strengthening the Protection of Online Information (《關於加強網絡信息保護的決定》) issued by the SCNPC in December 2012, which seeks to enhance the legal protection of information security and privacy on the internet, and the Order for the Protection of Telecommunication and Internet User Personal Information (《電信和互聯網用戶個人信息保護規定》) issued by the MIIT in July 2013, which regulates the collection and use of users' personal information in the provision of telecommunications services and internet information services in China, any collection and use of user personal information must be subject to the consent of the user, abide by the principles of legality, rationality and necessity and be within the specified purposes, methods and scopes.

The State Internet Information Office issued the Administrative Provisions on Mobile Internet App Information Services (《移動互聯網應用程序信息服務管理規定》) (the “**APP Provisions**”) in June 2016, effective on August 2016 and amended on June 14, 2022, to implement the regulations of the mobile app information services. The APP Provisions regulate the APP information service providers and the Internet application store service providers, while the CAC and local offices of cyberspace administration shall be responsible for the supervision and administration of nationwide or local APP information respectively. The APP

REGULATORY OVERVIEW

information service providers shall acquire relevant qualifications required by laws and regulations and implement the information security management responsibilities strictly and fulfill their obligations provided by the APP Provisions.

In addition, the Fintech Guidelines require internet financial service providers, including Credit-Tech service providers, among other things, to improve technology security standards, and safeguard customer and transaction information. They also prohibit Credit-Tech service providers from illegally selling or disclosing customers' personal information. The PBOC and other relevant regulatory authorities will jointly adopt the implementing rules and technology security standards.

Pursuant to the Ninth Amendment to the Criminal Law issued by the SCNPC, effective as of November 2015, any internet service provider that fails to fulfill the obligations related to internet information security administration as required by applicable laws and refuses to rectify upon administrative orders is subject to criminal penalty as a result of (i) any dissemination of illegal information on a large scale; (ii) any severe effect due to the leakage of customers' information; (iii) any serious loss of criminal evidence; or (iv) other severe situation. Moreover, any individual or entity that (i) sells or provides personal information to others in a way that violates applicable law, or (ii) steals or illegally obtains any personal information, is subject to criminal liabilities in severe situations.

The Network Security Law (《網絡安全法》) is formulated to maintain network security, safeguard cyberspace sovereignty, national security and public interest, protect the lawful rights and interests of citizens, legal persons and other organizations, and requires a network operator, which includes, among others, Internet information services providers, to take technical measures and other necessary measures in accordance with the provisions of applicable laws and regulations as well as the compulsory requirements of the national and industrial standards to safeguard the safe and stable operation of the networks, effectively respond to the network security incidents, prevent illegal and criminal activities, and maintain the integrity, confidentiality and availability of network data. The Network Security Law emphasizes that any individual and organization that uses networks is required to comply with the PRC Constitution and laws, abide by public order and cannot endanger network security or make use of networks to engage in unlawful activities such as endangering national security, economic order and social order, and infringing the reputation, privacy, intellectual property rights and other lawful rights and interests of other people. The Network Security Law reaffirms the basic principles and requirements as specified in other existing laws and regulations on personal information protections, such as the requirements on the collection, use, processing, storage and disclosure of personal information, and internet service providers being required to take technical and other necessary measures to ensure the security of the personal information they have collected and prevent personal information from being divulged, damaged or lost. Any violation of the provisions and requirements under the Network Security Law may subject the Internet service provider to warnings, fines, confiscation of illegal gains, revocation of licenses, cancelation of filings, closedown of websites or even criminal liabilities.

REGULATORY OVERVIEW

On December 29, 2017, the Information Security Technology Personal Information Security Specification (《信息安全技術個人信息安全規範》) (GB/T 35273-2017), or the Specification, was issued by the General Administration of Quality Supervision, Inspection and Quarantine of the PRC and the Standardization Administration and is replaced by the 2020 Specification issued by the SAMR and the Standardization Administration jointly, which came into effect on October 1, 2020. Pursuant to the Specification, product and service providers should take technical and other necessary measures to ensure the safety of personal information, clearly demonstrate the purpose, approaches and scope of processing of the personal information to the individual and obtain the requisite authorization. In addition, according to the 2020 Specification, the original personal biometric information should not, in principle, be stored and, in any event, should be stored separately from personal identity information. It further requires that the privacy policy disclose the scope and rules of personal information collection and use by the personal information controller, which should not be regarded as a contract signed by the subject of personal information.

On January 23, 2019, the Office of the Central Cyberspace Affairs Commission, the MPS, the SAMR and the MIIT jointly issued the Announcement of Launching Special Crackdown Against Illegal Collection and Use of Personal Information by Apps (《關於開展App違法違規收集使用個人信息專項治理的公告》). According to the Announcement, from January to December 2019, the four aforementioned authorities would conduct a nationwide crackdown on the illegal collection and use of personal information. App operators shall strictly fulfill their obligations pursuant to the Cybersecurity Law when collecting and using personal information, and shall be responsible for the security of personal information obtained and take effective measures to strengthen personal information protection. The App operators shall follow the principles of lawfulness, legitimacy and necessity, refrain from collecting personal information that is not related to the services provided; when collecting personal information, shall display the rules for the collection and use of personal information in an easy-to-understand, simple and clear manner, and personal information subjects shall independently choose consents; app operators shall not force users to provide authorization through the use of default setting, bundling, stopping installation and use, etc., and may not collect personal information in violation of laws and regulations or against the agreements with users. App operators are asked to provide users with the options of refusing to receive targeted pushes when app operators push news, current affairs and advertisements to targeted users.

On March 13, 2019, the SAMR and the Office of the Central Cyberspace Affairs Commission jointly issued the Announcement on Launching the Security Certification of Apps (《關於開展App安全認證工作的公告》), which encourages app operators to voluntarily pass the security certification of apps, and encourages operators of search engines and app stores to clearly identify and give priority to recommending those certified Apps. On November 28, 2019, the CAC and other three authorities jointly issued the Announcement on Identification Method of App Collecting and Using Personal Information in Violation of Laws and Regulations (《App違法違規收集使用個人信息行為認定方法》), which provides further guidance for determining conduct that qualifies as the unlawful collection and usage of personal information via Apps.

REGULATORY OVERVIEW

On April 10, 2019, the MPS issued the Guide for Internet Personal Information Security Protection (《互聯網個人信息安全保護指南》), which sets out the management mechanism, security technical measures and business processes for personal information security protection. This Guide is applicable to personal information holders in carrying out their security protection work during personal information life cycle processing. It is applicable to enterprises that provide services through the Internet, as well as to organizations or individuals who use a private or non-networked environment to control and process personal information.

On February 13, 2020, the PBOC issued the Personal Financial Information Protection Technical Specification (《個人金融信息保護技術規範》), which is an industry standard, specifying the security protection requirements for all aspects of personal financial information life cycle processing, including collection, transmission, storage, use, deletion and destruction. This standard is applicable to institutions in the financial industry in the provision of financial products and services, and also provides guidance for security assessment agencies in conducting security inspections and assessments. Based on the potential impact caused by unauthorized viewing or unauthorized change of financial information, this standard classifies personal financial information into three categories of C3, C2, and C1 from high to low sensitivity, and different requirements apply to information classified under different categories.

On March 12, 2021, the CAC, MIIT, MPS and the SAMR promulgated the Provisions on the Scope of Necessary Personal Information Required for Common Types of Mobile Internet Applications (《常見類型移動互聯網應用程序必要個人信息範圍規定》), which became effective on May 1, 2021. The Provisions on the Scope of Necessary Personal Information Required for Common Types of Mobile Internet Applications clarify the scope of necessary information required for certain common types of mobile apps and stipulate that mobile app operators shall not deny users' access to basic functions and services of the app in the event that the users disagree with collection of unnecessary personal information.

On June 10, 2021, the SCNPC promulgated the PRC Data Security Law of the PRC, which came into effect on September 1, 2021 (《中華人民共和國數據安全法》), or the PRC Data Security Law. The PRC Data Security Law introduces a data classification and hierarchical protection system based on the materiality of data in economic and social development, as well as the degree of harm to national security, public interests, or legitimate rights and interests of persons or entities if such data is tampered with, destroyed, divulged, or illegally acquired or used. It also provides for a security review procedure for the data activities that may affect national security. Violation of the PRC Data Security Law may subject the relevant entities or individuals to warnings, fines, suspension of operations, revocation of permits or business licenses, or even criminal liabilities.

On August 20, 2021, the SCNPC promulgated the Personal Information Protection Law of the PRC (《中華人民共和國個人信息保護法》), which became effective on November 1, 2021. The Personal Information Protection Law stipulates certain important concepts with respect to personal information processing, including that: (i) "personal information" refers to all kinds of information relating to identified or identifiable natural persons recorded by

REGULATORY OVERVIEW

electronic or other channel and methods, excluding information processed anonymously; (ii) “processing of personal information” includes the collection, storage, use, processing, transmission, provision, disclosure and deletion of personal information; and (iii) “personal information processor” refers to an organization or individual that independently determines the purpose and method of processing personal information. Except as otherwise provided in the Personal Information Protection Law, a personal information processor may only process personal information under the circumstances where the relevant individuals’ consents have been obtained or where certain contractual arrangements, employment relationships, public emergencies, performance of statutory duties or obligations or publishing of press release for public interests so require.

On September 17, 2021, the CAC, together with eight other government authorities, jointly issued the Guidelines on Strengthening the Comprehensive Regulation of Algorithms for Internet Information Services (《關於加強互聯網信息服務算法綜合治理的指導意見》). On December 31, 2021, the CAC, the MIIT, the MPS and the SAMR jointly promulgated the Administrative Provisions on Internet Information Service Algorithm-Based Recommendation (《互聯網信息服務算法推薦管理規定》), which took effect on March 1, 2022. The Administrative Provisions on Internet Information Service Algorithm-Based Recommendation, among others, (i) implement classification and hierarchical management for algorithm-based recommendation service providers based on various criteria, (ii) require algorithm-based recommendation service providers to inform users of their provision of algorithm-based recommendation services in a conspicuous manner, and publicize the basic principles, purpose intentions, and main operating mechanisms of algorithm-based recommendation services in an appropriate manner, and (iii) require such service providers to provide users with options that are not specific to their personal profiles, or convenient options to cancel algorithmic recommendation services.

On April 13, 2020, the Measures on Cybersecurity Review (《網絡安全審查辦法》) were issued, which took effect on June 1, 2020. They provide detailed rules regarding cyber security review, and further provide that any operator found in violation of the Measures shall be penalized in accordance with Article 65 of the Cybersecurity Law. The Measures for Cybersecurity Review (2021 Revision) (《網絡安全審查辦法》(2021版)), which came into effect on February 15, 2022, provide that, to ensure the security of the supply chain of critical information infrastructure and safeguard national security, a cybersecurity review is required when national security has been or may be affected where critical information infrastructure operators purchase network product or service and network platform operators process data. When an operator in possession of personal information of over one million users applies for a listing abroad, it must apply to the CAC for a cybersecurity review. The Measures on Cybersecurity Review further elaborates the factors to be considered when assessing the national security risks of the relevant activities, including, among others, (i) the risks of illegal control, interference or destruction of critical information infrastructure brought about by the use of products and services; (ii) the harm caused by supply interruption of products and services to the business continuity of critical information infrastructure; (iii) security, openness, transparency and diversity of sources of products and services, reliability of supply channels, and risks of supply interruption due to political, diplomatic, trade or other factors;

REGULATORY OVERVIEW

(iv) information on compliance with Chinese laws, administrative regulations and departmental rules by product and service providers; (v) risks of theft, disclosure, damage, illegal use or cross-border transfer of core data, important data or large amounts of personal information; (vi) risks of influence, control or malicious use of critical information infrastructure, core data, important data or large amounts of personal information by foreign governments after listing on a foreign stock exchange; and (vii) other factors that may endanger critical information infrastructure security and national data security.

On July 7, 2022, the CAC published Outbound Data Transfer Security Assessment Measures (《數據出境安全評估辦法》) that took effect on September 1, 2022 and outline the potential security assessment process for outbound data transfer. Under the Outbound Data Transfer Security Assessment Measures, data processors that provide important data and personal information outbound that are collected or produced through operations within the territory of the PRC, where a security assessment shall be conducted according to the law, shall apply to the provisions of these Measures. Under the Outbound Data Transfer Security Assessment Measures, data processors providing outbound data shall apply for outbound data transfer security assessment with the CAC in any of the following circumstances: (i) where a data processor provides important data abroad; (ii) where a critical information infrastructure operator or a data processor processing the personal information of more than one million individuals provides personal information abroad; (iii) where a data processor has provided personal information of 100,000 individuals or sensitive personal information of 10,000 individuals in total abroad since January 1 of the previous year; and (iv) other circumstances prescribed by the CAC for which declaration for security assessment for outbound data transfers is required. The Outbound Data Transfer Security Assessment Measures also provide procedures for security assessment and submissions, important factors to be considered in conducting assessment, and legal liabilities of a data processor for failure to apply for assessment.

On November 14, 2021, the CAC released the Draft Regulations on Network Data Security (《網絡數據安全管理條例(徵求意見稿)》). These draft regulations define “data processors” as individuals or organizations that autonomously determine the purpose and the manner of data processing. The draft regulations set forth general guidelines, protection of personal information, security of important data, security management of cross-border data transfer, obligations of internet platform operators, supervision and management, and legal liabilities. Pursuant to such draft regulations, a cybersecurity review will be imposed on a data processor that (i) processes personal information of one million or more users and applies for listing in a foreign country; (ii) merger, reorganization or division of internet platform operators that have acquired a large number of data resources related to national security, economic development or public interests affects or may affect national security; (iii) applies for listing in Hong Kong and may impact national security, or (iv) engages in activities or transactions that may impact national security. Moreover, under such draft regulations, data processors dealing with important data or listing offshore should carry out an annual data security assessment and data security services before January 31 of each year. Under such draft regulations, data security assessment reports for the previous year shall be submitted to the municipal-level cyberspace administration department by January 31 of the following year.

REGULATORY OVERVIEW

To ensure compliance with the above laws and regulations, in providing our Credit-Tech service, we collect certain personal information from our consumers and SMEs, and also are required to share the information with our financial institution partners for the purpose of facilitating credit to our borrowers. We have obtained consent from borrowers for us to collect, use and share their personal information, and have also established information security systems to protect user information and to abide by other network security requirements under such laws and regulations. However, there is uncertainty as to the interpretation application and enforcement of such laws which may be interpreted and applied in a manner inconsistent with our current policies and practices or require changes to the features of our system. Any non-compliance or perceived non-compliance with these laws, regulations or policies may lead to warnings, fines, investigations, lawsuits, confiscation of illegal gains, revocation of licenses, cancelation of filings, closedown of websites or apps or even criminal liabilities against us by government agencies or other individuals.

While we have taken measures to protect the personal information to which we have access, our security measures could be breached, resulting in leaks of such confidential personal information. Security breaches or unauthorized access to confidential information could also expose us to liability related to the loss of the information, time-consuming and expensive litigation and negative publicity.

REGULATIONS ON FOREIGN EXCHANGE

Pursuant to the Foreign Exchange Administration Regulations (《外匯管理條例》), as issued in January 1996 and amended in January 1997 and August 2008, Renminbi is freely convertible for current account items, including the trade and service-related foreign exchange transactions, the distribution of dividends, interest payments but not for capital account items, such as direct investments, loans, repatriation of investments and investments in securities outside of China, unless prior approval from the SAFE is obtained and prior registration with the SAFE is made.

In June 2015, the SAFE promulgated the Notice of the State Administration of Foreign Exchange on Reforming the Administration of Foreign Exchange Settlement of Capital of Foreign-invested Enterprises (《關於改革外商投資企業外匯資本金結匯管理方式的通知》), or the SAFE Circular 19. The SAFE further promulgated the Notice of the State Administration of Foreign Exchange on Reforming (《國家外匯管理局關於改革和規範資本項目結匯管理政策的通知》) and the SAFE Circular 16 on June 9, 2016, which, among other things, amends certain provisions of SAFE Circular 19. Pursuant to SAFE Circular 19 and SAFE Circular 16, the flow and use of Renminbi capital converted from foreign currency denominated registered capital of a foreign-invested company shall not be used for business beyond its business scope, or to provide loans to persons other than affiliates unless otherwise permitted under its business scope. Violations of SAFE Circular 19 or SAFE Circular 16 could result in administrative penalties.

REGULATORY OVERVIEW

In February 2015, the SAFE promulgated the Notice on Further Simplifying and Improving the Foreign Exchange Management Policies for Direct Investment (《關於進一步簡化和改進直接投資外匯管理政策的通知》), or the SAFE Circular 13, which took effect in June 2015. SAFE Circular 13 delegates the power to enforce the foreign exchange registration in connection with inbound and outbound direct investments under relevant SAFE rules from local branches of the SAFE to banks, thereby further simplifying the foreign exchange registration procedures for inbound and outbound direct investments.

Regulations on dividend distribution

The principal regulations governing distribution of dividends of foreign-invested enterprises include PRC Company Law (《中華人民共和國公司法》), PRC Wholly Foreign-owned Enterprise Law, and Implementation Rules of the PRC Wholly Foreign-owned Enterprise Law (《中華人民共和國外資企業法實施細則》), of which the Wholly Foreign-invested Enterprise Law (《中華人民共和國外資企業法》) together with its implementation regulations is replaced by 2019 PRC Foreign Investment Law (《中華人民共和國外商投資法》) from January 1, 2020. Under these laws and regulations, wholly foreign-owned enterprises in China may pay dividends only out of their accumulated after-tax profits, if any, determined in accordance with PRC accounting standards and regulations. In addition, wholly foreign-owned enterprises in China are required to allocate at least 10% of their respective accumulated profits each year, if any, to fund certain reserve funds until these reserves have reached 50% of the registered capital of the enterprises. Wholly foreign-owned companies may, at their discretion, allocate a portion of their after-tax profits based on PRC accounting standards to staff welfare and bonus funds. These reserves are not distributable as cash dividends.

Under our current corporate structure, our Cayman Islands holding company may rely on dividend payments from Shanghai Qiyue Information & Technology Co., Ltd., which is a wholly foreign-owned enterprise incorporated in China, to fund any cash and financing requirements we may have. Limitation on the ability of our VIEs to make remittance to our wholly-foreign owned enterprise and on the ability of our wholly-foreign owned enterprise to pay dividends to us could limit our ability to access cash generated by the operations of those entities. See “Risk Factors – Risks Related to Doing Business in China – We may rely on dividends and other distributions on equity paid by our PRC subsidiaries to fund any cash and financing requirements we may have, and any limitation on the ability of our PRC subsidiaries to make payments to us could have a material adverse effect on our ability to conduct our business.”

Regulations on foreign exchange registration of overseas investment by PRC residents

In July 2014, the SAFE promulgated the SAFE Circular 37 in the replacement of Notice on Issues relating to Foreign Exchange Administration for Financing and Roundtrip Investments by Domestic Residents through Overseas Special-purpose Companies in October 2005 (《關於境內居民通過境外特殊目的公司融資及返程投資外匯管理有關問題的通知》), requiring PRC residents or entities to register with the SAFE or its local branch in connection

REGULATORY OVERVIEW

with their establishment or control of an offshore entity established for the purpose of overseas investment or financing. In addition, such PRC residents or entities must update their SAFE registrations when the offshore special purpose vehicle undergoes material events relating to any change of basic information (including change of such PRC citizens or residents, name and operation term), increases or decreases in investment amount, transfers or exchanges of shares, or mergers or divisions.

The SAFE further enacted SAFE Circular 13, which allows PRC residents or entities to register with qualified banks in connection with their establishment or control of an offshore entity established for the purpose of overseas investment or financing. In the event that a PRC shareholder holding interests in a special purpose vehicle fails to fulfill the required SAFE registration, the PRC subsidiaries of that special purpose vehicle may be prohibited from distributing profits to the offshore parent and from carrying out subsequent cross-border foreign exchange activities. In addition, the special purpose vehicle may be restricted in its ability to contribute additional capital into its PRC subsidiaries. Moreover, failure to comply with the various SAFE registration requirements described above could result in liability under PRC law for evasion of foreign exchange controls.

These aforementioned regulations apply to our direct and indirect shareholders who are PRC residents and may apply to any offshore acquisitions and share transfer that we make in the future if our shares are issued to PRC residents. See “Risk Factors – Risks Related to Doing Business in China – PRC regulations relating to offshore investment activities by PRC residents may limit our PRC subsidiaries’ ability to increase their registered capital or distribute profits to us or otherwise expose us or our PRC resident beneficial owners to liability and penalties under PRC law.”

Regulations on stock incentive plans

In February 2012, the SAFE promulgated the Notice on Foreign Exchange Administration of PRC Residents Participating in Share Incentive Plans of Offshore Listed Companies (《關於境內個人參與境外上市公司股權激勵計劃外匯管理有關問題的通知》), replacing the previous rules issued by the SAFE in March 2007 and in January 2008. Under such stock option rules and other relevant rules and regulations, PRC residents who participate in a stock incentive plan in an overseas publicly listed company are required to register with the SAFE or its local branches and complete certain other procedures. Participants of a stock incentive plan who are PRC residents must retain a qualified PRC agent, which could be a PRC subsidiary of the overseas publicly listed company or another qualified institution selected by the PRC subsidiary, to conduct the SAFE registration and other procedures with respect to the stock incentive plan on behalf of its participants. The participants must also retain an overseas entrusted institution to handle matters in connection with their exercise of stock options, the purchase and sale of corresponding stocks or interests and fund transfers. In addition, the PRC agent is required to amend the SAFE registration with respect to the stock incentive plan if there is any material change to the stock incentive plan, the PRC agent or the overseas entrusted institution or other material changes. The PRC agents must, on behalf of the PRC residents who have the right to exercise the employee share options, apply to the SAFE or its

REGULATORY OVERVIEW

local branches for an annual quota for the payment of foreign currencies in connection with the PRC residents' exercise of the employee share options. The foreign exchange proceeds received by the PRC residents from the sale of shares under the stock incentive plans granted and dividends distributed by the overseas listed companies must be remitted into the bank accounts in the PRC opened by the PRC agents before distribution to such PRC residents.

In addition, SAFE Circular 37 provides that PRC residents who participate in a share incentive plan of an overseas unlisted special purpose company may register with the SAFE or its local branches before exercising rights. If the PRC optionees fail to comply with the Individual Foreign Exchange Rule and the Stock Option Rules, we and our PRC optionees may be subject to fines and other legal sanctions. In May 2018 and November 2019, we adopted the 2018 Plan and the 2019 Plan, respectively, to attract and retain the best available personnel, provide additional incentives to employees, directors and consultants and promote the success of our business. We will also advise the recipients of awards under our 2018 Plan to handle relevant foreign exchange matters in accordance with the 2012 SAFE Notices. However, we cannot guarantee that all employee awarded equity-based incentives can successfully register with SAFE in full compliance with the 2012 SAFE Notices. See “Risk Factors – Risks Related to Doing Business in China – Any failure to comply with PRC regulations regarding the registration requirements for employee stock incentive plans may subject the PRC plan participants or us to fines and other legal or administrative sanctions” and “Risk Factors – Risks Related to Doing Business in China – PRC regulations relating to offshore investment activities by PRC residents may limit our PRC subsidiaries' ability to increase their registered capital or distribute profits to us or otherwise expose us or our PRC resident beneficial owners to liability and penalties under PRC law.”

LAWS AND REGULATIONS RELATING TO INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY

Copyright and software products

The SCNPC adopted PRC Copyright Law (《中華人民共和國著作權法》) in 1990 and most recently amended in 2020, with its implementing rules adopted in 1991 and most recently amended in 2013 by PRC State Council, and the Regulations for the Protection of Computer Software (《計算機軟件保護條例》) promulgated by the PRC State Council in 2001 and most recently amended in 2013. These rules and regulations extend copyright protection to internet activities, products disseminated over the internet and software products. In addition, there is a voluntary registration system administered by the China Copyright Protection Center. According to the aforementioned laws and regulation, the term of protection for copyrighted software is fifty years.

Trademarks

PRC Trademark Law (《中華人民共和國商標法》) was promulgated by the SCNPC in August 1982 and most recently amended in April 2019, and the Implementation Regulations on the PRC Trademark Law (《中華人民共和國商標法實施條例》) was promulgated by PRC State Council in August 2002 and amended in April 2014. These laws and regulations provide

REGULATORY OVERVIEW

the basic legal framework for the regulations of trademarks in the PRC. In the PRC, registered trademarks include commodity trademarks, service trademarks, collective trademarks and certificate trademarks. The Intellectual Property Office under the SAMR is responsible for the registration and administration of trademarks throughout the country. Trademarks are granted on a term of ten years. Applicants may apply for an extension 12 months prior to the expiration of the 10-year term.

Domain names

Internet domain name registration and related matters are primarily regulated by the Measures on Administration of Internet Domain Names (《互聯網域名管理辦法》), which replaced the Measures on Administration of Domain Names for the Chinese Internet in November 2004, issued by MIIT and effective as of November 1, 2017, and the Implementing Rules on Registration of Domain Names issued by China Internet Network Information Center in May 2012. Domain name registrations are handled through domain name service agencies established under the relevant regulations, and the applicants become domain name holders upon successful registration.

We have adopted necessary mechanisms to register, maintain and enforce intellectual property rights in China. However, we cannot assure you that we can prevent our intellectual property from all the unauthorized use by any third party, neither can we promise that none of our intellectual property rights would be challenged by any third party. See “Risk Factors – Risks Related to Our Business and Industry – We may not be able to prevent others from unauthorized use of our intellectual property, which could harm our business and competitive position” and “Risk Factors – Risks Related to Our Business and Industry – We may be subject to intellectual property infringement claims, which may be costly to defend and may disrupt our business and operations.”

M&A RULES

In August 2006, six PRC governmental agencies jointly promulgated the M&A Rules as most recently amended in 2009. The M&A Rules establish procedures and requirements that could make certain acquisitions of PRC companies by foreign investors more time-consuming and complex, including requirements in some instances that MOFCOM be notified in advance of any change-of-control transaction in which a foreign investor takes control of a PRC domestic enterprise.

According to the Provisional Measures on Administration of Filing for Establishment and Change of Foreign Investment Enterprises, the merger and acquisition of domestic non-foreign-invested enterprises by foreign investors shall, if not involving special access administrative measures and affiliated mergers and acquisitions, be subject to the record filing measures.

REGULATORY OVERVIEW

Furthermore, the MOFCOM and the State Administration of Market Regulation issued the Measures for the Reporting of Foreign Investment Information (《外商投資信息報告辦法》) on December 30, 2019, which came into effect on January 1, 2020 and replaced Provisional Measures on Administration of Filing for Establishment and Change of Foreign Investment Enterprises. Since January 1, 2020, for foreign investors carrying out investment activities directly or indirectly in China, the foreign investors or foreign-invested enterprises shall submit investment information to the commerce authorities pursuant to such measures.

For detailed analysis, see “Risk Factors – Risks Related to Doing Business in China – The M&A Rules and certain other PRC regulations establish complex procedures for some acquisitions of Chinese companies by foreign investors, which could make it more difficult for us to pursue growth through acquisitions in China.”

OVERSEAS LISTINGS

On July 6, 2021, the relevant PRC government authorities issued Opinions on Strictly Cracking Down Illegal Securities Activities in Accordance with the Law (《關於依法從嚴打擊證券違法活動的意見》). These opinions emphasize the need to strengthen the administration over illegal securities activities and the supervision on offshore listings by China-based companies and proposed to take effective measures, such as promoting the construction of relevant regulatory systems, to deal with the risks and incidents faced by China-based offshore-listed companies.

On December 24, 2021, the CSRC issued a draft of the Provisions of the State Council on the Administration of Overseas Securities Offering and Listing by Domestic Companies (《國務院關於境內企業境外發行證券和上市的管理規定(草案徵求意見稿)》), or the Draft Provisions, and a draft of Administration Measures for the Filing of Overseas Securities Offering and Listing by Domestic Companies (《境內企業境外發行證券和上市備案管理辦法(徵求意見稿)》), or the Draft Administration Measures. The Draft Provisions and the Draft Administration Measures, if adopted in their current forms, will regulate both direct and indirect overseas offering and listing of PRC domestic companies’ securities by adopting a filing-based regulatory regime. According to such draft rules, companies in China will be required to submit the filing with the CSRC within 3 working days after submitting listing application materials to overseas regulators, and such filing shall be completed before the companies are permitted to be listed and offering securities overseas, and overseas-listed companies with major operation in China will be required to file with the CSRC after the completion of securities offering in overseas capital markets. As of the Latest Practicable Date, the Draft Administration Measures have not been formally adopted.

In addition, pursuant to the Draft Provisions and the Draft Administration Measures, an overseas offering and listing of a PRC company is prohibited under any of the following circumstances, if (i) it is prohibited by PRC laws, (ii) it may constitute a threat to or endanger national security determined by competent PRC authorities, (iii) it has material ownership disputes over equity, major assets, and core technology, (iv) in recent three years, the Chinese operating entities and their controlling shareholders and actual controllers have committed

REGULATORY OVERVIEW

relevant prescribed criminal offenses or are currently under investigations for suspicion of criminal offenses or major violations, (v) the directors, supervisors, or senior executives have been subject to administrative punishment for severe violations, or are currently under investigations for suspicion of criminal offenses or major violations, or (vi) it has other circumstances as prescribed by the State Council. As of the Latest Practicable Date, the Draft Provisions have not been formally adopted.

On April 2, 2022, the CSRC published the revised Provisions on Strengthening Confidentiality and Archives Administration of Overseas Securities Offering and Listing by Domestic Companies (Draft for Comments) (《關於加強境內企業境外發行證券和上市相關保密和檔案管理工作的規定(徵求意見稿)》) (the “**Draft Archives Rules**”), which is published for public comments. The Draft Archives Rules require that, in relation to the overseas listing activities of domestic enterprises, such domestic enterprises, as well as securities companies and securities service institutions providing relevant securities services, are required to strictly comply with the relevant requirements on confidentiality and archives management, establish a sound confidentiality and archives system, and take necessary measures to implement their confidentiality and archives management responsibilities. According to the Draft Archives Rules, if during the course of an overseas offering and listing, if a PRC company needs to publicly disclose or provide to securities companies, accounting firms or other securities service providers and overseas regulators, any materials that contain relevant state secrets or that have a sensitive impact, the PRC company should complete the relevant approval/filing and other regulatory procedures. However, there remain uncertainties regarding the further interpretation and implementation of the Draft Archives Rules. As of the Latest Practicable Date, the Draft Archives Rules has not been formally adopted.

LAWS AND REGULATIONS RELATING TO LABOR

Pursuant to PRC Labor Law (《中華人民共和國勞動法》), promulgated by the SCNPC in July 1994 and revised in August 2009 and December 2018, and the Labor Contract Law of PRC (《中華人民共和國勞動合同法》), promulgated by the SCNPC in June 2007 and amended in December 2012, and the Implementing Regulations of the Labor Contract Law (《中華人民共和國勞動合同法實施條例》), employers must execute written employment contracts with full-time employees. All employers must compensate their employees with wages equal to at least the local minimum wage. Violations of the Labor Law and the Labor Contract Law may result in fines and other administrative sanctions, and serious violations may result in criminal liabilities.

Under PRC laws, rules and regulations, including the PRC Social Insurance Law (《中華人民共和國社會保險法》) promulgated by the SCNPC in October 2010, which became effective in July 2011 and amended in December 2018, the Interim Measures on the Collection and Payment of Social Security Funds (《社會保險費徵繳暫行條例》) in January 1999 and amended in March 2019, the Regulations on Work Injury Insurance (《工傷保險條例》) issued by PRC State Council in April 2003, and amended in December 2010, the Regulations on Unemployment Insurance (《失業保險條例》) promulgated by PRC State Council in January 1999 and the Regulations on the Administration of Housing Accumulation Funds (《住房公積

REGULATORY OVERVIEW

金管理條例》), or the Regulations on Housing Fund released by PRC State Council in April 1999 and last amended in March 2019, employers are required to contribute, on behalf of their employees, to a number of social security funds and implement certain employee benefit plans, including funds for basic pension insurance, unemployment insurance, basic medical insurance, occupational injury insurance, maternity leave insurance and housing accumulation funds. These payments are made to local administrative authorities and any employer who fails to contribute may be fined and ordered to pay the deficit amount. According to the PRC Social Insurance Law, an employer that fails to make social insurance contributions may be ordered to rectify the non-compliance and pay the required contributions within a stipulated deadline and be subject to a late fee of 0.05% per day, as the case may be. If the employer still fails to rectify the failure to make social insurance contributions within the deadline, it may be subject to a fine ranging from one to three times the amount overdue. According to the Regulations on Housing Fund, an enterprise that fails to make housing fund contributions may be ordered to rectify the noncompliance and pay the required contributions within a stipulated deadline; otherwise, an application may be made to a local court for compulsory enforcement.

We have caused all of our full-time employees to enter into written employment contracts with us and have provided and currently provide our employees with proper welfare and employee benefits as required by the PRC laws and regulations.

REGULATIONS RELATED TO TAX

Enterprise income tax

Under the EIT Law, effective in January 2008 and amended in February 2017 and December 2018, and its implementing rules, enterprises are classified as resident enterprises and non-resident enterprises. PRC resident enterprises typically pay an enterprise income tax at the rate of 25% while non-PRC resident enterprises without any branches in the PRC should pay an enterprise income tax in connection with their income from the PRC at the tax rate of 10%. An enterprise established outside of the PRC with its “de facto management bodies” located within the PRC is considered a “resident enterprise,” which means that it can be treated in a manner similar to a PRC domestic enterprise for enterprise income tax purposes. The implementing rules of the EIT Law define a de facto management body as a managing body that in practice exercises “substantial and overall management and control over the production and operations, personnel, accounting, and properties” of the enterprise.

The EIT Law and the implementation rules provide that an income tax rate of 10% will normally be applicable to dividends payable to investors that are “non-resident enterprises,” and gains derived by such investors, which (i) do not have an establishment or place of business in the PRC or (ii) have an establishment or place of business in the PRC, but the relevant income is not effectively connected with the establishment or place of business to the extent such dividends and gains are derived from sources within the PRC. Such income tax on the dividends may be reduced pursuant to a tax treaty between China and other jurisdictions. Pursuant to the Double Tax Avoidance Arrangement and other applicable PRC laws, if a Hong Kong resident enterprise is determined by the competent PRC tax authority to have satisfied

REGULATORY OVERVIEW

the relevant conditions and requirements under such Double Tax Avoidance Arrangement and other applicable laws, the 10% withholding tax on the dividends the Hong Kong resident enterprise receives from a PRC resident enterprise may be reduced to 5% upon receiving approval from in-charge tax authority.

However, based on the Notice on Certain Issues with Respect to the Enforcement of Dividend Provisions in Tax Treaties (《關於執行稅收協定股息條款有關問題的通知》), issued in February 2009 by the STA if the relevant PRC tax authorities determine, in their discretion, that a company benefits from such reduced income tax rate due to a structure or arrangement that is primarily tax-driven, such PRC tax authorities may adjust the preferential tax treatment; and the Announcement on Issues concerning “Beneficial Owners” in Tax Treaties issued on February 3, 2018 by the STA, when determining the status of “beneficial owners,” a comprehensive analysis may be conducted through materials such as articles of association, financial statements, records of capital flows, minutes of board of directors, resolutions of board of directors, allocation of manpower and material resources, the relevant expenses, functions and risk assumption, loan contracts, royalty contracts or transfer contracts, patent registration certificates and copyright certificates etc. However, even if an applicant has the status as a “beneficiary owner,” the competent tax authority finds necessity to apply the principal purpose test clause in the tax treaties or the general anti-tax avoidance rules stipulated in domestic tax laws, the general anti-tax avoidance provisions shall apply.

The EIT Law and its Implementation Rules permit certain “high and new technology enterprises strongly supported by the state” that hold independent ownership of core intellectual property and simultaneously meet a list of other criteria, financial or non-financial, as stipulated in the Implementation Rules and other regulations, to enjoy a reduced 15% enterprise income tax rate. The STA, the Ministry of Science and Technology and the MOF jointly issued the Administrative Measures on the Recognition for High and New Technology Enterprise (《高新技術企業認定管理辦法》) delineating the specific criteria and procedures for the “high and new technology enterprises” certification in April 2008, which was amended in January 2016. Shanghai Qiyu was accredited as a “high and new technology enterprises” in 2018 and renewed in 2021, therefore it was entitled to a reduced 15% enterprise income tax rate from 2018 to 2023. In 2020, our WFOE obtained “high and new technology enterprises” status and was entitled to a reduced enterprise income tax rate of 15% from 2020 to 2022.

We believe that we should not be treated as a “resident enterprise” for PRC tax purposes even if the standards for “de facto management body” are applicable to us. However, the tax resident status of an enterprise is subject to determination by the PRC tax authorities and uncertainties remain with respect to the interpretation of the term “de facto management body.” If our holding company in the Cayman Islands or any of our subsidiaries outside of China were deemed to be a “resident enterprise” under the EIT Law, it would be subject to enterprise income tax on its worldwide income at a rate of 25%, which could materially reduce our net income. See “Risk Factors – Risks Related to Doing Business in China – If we are classified as a PRC resident enterprise for PRC income tax purposes, such classification could result in unfavorable tax consequences to us and our non-PRC shareholders or ADS holders.”

REGULATORY OVERVIEW

Value-added tax

According to the Interim Regulations on Value-added Tax (《增值稅暫行條例》), which was promulgated by PRC State Council in December 1993 and most recently amended in 2017, and the Implementing Rules of the Interim Regulations on Value-added Tax (《增值稅暫行條例實施細則》), promulgated by the MOF in December 2008 and most recently amended in October 2011 all taxpayers selling goods, providing processing, repairing or replacement services or importing goods within the PRC shall pay value-added tax.

Since January 1, 2012, the MOF and the STA have implemented the Pilot Plan for Imposition of Value-Added Tax to Replace Business Tax (《營業稅改徵增值稅試點方案》), or the VAT Pilot Plan, which imposes VAT in lieu of business tax for certain “modern service industries” in certain regions and eventually expanded to nation-wide application. According to the implementation circulars released by the MOF and the STA on the VAT Pilot Plan, the “modern service industries” include research, development and technology services, information technology services, cultural innovation services, logistics support, lease of corporeal properties, attestation and consulting services. According to the Notice of the MOF and the State Administration of Taxation on Implementing the Pilot Program of Replacing Business Tax with Value-Added Tax in an All-round Manner (《財政部、國家稅務總局關於全面推開營業稅改徵增值稅試點的通知》) which was issued in March 2016 and effective in May 2016 and most recently amended in March 2019, entities and individuals engaging in the sale of services, intangible assets or fixed assets within the territory of the PRC are required to pay value-added tax instead of business tax. Following the implementation of the VAT Pilot Plan, all of our PRC subsidiaries and affiliates have been subject to VAT, at a rate of 6% instead of business tax.